

Report No. NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5



POSIX Delta Document for the Next-Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Operating Systems Interface Standard Baseline (Version 5)

NGCR Document No. OSS 002 ver. 5.0

Operating Systems Standards Working Group (OSSWG)
Compiled by F. Prindle (NAWC-AD Warminster)

1 June 1995



19960117 027

Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited

**Prepared for
Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR 331-2)
Next Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Program Office
2451 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22245**

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

NOTICES

REPORT NUMBERING SYSTEM - The numbering of technical project reports issued by the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Warminster is arranged for specific identification purposes. Each number consists of the Center acronym, the calendar year in which the number was assigned, the sequence number of the report within the specific calendar year, and the official 2-digit correspondence code of the Functional Department responsible for the report. For example: Report No. NAWCADWAR-95010-4.6 indicates the tenth Center report for the year 1995 and prepared by the Crew Systems Engineering Department. The numerical codes are as follows.

Code	Department
4.1	Systems Engineering Department
4.2	Cost Analysis Department
4.3	Air Vehicle Department
4.4	Propulsion and Power Department
4.5	Avionics Department
4.6	Crew Systems Engineering Department
4.10	Conc. Analy., Eval. and Plan (CAEP) Department

PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT - The discussion or instructions concerning commercial products herein do not constitute an endorsement by the Government nor do they convey or imply the license or right to use such products.

Reviewed By: Franklin C. Purcell

Date: 20 July 95

Author/COTR

Reviewed By: Richard J. Arcian

Date: 28 Aug 95

LEVEL III Manager

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)			2. REPORT DATE 1 June 1995		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Interim			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE POSIX Delta Document for the Next-Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Operating Systems Interface Standard Baseline (Version 5)			5. FUNDING NUMBERS					
6. AUTHOR(S) Operating Systems Standards Working Group (OSSWG) Compiled by F. Prindle (NAWC-AD Warminster)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division Warminster, PA 18974-5000			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER OSS 002 ver. 5.0					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR 331-2) Next Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Program Office 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22245			11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE					
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) <p>The objective of the Next-Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Program is to standardize computer and computer component interfaces for the Navy's next generation of mission-critical computing systems. The NGCR Operating Systems Standards Working Group (OSSWG) will either select a set of interface standards from commercial sources or jointly develop such standards with industry. Previously, the OSSWG established operating systems interface requirements for these standards. In this document, the OSSWG evaluates how effectively its established requirements are met by the Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) standards, which have been selected as the baseline for the NGCR Program.</p>								
14. SUBJECT TERMS Computer Operating Systems Operating System Interface Standards					15. NUMBER OF PAGES 114			
					16. PRICE CODE			
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED		18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED		19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED			20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	

PREFACE

This report was funded under NAWC-AD Project No. 5109547, "Next Generation Computer Resources (NGCR)." The sponsoring activity is the Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR), through the work of the Operating Systems Standards Working Group (OSSWG). The OSSWG management structure is as follows:

NGCR Program Manager, H. Mendenhall (SPAWAR-231)

NGCR OSSWG Cochairman, K. Chan (SPAWAR-331)

NGCR OSSWG Cochairman, J. Oblinger (NUWC)

Document Subgroup Chairman, F. Prindle (NAWC-AD)

Technical Guide Subgroup Chairman, D. Juttelstad (NUWC)

Accesion For	
NTIS	CRA&I
DTIC	TAB
Unannounced	
Justification _____	
By _____	
Distribution / _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and / or Special
A-1	

Table of Contents

Section	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 SCOPE	1
1.2 PURPOSE	1
1.3 TERMINOLOGY.....	2
1.4 DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION.....	2
2. REFERENCES	5
3. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF POSIX DELTAS BY REQUIREMENT	7
3.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	7
3.2 ARCHITECTURE DEPENDENT INTERFACES	7
3.2.1 Non-NGCR System Interfaces	7
3.3 CAPABILITY AND SECURITY INTERFACES	8
3.3.1 Audit Data Storage.....	9
3.3.2 Audit Generation.....	9
3.3.3 Audit Record Contents	9
3.3.4 Audit Data Manipulation	9
3.3.5 Device Labels	9
3.3.6 Basic DAC	9
3.3.7 DAC Inclusion/Exclusion	9
3.3.8 DAC Propagation	9
3.3.9 Labeling of Export Channels	9
3.3.10 Setting Communication Labels.....	9
3.3.11 Identification and Authentication	10
3.3.12 Labeling of Human Readable Output	10
3.3.13 Subject and Object Labeling	10
3.3.14 Label Contents.....	10
3.3.15 MAC Policy.....	10
3.3.16 MAC Manipulation.....	10
3.3.17 Object Reuse (Deleted).....	10
3.3.18 User Notification of Sensitivity Label.....	11
3.3.19 Sensitivity Label Query	11
3.3.20 System Integrity	11
3.3.21 Identification of Users Based on Roles.....	11
3.3.22 Least Privilege	12
3.3.23 Trusted Path (Deleted)	12
3.3.24 Trusted Recovery (Deleted)	12
3.4 DATA INTERCHANGE INTERFACES.....	12
3.4.1 Data Interchange Services (Data Format Conversion)	13
3.5 EVENT AND ERROR INTERFACES.....	14
3.5.1 Event and Error Receipt.....	16
3.5.2 Event and Error Distribution	16
3.5.3 Event and Error Management	18
3.5.4 Event Logging	18
3.5.5 Block/Unblock Interrupts	18
3.5.6 Mask/Unmask Interrupts	19
3.6 FILE INTERFACES.....	19
3.6.1 Contiguous Read of a File (Deleted)	20
3.6.2 Protect An Area Within A file	20
3.6.3 File Management Scheduling.....	20
3.6.4 File Management Suspend/Resume for Processes	21
3.6.5 File Management Block Requests.....	21
3.6.6 Round Robin File Management (Deleted)	21
3.6.7 Open a File	21
3.6.8 Point Within a File	22

Table of Contents (Cont'd)

Section	Page
3.6.9 Read a File.....	22
3.6.10 Close a File	22
3.6.11 Delete a File.....	22
3.6.12 Create a Directory	22
3.6.13 Specifying Default Directory	22
3.6.14 Delete a Directory.....	22
3.6.15 Shadow Files.....	22
3.6.16 Create a File.....	23
3.6.17 Query File Attributes.....	23
3.6.18 Modify File Attributes.....	23
3.6.19 Write a File.....	23
3.6.20 Write Contiguous File (Deleted).....	23
3.6.21 File Performance Optimization.....	23
3.7 GENERALIZED I/O INTERFACES	24
3.7.1 Device Driver Availability	24
3.7.2 Open Device	25
3.7.3 Close Device	25
3.7.4 Transmit Data.....	25
3.7.5 Receive Data	25
3.7.6 Device Event Notification	25
3.7.7 Control Device	26
3.7.8 I/O Directory Services	26
3.7.9 Device Management Suspend/Resume for Processes.....	26
3.7.10 Mount/Dismount Device	26
3.7.11 Initialize/Purge Device.....	26
3.8 NETWORK AND COMMUNICATIONS INTERFACES.....	27
3.8.1 Interface to NAVY Standard Network.....	28
3.8.2 Interfaces to Other Network and Communication Entities	28
3.8.3 Acknowledged Connection-Oriented Service	28
3.8.4 Unacknowledged Connection-Oriented Service (Deleted).....	29
3.8.5 Acknowledged Datagram Service (Deleted).....	29
3.8.6 Datagram Transfer Service	29
3.8.7 Request - Reply Service.....	29
3.8.8 Broadcast/Multicast Service	29
3.8.9 K-Acknowledged Multicast Service (Deleted).....	30
3.8.10 Atomic Multicast Service	30
3.8.11 Quality of Service / Option Management	30
3.9 PROCESS MANAGEMENT INTERFACES.....	31
3.9.1 Create Process.....	31
3.9.2 Terminate Process	32
3.9.3 Start Process.....	32
3.9.4 Stop Process.....	32
3.9.5 Suspend Process.....	32
3.9.6 Resume Process	32
3.9.7 Delay process.....	33
3.9.8 Interprocess Communication	33
3.9.9 Examine Process Attributes.....	33
3.9.10 Modify Process Attributes	33
3.9.11 Examine Process Status.....	33
3.9.12 Process (Thread) Identification	34
3.9.13 Save/Restart Process	35
3.9.14 Program Management Function	35
3.10 PROJECT SUPPORT ENVIRONMENT INTERFACES.....	36
3.10.1 Debug Support	37

Table of Contents (Cont'd)

Section	Page
3.10.2 Execution History	38
3.11 RELIABILITY, ADAPTABILITY, AND MAINTAINABILITY INTERFACES.....	39
3.11.1 Fault Information Collection	39
3.11.2 Fault Information Request	40
3.11.3 Diagnostic Tests Request	40
3.11.4 Diagnostic Tests Results	41
3.11.5 Operational Status	41
3.11.6 Fault Detection Thresholds	42
3.11.7 Fault Isolation	42
3.11.8 Fault Response	43
3.11.9 Reconfiguration	43
3.11.10 Enable/Disable System Component	44
3.11.11 Performance Monitoring	44
3.11.12 Set Resource Utilization Limits	45
3.11.13 Resource Utilization Limits Violation	45
3.11.14 Checkpoint Data Structures	45
3.12 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT INTERFACES.....	46
3.12.1 Virtual Memory Support	46
3.12.2 Virtual Space Locking	46
3.12.3 Dynamic Memory Allocation and Deallocation	46
3.12.4 Dynamically Protecting Memory	47
3.12.5 Shared Memory	47
3.12.6 Allocate, Deallocate, Mount, and Dismount Services	47
3.12.7 Designate Control	48
3.12.8 Release Control	48
3.12.9 Allocate Resource	48
3.12.10 Deallocate Resource	49
3.12.11 System Resource Requirements Specification	49
3.12.12 System Resource Capacity	50
3.13 SYNCHRONIZATION AND SCHEDULING INTERFACES.....	51
3.13.1 Process Synchronization	51
3.13.2 Mutual Exclusion	51
3.13.3 Cumulative Execution Time of a Process	51
3.13.4 Attach a Process to an Event	51
3.13.5 Services Scheduling Information	52
3.13.6 Scheduling Delay	52
3.13.7 Periodic Scheduling	52
3.13.8 Multiple Scheduling Policies	53
3.13.9 Selection of a Scheduling Policy	53
3.13.10 Modification of Scheduling Parameters	53
3.13.11 Precise Scheduling (Jitter Management)	53
3.14 SYSTEM INITIALIZATION AND REINITIALIZATION INTERFACES.....	54
3.14.1 Image Load	54
3.14.2 System Initialization and Reinitialization	54
3.14.3 Shutdown	55
3.15 TIME SERVICES INTERFACES.....	56
3.15.1 Read Selected Clock	56
3.15.2 Set Selected Clock	56
3.15.3 Synchronization of Selected Clocks	56
3.15.4 Select a Primary Reference Clock	57
3.15.5 Locate the Primary Reference Clock	57
3.15.6 Timer Services	58
3.15.7 Precision Clock	58
3.16 ADA LANGUAGE SUPPORT	58

Table of Contents (Cont'd)

Section	Page
3.16.1 Create Task (Ada)	60
3.16.2 Abort Task (Ada)	60
3.16.3 Suspend Task (Ada)	60
3.16.4 Resume Task (Ada)	60
3.16.5 Terminate Task (Ada)	60
3.16.6 Restart Task (Ada)	60
3.16.7 Task Entry Calls (Ada)	60
3.16.8 Task Call Accepting>Selecting	60
3.16.9 Access Task Characteristics (Ada)	61
3.16.10 Monitor Task's Execution Status (Ada)	61
3.16.11 Access to a Precise Real-Time Clock (Ada)	61
3.16.12 Access to a TOD Clock (Ada)	61
3.16.13 Dynamic Task Priorities (Ada)	61
3.16.14 Scheduling Policy Selection (Ada)	62
3.16.15 Memory Allocation and Deallocation (Ada)	62
3.16.16 Interrupt Binding (Ada)	62
3.16.17 Enable/Disable Interrupts (Ada)	62
3.16.18 Mask/Unmask Interrupts (Ada)	63
3.16.19 Raise Exception (Ada)	63
3.16.20 I/O Support (Ada)	63
4. BIG 6 DISCUSSION.....	65
4.1 DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS.....	65
4.1.1 Distribution in UNIX	65
4.1.2 Distribution in POSIX.....	65
4.1.3 Distribution in NGCR OS	66
4.1.4 NGCR/POSIX Distribution Delta.....	66
4.2 REAL-TIME SYSTEMS.....	66
4.2.1 Real Time in POSIX	67
4.2.2 Real Time in NGCR OS	69
4.2.3 NGCR/POSIX Real-Time Delta	69
4.3 FAULT-TOLERANT SYSTEMS	70
4.3.1 Fault Tolerance in UNIX	70
4.3.2 Fault Tolerance in POSIX	70
4.3.3 Fault Tolerance in NGCR OS	71
4.3.4 NGCR/POSIX Fault Tolerance Delta	71
4.4 SECURITY	71
4.5 HETEROGENEITY	72
4.5.1 Heterogeneity in UNIX	72
4.5.2 Heterogeneity in POSIX	73
4.5.3 Heterogeneity in NGCR OS	73
4.5.4 NGCR/POSIX Heterogeneity Delta	73
4.6 ADA.....	73
4.6.1 Ada in UNIX	73
4.6.2 Ada in POSIX	74
4.6.3 Ada in NGCR OS	74
4.6.4 NGCR/POSIX Ada Delta	75
5. CONCLUSIONS.....	77
APPENDIX A HISTORY OF THE OSSWG-POSIX DELTAS.....	79
A.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	79
A.2 RAW DATA.....	79
APPENDIX B DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES.....	85

**POSIX DELTA DOCUMENT FOR THE
NEXT-GENERATION COMPUTER RESOURCES (NGCR)
OPERATING SYSTEMS INTERFACE STANDARD BASELINE
(VERSION 5)**

1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of the Next-Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Program is to standardize Navy mission-critical computer interfaces and computer component interfaces. With these standardized interfaces, industry will be better able to provide computing resources that meet Navy needs. The interface standards are to be widely available (i.e., non-proprietary) and, if possible, widely used within industry.

The NGCR Operating Systems Standards (OSS) is one of the sets of standards essential to the timely and cost effective acquisition of most of the next generation of mission-critical computing systems for the Navy. NGCR OSS assists the Navy in efficiently providing a wide range of performance, compatible computing services, and functionality levels.

The primary objective of the NGCR Operating Systems Standards Working Group (OSSWG) will be the selection, from commercial standards, of a set of interface standards for a family of distributed operating systems applicable to a complete spectrum of Navy combatant use and other mission-critical use. If these standards are not available or adequate, a standard will be developed in conjunction with industry.

1.1 SCOPE

The scope of this document includes the NGCR OSSWG Operational Concept Document (NGCR Document No. OSS 003 ver. 2.0) and all available documents, draft and final, from the family of the Portable Operating System Interfaces (POSIX) standards, which have been selected as the NGCR baseline. In addition, the documents from the IEEE working groups 1201, 1224, 1238, 1326, 1327, 1328, 1351, and 1353 were examined.

1.2 PURPOSE

The purpose of this document is to evaluate how effectively each Operating System Interface (OSIF) requirement, as defined by the Operational Concept Document, is addressed by the POSIX standards. By evaluating each OSIF requirement, the OSSWG will be able to determine as to how well the POSIX standards currently meet the Navy's needs.

The findings of this document will form a basis for identifying enhancements to POSIX. Comparing the POSIX standards and OSIF requirements can lead to one of several findings:

- Requirement is fulfilled by POSIX,
- Requirement is unnecessary and can be discarded,
- Requirement is fulfilled by SAFENET,
- Requirement was previously considered and discarded by POSIX,
- Requirement is nice to have, but not really needed or worth working toward,
- Requirement is "too far out" and it would be premature to standardize at this time,
- Requirement is a must ("got to have") and must be included even if POSIX does not include it,
- POSIX includes this useful feature but it is not a requirement.

From the list of requirements being pursued, an approach to take them into POSIX must be determined, explaining the concepts, rationale, and interfaces required.

If a necessary requirement conflicts with POSIX, then the OSSWG will develop a strategy for meeting this requirement. This document will eventually become a primary input into an OSIF Technical Guide. All requirements not fulfilled by POSIX standards or some other open standard will be addressed in the Technical Guide.

1.3 TERMINOLOGY

Precise and consistent use of terms has been attempted throughout the document. The following verb phrases are used in all NGCR documents to indicate where and to what degree individual constraints apply:

"SHALL PROVIDE" indicates a requirement for the operating system interface to provide interface(s) with prescribed capabilities.

"SHALL SUPPORT" indicates a requirement for the operating system interface to provide interface(s) with prescribed capabilities or for the operating system interface definers to demonstrate that the capability can be constructed from operating system interfaces.

1.4 DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION

This document was originally organized to reflect the evolutionary analysis process utilized by the OSSWG to determine, for each OSSWG requirement, the extent to which POSIX fulfilled that requirement, the overall importance of the requirement being fulfilled by standard interfaces, and the OSSWG approach to defining standard interfaces to fulfill all critical requirements. However, this organization was considered awkward, at best, for document maintenance and reader comprehension. Since the original analysis process is long since completed, it is no longer necessary for the document to retain this structure. Therefore, starting with version 4, the document was reorganized more along the lines of a reference guide. The historical information on the analysis process can always be found in earlier document versions.

The current structure of the document is centered around section 3, where each operating system interface requirement from the Operational Concept Document (OCD) is presented, grouped into the same service classes and in the same order as defined in the OCD. For a requirement which is completely fulfilled by POSIX, this section indicates which POSIX interfaces fulfill the requirement, and provides an explanation of how this is accomplished where it isn't completely obvious. For a requirement which is either partially or totally unfulfilled by POSIX, this section describes: the extent of the delta (partial or no POSIX coverage); the extent of change necessary for POSIX to fulfill the requirement (modification or insertion); and the importance of ultimately standardizing interfaces which meet the requirement (essential, highly desirable, may be deferred, should be reevaluated). Furthermore, for those unfulfilled requirements classified essential or highly desirable, alternatives for achieving standardization (if more than one), and OSSWG recommendations are presented. This section combines all delta information related to each requirement in one centralized place.

Because of the rapidly evolving nature of POSIX, especially the continuous reorganization of unapproved drafts, section 3 does not attempt to cite references to specific chapters, paragraphs, pages, or lines in POSIX documents. Instead, POSIX interfaces are described here using the names commonly used to refer to such interfaces and associated POSIX document (PAR) numbers. Because this document serves not only as an OSSWG working document, but as a reference document for potential NGCR Operating System users, Appendix B lists for each OSSWG requirement, in tabular form, detailed paragraph references to the versions of POSIX documents baselined in section 2, as well as selected tabular information from section 3.

Each unfulfilled OSSWG requirement is coded, both in section 3 and Appendix B, with a rating indicating its significance to the overall NGCR OS interface standardization effort: A rating of "a" indicates that standardization of interfaces which meet the requirement is essential; a rating of "b" indicates that standardization of interfaces which meet the requirement is highly desirable; a rating of "c" indicates that fulfilling this interface requirement can be deferred to a later date; a rating of "d" indicates

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

that the OSSWG should re-evaluate the need for standardized interfaces fulfilling this requirement. All requirements with a rating of "a" or "b" are termed "significant unfulfilled requirements", a status which triggers an OSSWG recommendation for fulfilling the requirement as soon as possible.

Section 4, the Big 6 Discussion, offers an overview of the POSIX/OSSWG delta with respect to six major technology areas considered important to the NGCR program in general. This provides an insightful alternative viewpoint on the nature of the delta and how POSIX can be expected to support these technology areas.

In conclusion, Section 5 summarizes the findings of this document.

Appendix A provides historical background on the evolution of the POSIX deltas from the first complete Delta Document version (v2) through the present.

Appendix B summarizes the individual requirement deltas and cross-references requirements to POSIX interfaces which fulfill them.

2. REFERENCES

The following references were used in the preparation of this document:

- Ada LRM; "Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language," ANSI/MIL-STD-1815-A, January 1983.
- ISO 9899; "Information Processing System - Programming Languages - C," Published 1990.
- DOD 5200.28-STD; "Department of Defense Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria (TCSEC)," December 1985
- SECNAV Instruction 5239.2; "Department of the Navy Automated Information Systems (AIS) Security Program," 15 November 1989
- OSSWG OCD; "Operational Concept Document for the Next-Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Operating System Interface Standard Baseline," NGCR Document No. OSS 003 ver. 2.0, 1995.
- IEEE 1003.1; "Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interfaces (POSIX) - Part 1: System Application Program Interface (API) [C language]," Published December 1990.
- IEEE P1003.1a; "Draft Revision to Information Technology - POSIX Part 1 System Application Program Interface (API) [C Language]," Draft 12, January 1995.
- IEEE 1003.1b; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 1: System Application Program Interface (API) - Amendment 1: Realtime Extension [C Language]," Published July 1994.
- IEEE P1003.1c (Formerly P1003.4a); "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 1: System Application Program Interface (API) - Amendment 2: Threads Extension," Draft 10, September 1994.
- IEEE P1003.1d (Formerly P1003.4b); "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 1: System Application Program Interface (API) - Amendment x: Realtime System API Extension," Draft 8, September 1993.
- IEEE P1003.1e; "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 1: System Application Program Interface (API) - Amendment #: Protection, Audit, and Control Interfaces [C Language]," Draft 14, March 1994.
- IEEE P1003.1f (Formerly P1003.8); "Draft Transparent File Access Revision to Portable Operating System Interface for Computer Environment," Draft 8, November 1993.
- IEEE P1003.1g; "Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part xx: Protocol Independent Interfaces (PII)," Draft 6, January 1995.
- IEEE P1003.1j (Formerly P1003.4d); "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 1: System Application Program Interface (API) - Amendment y: Realtime Extension," Draft 3, November 1994.
- IEEE 1003.2; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interfaces (POSIX) - Part 2: Shell and Utilities," Volume 1 and 2, Published June 1993.
- IEEE 1003.2a; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interfaces (POSIX) - Part 2: Shell and Utilities, User Portability Extension (UPE)," Bundled with IEEE 1003.2 Volume 1 and 2, Published June 1993.

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

IEEE P1003.2c; "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 2: Shell and Utilities - Amendment #: Protection and Control Interfaces," Draft 14, March 1994.

IEEE 1003.5; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - POSIX Ada Language Interfaces - Part 1: Binding for System Application Program Interface (API)," Published December 1992.

IEEE P1003.5b; "Draft Standard for Information Technology - POSIX Ada Language Interfaces - Part 2: Binding for Realtime Extensions," Draft 3, August 1994.

IEEE 1224; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) Abstract Data Manipulation - Application Programming Interfaces (API) [Language Independent]," Published September 1993.

IEEE 1224.1; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - X.400 Based Electronic Messaging - Application Programming Interfaces (API) [Language Independent]," Published September 1993.

IEEE 1224.2; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Directory Services - Application Programming Interface (API) [Language Independent]," Published September 1993.

IEEE 1238.1; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - File Transfer, Access and Management Services - Application Programming Interface (API) [C Language Binding]," Published 1994.

IEEE 1351; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - ACSE and Presentation Layer Services - Application Programming Interface (API) [Language Independent]," Published 1994.

IEEE 1353; " IEEE Standard for Information Technology - ACSE and Presentation Layer Services - Application Programming Interface (API) [C Language Binding]," Published 1994.

IEEE P1387.1 (Formerly P1003.7); "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - System Administration Interface," Draft 8, February 1992.

IEEE P1387.2 (Formerly P1003.7.2); "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 3: System Administration Amendment: Software Administration," Draft 14, December 1994.

IEEE P1387.3 (Formerly P1003.7.3); "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 3: System Administration Amendment: User Administration," Draft 6, December 1994.

IEEE P1387.4 (Formerly P1003.7.1); "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - Part 4: System Administration Amendment: Printing Interfaces," Draft 8, October 1994.

The following references are relevant to the Delta document but do not at this time directly contribute to its contents:

IEEE P1003.0; "Draft Guide for Information Technology - Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) - The Open Systems Environment," Draft 18, February 1995.

IEEE 1003.3; "IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Test Methods for Measuring Conformance to POSIX," Published April 1991.

IEEE P1003.13; "Draft Standard for Information Technology - Standardized Application Environment Profile, POSIX Realtime Application Support (AEP)," IEEE P1003.13, Draft 6, June 1994.

3. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF POSIX DELTAS BY REQUIREMENT

This section presents each operating system interface requirement from the OSSWG Operational Concept Document (OCD), grouped according to the same service classes and in the same order as defined in the OCD. For a requirement which is completely fulfilled by POSIX, this section indicates which POSIX interfaces fulfill the requirement, and provides an explanation of how this is accomplished where it isn't completely obvious. For a requirement which is either partially or totally unfulfilled by POSIX, this section describes: the extent of the delta (partial or no POSIX coverage); the extent of change necessary for POSIX to fulfill the requirement (modification or insertion); and the importance of ultimately standardizing interfaces which meet the requirement (essential, highly desirable, may be deferred, should be reevaluated). Furthermore, for those unfulfilled requirements classified essential or highly desirable (the so-called "significant unfulfilled requirements"), alternatives for achieving standardization (if more than one), and OSSWG recommendations are presented.

This section contains frequent references to interfaces and capabilities from the POSIX 1003.1 and 1003.1b standards, as well as the POSIX P1003.1c draft standard. Each of these documents provides a C language binding to the referenced interfaces and capabilities. OSSWG understands that the POSIX 1003.5 standard, the POSIX P1003.5b draft standard, and the Ada LRM provide an Ada language binding to exactly the same set of interfaces and capabilities; however, due to the nature of the bindings and the Ada language itself, identical interfaces and capabilities do not typically have the same nomenclature in the Ada language bindings as in the C language bindings. A further complication is that P1003.5b is currently undergoing a change from "thin" to "thick" binding format. Therefore, this version of the Delta Document will not attempt, in this section, to consistently mention 1003.5 or P1003.5b interfaces whenever 1003.1, 1003.1b, or 1003.1c interfaces are cited as fulfilling or partially fulfilling a requirement; this will be undertaken in the next version once P1003.5b has stabilized in its "thick" binding format. Appendix B lists the applicable interfaces and capabilities in both the C language binding documents and the Ada language binding documents.

There is a table presented at the end of each service class with columns marked "Requirement", "Covered", "POSIX Delta", and "Unfulfilled Requirements Rating." The first column contains the OSSWG requirement number. The second column assesses coverage as "Yes", "No", or "Partially." The third column indicates the extent of the POSIX Delta and contains one of the following assessments: "None", "Modification", or "Insertion." "Modification" means that a modification to existing POSIX interfaces would fulfill the OSSWG requirement; "Insertion" means that a modification is not sufficient and that a larger change such as insertion of new interfaces would probably be needed to fulfill the OSSWG requirement. All OSSWG requirements marked as partially or not covered are referred to as "unfulfilled requirements." The fourth column can contain a dash or one of the letters a, b, c, or d. A rating of "a" indicates that standardization of interfaces which meet the requirement is essential; a rating of "b" indicates that standardization of interfaces which meet the requirement is highly desirable; a rating of "c" indicates that fulfilling this interface requirement can be deferred to a later date; a rating of "d" indicates that the OSSWG should reevaluate the need for standardized interfaces fulfilling this requirement. All OSSWG requirements with a rating of "a" or "b" are referred to as "significant unfulfilled requirements", a status which triggers an OSSWG recommendation for fulfilling the requirement as soon as possible.

3.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

These requirements are considered too high level to be covered in this document.

3.2 ARCHITECTURE DEPENDENT INTERFACES

There are no unfulfilled requirements for service class 2. In general, POSIX 1003.1 and 1003.1b support service class 2.

3.2.1 Non-NGCR System Interfaces

Non-NGCR System Interfaces are met by:

- 1003.1 and 1003.5 Process Primitives
- 1003.1 and 1003.5 Input and Output Primitives
- 1003.1b and P1003.5b Process Primitives
- 1003.1b and P1003.5b Input and Output Primitives
- 1003.1b and P1003.5b Shared Memory
- 1003.1b and P1003.5b Message Passing
- P1003.1g Network Interface

The OSIF shall support non-NGCR based systems by providing a subset of its services to those systems. The subset of service requests from non-NGCR based systems includes download, initialize, start, resource sharing, process to process message communication, and ability to pass operational status information.

The non-NGCR system may issue service requests over non-NGCR or NGCR network interfaces. The NGCR network interfaces include FUTUREBUS+, SAFENET, (see the operational concept document (OCD), Paragraph 20.8.1.1). The non-NGCR network interfaces include (but are not limited to) VME, MULTIBUS, TCP/IP, RS232, RS422 and 1553B (see OCD paragraph 20.8.2.3).

POSIX does not provide explicit interfacing to non-NGCR networks. However, POSIX can support interfacing to non-NGCR networks given that the term "support" allows for hardware to be added to the non-NGCR network interface, and software to be added to both NGCR and non-NGCR systems. The application implementation of the additional hardware and software will allow the ability to service non-NGCR system service requests.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
2.1	Yes	None	-

3.3 CAPABILITY AND SECURITY INTERFACES

Computer security requirements permeate the engineering process and development environment of a system. The level of security depends on the criticality of the system application and total environment (e.g., physical, procedural, operational, communication, and computer controls). With this in mind, the challenge for the OSSWG and POSIX security working groups has been to create an interface standard that does not preclude meeting the trusted computer systems evaluation criteria (TCSEC) (DoD-STD-5200.28) B3 or A1 class requirements. The approach used to develop the POSIX security standards (P1003.1e and P1003.2c) is similar to the OSSWG security approach where the focus is only on the application program interfaces and commands of the operating system with respect to security, not implementation or assurance details. However, in addressing some of the non interface security concepts, the POSIX subcommittee has tailored these concepts into a POSIX philosophy for uniformity and portability, and documented them in the appropriate P1003.1e and P1003.2c appendixes. The POSIX subcommittee has been very effective, thus far, in addressing the nonsupported, security-related concepts without mandating a specific design or architecture. Those areas that are not supported by P1003.1e are discussed in its appendix B, the unsupported security section. This allows a contractor design and development flexibility, while still providing the basic conformity and interface consistency found in standards. The POSIX Distributed Security Study Group (1003.22), was convened in early 1992 to examine security standardization issues which fall outside the domain of P1003.1e and P1003.2c. They will be assessing existing work in this area and analyzing the potential for standardization of distributed security work, and will draft a Guide similar to P1003.0 to this effect.

The 21 OSSWG security requirements are addressed by the P1003.1e and P1003.2c standards (see Requirements Coverage Summary). [Note: The P1003.1e standard addresses the 21 OSSWG security requirements in different ways. Some of the requirements are in the interface section, while others are addressed in appendix B as nonsupported security mechanisms. The unfulfilled requirements listed below remain so because the applicable sections of appendix B explicitly state that interface standards in these areas have been deferred.]

3.3.1 Audit Data Storage

The capability and security interfaces service class requirements are addressed in the P1003.1e document. This OSSWG requirement is covered in the interface portion of the standard.

3.3.2 Audit Generation

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.3 Audit Record Contents

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.4 Audit Data Manipulation

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.5 Device Labels

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.6 Basic DAC

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.7 DAC Inclusion/Exclusion

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.8 DAC Propagation

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.9 Labeling of Export Channels

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.10 Setting Communication Labels

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.11 Identification and Authentication

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide a protected mechanism to uniquely authenticate the identity of the user.

Description of Delta: This OSSWG requirement is addressed by the P1003.1e draft standard in its appendix B. Even though it specifies this requirement as a deferred/unsupported security mechanism, the standard does not preclude satisfying this requirement; this requirement is addressed in DoD Standard 5200.28.

Note that 1003.1 and 1003.5 provide interfaces to identify and to inquire about the identity of authenticated users.

Recommendation: Pursue completion of security interfaces to satisfy this requirement in the POSIX Security and/or Distributed Security working groups.

3.3.12 Labeling of Human Readable Output

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.13 Subject and Object Labeling

For Subject and Object Labeling (3.13), the POSIX definition of subjects and objects is very broad and may not provide sufficient detail to meet B2 requirements and above: Labeling is supported for processes (subjects), path names (objects), and file descriptors (generic object handles). However, for the purpose of an interface standard this should be acceptable because significant depth in this area will be provided by either the vendor or the contractor as the system architecture and design that incorporate the interface standard are developed.

3.3.14 Label Contents

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.15 MAC Policy

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.16 MAC Manipulation

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.17 Object Reuse (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted.

3.3.18 User Notification of Sensitivity Label

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the prompt notification to a terminal user of each change in security level associated with that user during an interactive session.

Description of Delta: This OSSWG requirement is addressed by the P1003.1e draft standard in its appendix B. Even though it specifies this requirement as a deferred/unsupported security mechanism, the standard does not preclude satisfying this requirement; this requirement is addressed in DoD Standard 5200.28.

Recommendation: Pursue completion of security interfaces to satisfy this requirement in the POSIX Security and/or Distributed Security working groups.

3.3.19 Sensitivity Label Query

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the user's query of the subject's complete sensitivity label.

Description of Delta: This OSSWG requirement is addressed by the P1003.1e draft standard in its appendix B. Even though it specifies this requirement as a deferred/unsupported security mechanism, the standard does not preclude satisfying this requirement; this requirement is addressed in DoD Standard 5200.28.

Recommendation: Pursue completion of security interfaces to satisfy this requirement in the POSIX Security and/or Distributed Security working groups.

3.3.20 System Integrity

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support features that can be used to periodically validate the correct operation of the hardware and firmware.

Description of Delta: This OSSWG requirement is addressed by the P1003.1e draft standard in its appendix B. Even though it specifies this requirement as a deferred/unsupported security mechanism, the standard does not preclude satisfying this requirement; this requirement is addressed in DoD Standard 5200.28.

Recommendation: Pursue completion of security interfaces to satisfy this requirement in the POSIX Security and/or Distributed Security working groups.

3.3.21 Identification of Users Based on Roles

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the identification of users based on roles.

Description of Delta: This OSSWG requirement is addressed by the P1003.1e draft standard in its appendix B. Even though it specifies this requirement as a deferred/unsupported security mechanism,

the standard does not preclude satisfying this requirement; this requirement is addressed in DoD Standard 5200.28.

Recommendation: Pursue completion of security interfaces to satisfy this requirement in the POSIX Security and/or Distributed Security working groups.

3.3.22 Least Privilege

Refer to 3.3.1.

3.3.23 Trusted Path (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted.

3.3.24 Trusted Recovery (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
3.1	Yes	None	-
3.2	Yes	None	-
3.3	Yes	None	-
3.4	Yes	None	-
3.5	Yes	None	-
3.6	Yes	None	-
3.7	Yes	None	-
3.8	Yes	None	-
3.9	Yes	None	-
3.10	Yes	None	-
3.11	Partially	Insertion	a
3.12	Yes	None	-
3.13	Yes	None	-
3.14	Yes	None	-
3.15	Yes	None	-
3.16	Yes	None	-
3.17	Deleted	None	Deleted
3.18	Partially	Insertion	a
3.19	Partially	Insertion	a
3.20	Partially	Insertion	a
3.21	Partially	Insertion	a
3.22	Yes	None	-
3.23	Deleted	None	Deleted
3.24	Deleted	None	Deleted

3.4 DATA INTERCHANGE INTERFACES

Appendix B, Rationale and Notes, of the 1003.1 indicates that the POSIX groups felt the issue of data format should be addressed in 1003.1. 1003.1/1003.5 does not yet provide a standard data interchange interface, nor does it define a standard format for the data. 1224 has developed an ASN.1 (Abstract Syntax Notation One) API. A notable hole in the 1224 work is a result of the working group decision not to provide interfaces for floating-point data.

A non-POSIX alternative for meeting the data interchange requirement is XDR (External Data Representation), an Internet standard (see RFC1014). XDR is well-established, provides a relatively straight-forward binding to P1003.1g, is capable of supporting realtime communication, is canonical, has no explicit typing, and represents arbitrary data structures in a consistent, well-documented manner. However, XDR at this time does not have POSIX or ISO support.

Data Interchange Interfaces are necessary to support the Big 6 requirement for heterogeneity.

One aspect of the Data interchange issue arises from the fact that the various hardware and software platforms used in Navy systems represent various uncoordinated data types being passed between many systems. These systems were developed on essentially the same computer hardware, at different times, by different vendors, and for different sponsors, with incremental funding. Most of these systems were developed long ago, prior to any formal standardization process, and were designed to perform specific tasks that were not always integrated. The cost of ownership of this wide spectrum of systems is inconsequential compared with the replacement cost of upgrading to systems that have a standardized data interchange. Therefore, an interface is needed to support the required "normalized" representations of data interchanged between these different systems. This interface would provide standards for upgrading these older systems with a more effective approach.

Likewise, the interface would provide standards for combining COTS products effectively, whether or not the products originate in older systems.

3.4.1 Data Interchange Services (Data Format Conversion)

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support an access to services that perform data conversion.

Description of Delta: IEEE 1224 has developed an ASN.1 API. However, this API will not support floating-point data. ASN.1 is already an ISO standard. It is canonical, supports explicit typing, and represents arbitrary data structures in a consistent, well-documented manner. A potential disadvantage of ASN.1 is that it may not be capable of supporting realtime systems. The P1003.21 working group has proposed support for data format conversion (two applicable P1003.21 requirements are "The interface shall provide a mechanism to support heterogeneous data transfer" and "The interface shall specify the set of data types available for heterogeneous data transfer"). But P1003.21 is still undecided as to whether the interface will provide direct access to the data conversion services through explicit encode/decode operations, or whether the application will merely pass information about the message structure (e.g., an integer of a certain number of bits, followed by a certain kind of floating point of a certain length, ...). If P1003.21 provides the former, this requirement will be fulfilled; If P1003.21 provides only the latter, this requirement should probably be re-evaluated to determine its exact purpose.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Pursue adding floating-point data support to the 1224 API.
2. Pursue standardizing XDR within POSIX.
3. Adopt XDR as another OSSWG-recommended standard (in addition to POSIX).

Recommendation: Since 1224 is now an approved IEEE standard, it is unlikely that new capabilities can be directly added to that standard. Pursue alternatives 1 and/or 2 in the P1003.21 working group to meet realtime requirements.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
4.1	Partially	Modification	a

3.5 EVENT AND ERROR INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX standards support service class 5 (Event and Error Interfaces) in a rudimentary way. There are three areas that are not complete:

1. Basically, POSIX provides reactive error management while OSSWG requires proactive behavior. Attempting to support proactive requirements on top of a reactive interface will result in performance penalties. The existing (proactive) services are highly-oriented toward providing event services (via the "signal" concept) while downplaying error reporting.
2. POSIX currently does not have a consistent error handling strategy. The POSIX working groups covering distribution are beginning to develop such a strategy.
3. POSIX does not provide adequate coordination and recording services.

While none of the requirements in service class 5 are completely satisfied by POSIX interfaces, all the associated OSSWG requirements remain necessary for Navy systems. Given that the OSSWG will now deal only with APIs for the OSIF, requirement 5.1 becomes deferred for errors, since the error information comes from sources other than applications; it is fulfilled in the case of events other than errors.

POSIX signals provide a useful abstraction for managing asynchronous events and can be used to coordinate the activities of processes. In particular, signals unify the following:

- synchronous exceptions, such as floating point overflow, division by zero, and invalid addresses or instructions
- abortion of a process or thread of control
- suspension of a process
- time-outs such as an alarm or timer expiration
- asynchronous notification from one process or another of an application-specific event that demands attention

However, precisely because they are so all-encompassing, signals also:

- confuse synchronous traps with asynchronous events
- can be aliased in confusing ways
- can be lost
- are unique resources which cause problems when various independent application components are integrated

Conflicts over the right to handle a signal are a problem for the Ada runtime, since it requires the use of certain specific signals, and it is not something a user can ordinarily be expected to patch up. The POSIX Ada bindings address this situation by denying an Ada application the ability to handle certain signals which are expected to be used by the Ada runtime system. This still leaves the need for intervention if an Ada application wants to use a C language library that depends on catching the same signals used by the Ada runtime system.

The OSSWG requirements could be met by adding new interfaces to POSIX. Existing interfaces do not need to be modified or deleted. However, some philosophical views and assumptions of the POSIX community differ considerably from the OSSWG conceptual model.

Examples are access to hardware interrupt masks and error logging. Both were cited as "out of scope" by the POSIX community.

P1003.1d has developed interrupt control interfaces which fulfill Requirement 5.5 and contribute to the fulfillment of Requirement 5.2. Due to hardware dependencies, it may not be appropriate to attempt to standardize interfaces for masking/unmasking interrupts.

Executive Summary: The following paragraphs serve as an explanation and summary of section 3.5, Event and Error Interfaces, and section 3.11, Reliability, Adaptability, and Maintainability Interfaces. While these two service classes are closely related, note that service class 5 goes beyond strictly error interfaces, which also apply to service class 11, and deals more broadly with events, which may or may not be related to errors. The thrust of this summary is system fault and error management, which is concerned with the error aspects of service class 5 and with service class 11. Section 3.5 does, however, also discuss events in detail.

In addition, while some of the requirements from service classes 5 and 11 deal with interfaces between an operating system and entities other than application software, this summary and sections 3.5 and 3.11 consider satisfying requirements only through an API. The discussion of other types of operating system interfaces is deferred at this time.

In general, the OSSWG discovered that POSIX provides or supports little in the way of interfaces for service classes 5 and 11 as they relate to system fault and error management. (Sections 3.5 and 3.11 discuss the deltas between what the OSIF requires and what POSIX supplies for each OSIF requirement in detail.) Consequently, the OSSWG considered the following alternatives to resolve the deltas between the OSIF requirements and POSIX:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. System fault and error management is not generally a natural extension to existing POSIX interfaces. It may fit as new work under POSIX 1387, system administration.
2. Submit a new POSIX PAR to do this work. POSIX may require a new PAR even should this work be done under 1387. A substantial body of existing practice is available for system fault and error management in current military tactical systems and may also be available in such commercial applications as telephone, medical, and banking systems. The availability of people to do this work may well be the deciding factor in providing this capability in POSIX. People would probably have to come largely from OSSWG as general interest in the POSIX community for this kind of activity seems to be low. However, the OSSWG should also contact commercial parties where interest may be growing.
3. Mature a standard outside of POSIX. UNIX International (High Availability Investigative Team), Open Software Foundation (OSF), and X3T8 (Fault Isolation) have efforts that might fill a large number of the current deltas. OSSWG could use these as the vehicles to mature a industry standard outside of POSIX. At the appropriate time a new PAR could be pursued in POSIX.
4. Develop a new military standard. This is a less acceptable alternative than 2, although comparable in effort, because it is external to the OSIF baseline.
5. Levy the requirements and the OSIF general requirements (e.g., modularity, extensibility, uniformity) on vendors but do not provide a standard as such. This alternative relies on vendors to develop some commercial existing practice in this area on which to potentially standardize at a later date.

The OSSWG recommends at this time that a standard be matured outside of POSIX, through UNIX International, OSF, and X3T8 as appropriate. Unfulfilled OSIF requirements which could be satisfied by other efforts include:

- 3.5.1 Event and Error Receipt
- 3.5.2 Event and error distribution
- 3.5.3 Event and error management
- 3.5.4 Event logging
- 3.11.1 Fault information collection
- 3.11.2 Fault information request
- 3.11.3 Diagnostic tests request
- 3.11.4 Diagnostic tests results
- 3.11.5 Operational status
- 3.11.6 Fault detection thresholds
- 3.11.7 Fault isolation
- 3.11.8 Fault response
- 3.11.9 Reconfiguration
- 3.11.10 Enable/disable system component
- 3.11.11 Performance monitoring

The OSSWG recommends satisfying 3.5.6, Mask/Unmask Interrupts in the P1387 working group in conjunction with a new PAR for Device Driver interfaces. Mask/Unmask Interrupts is not provided by P1003.1d because of hardware dependencies. Additionally, some minimal functionality can be achieved for requirements 3.11.3, Diagnostic Test Requests, 3.11.4, Diagnostic Test Results, 3.11.5, Operational Status, 3.11.8, Fault Response, 3.11.9, Reconfiguration, and 3.11.10, Enable/Disable System Component through interface service devctl() in P1003.1d. Devctl() allows standard access to 'non standardized' hardware devices.

3.5.1 Event and Error Receipt

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "c" (may be deferred).

OSSWG requirement 5.1 is partially covered by POSIX. While the event interfaces exist, and error interfaces are provided for individual processes, there are no error coordination or distribution interfaces.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the receipt and coordination of event and error information.

Description of Delta: This requirement refers to error information coming into the OS across the OSIF other than through an API for subsequent distribution according to requirement 3.5.2. The event receipt part of this requirement is met by the POSIX error codes and Signals interfaces in 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c, and the Interrupt Control interfaces in P1003.1d.

Recommendation: For error receipt, because OSSWG is only concerned with the API portion of the OSIF at this time and for most applications this requirement deals with parts of the OSIF other than APIs, this requirement delta is a low priority. Monitor and participate in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate portions of standards into POSIX.

3.5.2 Event and Error Distribution

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

P1003.1d Interrupt Control specifies that, upon occurrence of a designated interrupt, a designated process or thread is to be notified, or a designated user-written Interrupt Service Routine (ISR) is to be executed (or both). This interrupt control capability, in conjunction with 1003.1/1003.5/1003.1b/P1003.5b/P1003.1c signals, would provide some coverage of requirement 5.2 (distribution of event and error information). In particular, the interrupt control mechanism could be used for the distribution of information on events and errors resulting in hardware interrupts (such as hardware device errors). However, this distribution mechanism would not be applicable to certain operating system errors (such as those in which kernel data structures become faulty).

Another possible deficiency in the coverage of requirement 5.2 is the fact that functions return indication of only a single error, instead of all errors that occur during function processing.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide for the selective distribution of event and error information

Description of Delta: POSIX 1003.1 and 1003.5 provide for the distribution of events through signals. Table 3-1 (1003.1) lists the signals that all POSIX implementations must support and Table 3-2 (1003.1) lists those signals that a system implementing job control must support. However, "an implementation may define additional signals that may occur in the system" (1003.1). For particular systems, it may be significant that the signals defined by 1003.1 and 1003.5 do not allow for any user-defined information, such as a pointer to an error report, to be passed with the signal and do not queue multiple occurrences of a signal. The Signals interface is enhanced in 1003.1b and P1003.5b with the addition of Queued Signals, and all signal types are extended to threads in P1003.1c. The 1003.1b and P1003.5b specifications allow an application to reserve a range of signal numbers as real-time signals. These signals may pass a user-defined value or pointer to the signal-catching function. In addition multiple occurrences of real-time signals are queued for the application in FIFO order.

POSIX provides for the distribution of errors to the requesters of individual functions. Each function specifies which errors all POSIX implementations must detect and which are optional. 1003.1 and 1003.5 list the possible errors. However, "implementations may support additional errors not included in this clause, may generate errors included in this clause under circumstances other than those described in this clause, or may contain extensions or limitations that prevent some errors from occurring" (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1). "If more than one error occurs in processing a function call, this part of ISO/IEC 9945 does not define in what order the errors are detected; therefore, any one of the possible errors may be returned" (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1). [CAN THIS APPROACH BE TOLERATED?] In addition, realtime extensions in P1003.1d provide for handling of interrupts. In P1003.1d the occurrence of an interrupt can be made to notify a process or thread, or start the execution of a user-written ISR (or both).

The OSIF requires that all possible errors be available, not just one of those possible. [AGAIN, CAN THIS BE TOLERATED?] It also requires that there be a means for coordinating the distribution of errors, as for example to a single process responsible for error analysis. The P1003.1d interrupt control interface enables distribution of certain errors, namely those resulting in hardware interrupts. Besides the fact that the P1003.1d Interrupt Control interface can deliver only hardware interrupts and the Signals interface can deliver any event or error defined by the system, it may be important for particular systems to note another difference between the two interfaces: Interrupt Control has distinct registration/deregistration functions for each interrupt whereas the Signals interface relies on signals be sent to or retrieved by the proper application software.

Recommendation: The OSSWG recommends continued support for approval of the P1003.1d Interrupt Control interfaces via the balloting process.

And, to completely satisfy this requirement, OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. The POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group, in addition to proposing new interfaces, may suggest modifications to existing interfaces, such as reserving a set of real-time signal numbers for error reporting. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate standards into POSIX.

3.5.3 Event and Error Management

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the timely delivery of interrupt and asynchronous events to system components and shall support the implementation of user-selectable error processing alternatives. Alternatives shall include, as a minimum, filtering, retry, ignore, and accumulate occurrences.

Description of Delta: POSIX does make special provisions for the timely delivery of interrupts and asynchronous events which generate interrupts to system components; P1003.1d Interrupt Control interfaces provide for process or thread notification on occurrence of an interrupt and/or for handling the interrupt via an Interrupt Service Routine (ISR). For asynchronous events which generate signals, "Implementations should deliver unblocked signals as soon after they are generated as possible. However, it is difficult for 1003.1 or 1003.5 to make specific requirements about this, beyond those in kill() and sigprocmask(). Even on systems with prompt delivery, scheduling of higher priority processes is always likely to cause delays" (paragraph B.3.3.1.2, 1003.1).

Within the limits discussed under requirement 3.5.2 (i.e., POSIX does not provide for coordination in the distribution of events and errors), some user-selectable error processing alternatives are available. Processes can mask signals (paragraph 3.3.1.2, 1003.1). Processes can also choose among three types of actions that they can associate with a signal: a default action, ignore, and a signal catching function (paragraph 3.3.1.3, 1003.1). Retries and accumulation of occurrences would then be the responsibility of the individual processes. In particular, occurrences of a particular event or error could not be collected or action taken on behalf of several processes or on behalf of the system as a whole through the interface.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends continued support for approval of the P1003.1d Interrupt Control interfaces via the balloting process. If necessary, OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; the POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate standards into POSIX.

3.5.4 Event Logging

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement 5.4, event logging, is not currently supported by POSIX.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support logging events to application-defined storage. The types of events and event sources shall be dynamically selectable/deselectable.

Description of Delta: POSIX does not support logging events. The Realtime working group considers this to be a system administration issue.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; the POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate standards into POSIX.

3.5.5 Block/Unblock Interrupts

This requirement is directly met by the Interrupt Control interfaces in P1003.1d. These interfaces provide for mutual exclusion between application code and Interrupt Service Routine (ISR) code,

effectively providing the functionality of Block/Unblock Interrupts in a generalized interface which permits implementations for both uni-processor and multi-processor systems.

3.5.6 Mask/Unmask Interrupts

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the ability to mask and unmask interrupts. Note that this requirement has particular relevance for Ada applications, as specified in paragraph 3.16.18. Changes to the recommendations should take that fact into account. Because of its close relationship to the underlying hardware architecture, this requirement is a sub-requirement of requirement 7.1 (Device Driver Availability).

Description of Delta: Within the limits discussed under requirement 3.5.2 (i.e., POSIX does not provide for the collection and coordination of all events and errors), POSIX provides the ability to mask and unmask events through its signal processing (1003.1 and 1003.5). Therefore, complete resolution of the deltas for this requirement depend on the resolution of requirement 3.5.2.

While POSIX does currently provide the capability to handle interrupts in P1003.1d, the interfaces therein do not provide the capability to mask and unmask interrupts. Hardware dependencies make it inappropriate to standardize such interfaces outside of the context of portable device driver interfaces.

Recommendation: We recommend that the OSSWG support a new PAR to develop portable device driver interfaces (between device drivers and the user, OS, and hardware). Pursue this PAR under the charter of the P1387 (System Administration) working group.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
5.1	Partially	Insertion	-/a
5.2	Partially	Insertion	a
5.3	Partially	Insertion	a
5.4	No	Insertion	a
5.5	Yes	None	-
5.6	Partially	Insertion	a

3.6 FILE INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX standards support service class 6 in a substantially complete way. The information that follows was primarily derived from 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c, and P1003.1d documentation.

If you use Ada Direct_IO over POSIX files, then the 1003.5 Change_Working_Directory operation in package POSIX_Process_Environment should be done at system initialization to establish the default working directory.

The requirements for: Contiguous Read of a File (6.1), Protect an Area Within a File (6.2), File Management Suspend/Resume for Process (6.4), File Management Block Requests (6.5), Create (6.16), Open (6.7), Point within a file (6.8), Read (6.9), Write (6.19), Write Contiguous (6.20), Close (6.10), Delete a file (6.11), Create (6.12), Specify Default (6.13), Delete directories (6.14), and Query or Modify

File attributes (6.17 - 6.18) are directly met by a combination of 1003.1/1003.5, 1003.1b/P1003.5b, and P1003.1d. Shadow Files (6.15) is met by the interfaces listed above in combination with resource locking and/or mutual exclusion interfaces provided by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c.

The requirement for: File Management Scheduling (6.3) is not met or insufficiently met by POSIX. File Management Scheduling requires a method to specify a response time for file requests. POSIX does not include this as part of the file interface.

Note that both Ada and POSIX define file operations. The two I/O systems are not based on identical file models. The POSIX I/O system has the objective of making the POSIX I/O model available to the user. With both sets of I/O operations available, it is possible that a given collection of application programs will use both sets of operations. For this reason, it is desirable to permit the interchange of external files so that they can be read and updated by the use of either set of I/O operations after being created and written by a different set of I/O operations. Thus, POSIX extends the Ada file model in several useful ways, including:

- a hierarchical, persistent file name-space
- file/device control
- memory mapping (of files)
- standard error-output file
- appending to a sequential file
- files with records of mixed types and sizes

The POSIX I/O system does not have the objective of incorporating all the functionality of the Ada I/O model. Instead, it interprets relevant portions of the Ada LRM and constrains and details some of the implementation dependencies permitted by the Ada LRM so that Ada I/O is more completely defined in a POSIX environment. Thus, the POSIX I/O model fits the Ada I/O model fairly well.

Unfortunately, a complete mapping between the POSIX and Ada I/O operations is quite difficult, primarily because of the lack of underlying standardization concerning external representations of data. On a POSIX system, Ada external files are implemented as POSIX files, but the view of a file via the Ada I/O packages is different from the view via the POSIX interfaces. There is also a difference between portable character sets, though this is likely to be reduced in Ada-95. Furthermore, the combination of POSIX and Ada files does create the possibility of some new errors. In general, the effects of interleaved Ada and POSIX operations on the same open file are unpredictable. The POSIX Ada binding provides a way to open an Ada file object with a specified POSIX file descriptor, but states that the effect is implementation-defined.

3.6.1 Contiguous Read of a File (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted because it was too implementation specific and has been replaced with the new requirement 6.21 (File Performance Optimization).

3.6.2 Protect An Area Within A file

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 open() and File Control; 1003.5 File Permissions and Advisory Record Locking; and 1003.1b/P1003.5b Memory Mapped Files.

3.6.3 File Management Scheduling

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "c" (may be deferred).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support a capability to specify a response requirement for the service being requested for file management.

Requirement Rationale: For hard deadline real-time systems, the file manager must schedule service processing based on the response requirements of the requests submitted by the users. FIFO scheduling is unacceptable for real-time applications. The file manager must also support the notion of preemption.

Description of Delta: POSIX does not require a method for specifying a response time for scheduling I/O.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. The Realtime working group is unable to identify existing practice for such interfaces, and thus does not consider this appropriate for standardization under the Realtime working group charter.
2. Submit a new POSIX PAR to do this work. The availability of people to do this work is questionable. People would probably have to come largely from OSSWG as general interest in the POSIX community for this kind of activity seems to be low.
3. Assume a standard outside POSIX. No standards that answer this kind of requirement are apparent at this time.
4. Develop a new military standard. This is a less acceptable alternative than 2 because it is external to the OSIF baseline. At the same time, it suffers from the same handicaps as 2, lack of people to do the work.
5. Levy the requirements on vendors without a standard imposed. This alternative relies on vendors to develop some commercial existing practice in this area on which to potentially standardize at a later date.

Recommendation: Based on alternative 1, we recommend that the OSSWG view File Management Scheduling as inappropriate for standardization.

3.6.4 File Management Suspend/Resume for Processes

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 blocking and non-blocking open(), read(), and write(); 1003.1b/P1003.5b Asynchronous I/O; and P1003.1d Device Control.

3.6.5 File Management Block Requests

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 read(), write(), and lseek(); 1003.5 read(), write(), and seek(); 1003.1b/P1003.5b Memory Mapped Files; and P1003.1d Advisory Information.

3.6.6 Round Robin File Management (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted.

3.6.7 Open a File

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 open(); 1003.1b/P1003.5b open(); and P1003.1d Advisory Information.

3.6.8 Point Within a File

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 lseek(); 1003.5 seek(); and 1003.1b Memory Mapped Files.

3.6.9 Read a File

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 read(); and 1003.1b/P1003.5b Asynchronous or List Directed Read and Memory Mapped Files.

The Ada standard Direct_IO package will be provided as part of standard Ada. This package contains two READ file operations. The input parameters for the first read operation include the FILE identifier and the index to read FROM the file. The second read operation is an overloaded version of the first without the parameter identifying the index to read FROM. The only output parameter for both read operations contains the ITEM to be read.

3.6.10 Close a File

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 close().

The Ada standard Direct_IO package will be provided as part of standard Ada. This package contains a CLOSE file operation. The only parameter is both input and output and is the FILE identifier.

3.6.11 Delete a File

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 unlink(); and 1003.2 "rm."

The Ada standard Direct_IO package will be provided as part of standard Ada. This package contains a DELETE file operation. The only parameter is both input and output and is the FILE identifier.

3.6.12 Create a Directory

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 mkdir(); 1003.5 create_directory(); and 1003.2 "mkdir."

3.6.13 Specifying Default Directory

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 chdir(); 1003.5 change_working_directory(); and 1003.2 "cd."

3.6.14 Delete a Directory

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 rmdir(); 1003.5 remove_directory(); and 1003.2 "rmdir."

3.6.15 Shadow Files

This requirement is "shall support" and is thus met by the interfaces listed above in combination with resource locking and/or mutual exclusion interfaces provided by 1003.1b, P1003.1c, and P1003.5b. However, because these interfaces do not necessarily provide sufficient support to maintain shadow files

at several nodes of a distributed system, this delta must be carefully re-evaluated if this requirement is modified to explicitly call out distributed shadow file support.

3.6.16 Create a File

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 open() and creat() and 1003.5 open_or_create().

The Ada standard Direct_IO package will be provided as part of standard Ada. This package contains a CREATE file operation. The only input output parameter is the FILE identifier. The input parameters include the MODE, file NAME, and a FORM parameter. The MODE parameter identifies the file as read only, write only, or both read and write. The file NAME is a string identifying the name of the file. The FORM parameter is a string which is user defined. The POSIX_Supplement_To_Ada_IO defined in 1003.5/8.2 will be used to build a POSIX-compliant FORM parameter.

3.6.17 Query File Attributes

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 stat(), fstat(), access(), and lseek(); and 1003.5 package POSIX_File_Status, Check File Accessibility operations, and seek().

3.6.18 Modify File Attributes

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 chmod(), chown(), utime(), and lseek(); 1003.5 Updating File Status Information; 1003.1b ftruncate(); P1003.5b Set Length of a File; and P1003.1d Advisory Information. Also, P1003.2 provides the "chmod" shell command to meet this requirement.

3.6.19 Write a File

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 write(); and 1003.1b/P1003.5b Asynchronous or List Directed Write and Memory Mapped Files.

The Ada standard Direct_IO package will be provided as part of standard Ada. This package contains two WRITE file operations. The input parameters for the first write operation include the FILE identifier and the index to write TO the file. The second write operation is an overloaded version of the first without the parameter identifying the index to write TO. The only output parameter for both write operations contains the ITEM to be written.

3.6.20 Write Contiguous File (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted because it was too implementation specific and has been replaced with the new requirement 6.21 (File Performance Optimization).

3.6.21 File Performance Optimization

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the capability to optimize file reads and writes such that the worst case access time is bounded.

Description of Delta: This requirement is nearly met by 1003.1/1003.5 read() and write(); 1003.1b/P1003.5b Asynchronous or List Directed Write and Memory Mapped Files; and P1003.1d Advisory Information. However, it remains unfulfilled because the Advisory Information interfaces do not provide any guarantee of bounded worst case access time.

Recommendation: Pursue this requirement via the balloting process for P1003.1d Advisory Information interfaces. Should this fail, pursue interfaces for the additional capability within the context of the Realtime working group (P1003.1j or a new PAR).

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
6.1	Deleted	None	Deleted
6.2	Yes	None	-
6.3	No	Insertion	c
6.4	Yes	None	-
6.5	Yes	None	-
6.6	Deleted	None	Deleted
6.7	Yes	None	-
6.8	Yes	None	-
6.9	Yes	None	-
6.10	Yes	None	-
6.11	Yes	None	-
6.12	Yes	None	-
6.13	Yes	None	-
6.14	Yes	None	-
6.15	Yes	None	-
6.16	Yes	None	-
6.17	Yes	None	-
6.18	Yes	None	-
6.19	Yes	None	-
6.20	Deleted	None	Deleted
6.21	Partially	Insertion	a

3.7 GENERALIZED I/O INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX standards support service class 7, generalized I/O, in a substantially complete way. This is assuming the definition of a "file" found in 1003.1 section 2.3, includes any and all devices. This means that any device can be represented by a file.

3.7.1 Device Driver Availability

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement for device driver availability (7.1) is not met by POSIX and is considered by POSIX to be implementation dependent.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the interfaces necessary to support the addition of device drivers.

Description of Delta: P1003.1d Interrupt Control allows application servicing of device interrupts. 1003.1b mmap() allows devices to be memory mapped, but only for devices currently known to the system as special files. Not all operating system services typically required by a device driver are shown at the POSIX interface (e.g. mapping a user buffer to a DMA address).

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. This requirement could be inserted into P1387 system administration. In the P1387.1 document, place holders exist for interfaces which would be the same type of interfaces needed for device drivers.
2. Assume a standard outside of POSIX. The SAE and another industry consortium known as CDDE (Common Device Driver Environment) are currently working toward such standards. The applicability of such standards to the NGCR operating system Interface standard needs to be investigated.
3. OSSWG defined based on existing practice.

Recommendation: Alternative 1 should be pursued. We recommend that the OSSWG support a new PAR to develop portable device driver interfaces (between device drivers and the user, OS, and hardware). Pursue this PAR under the charter of the P1387 (System Administration) working group. The evolving industry standards noted in alternative 2 may serve as the basis for an IEEE standard.

3.7.2 Open Device

This requirement is met directly by 1003.1 General File Creation, and 1003.5 Creating and Removing Files.

3.7.3 Close Device

This requirement is met directly by 1003.1 File Descriptor Deassignment, and 1003.5 Close.

3.7.4 Transmit Data

This requirement is met directly by 1003.1 Write, 1003.1b Asynchronous Write, 1003.1b List Directed I/O, and 1003.1b Memory Mapping of special files (devices). The Ada interfaces appropriate for transmitting data include 1003.5 Write and Generic Write. The Ada generic write allows the user to identify a data type appropriate for the data which is sent.

3.7.5 Receive Data

This requirement is met directly by 1003.1 Read, 1003.1b Asynchronous Read, 1003.1b List Directed I/O, and 1003.1b Memory Mapping of special files (devices). The Ada interfaces appropriate for receiving data include Read and Generic Read. The Ada generic read allows the user to identify a data type appropriate for the data which is received.

3.7.6 Device Event Notification

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Device Event Notification is a compound requirement comprising requirements 5.1 (Event and Error Receipt), 5.2 (Event and Error Distribution), 5.3 (Event and Error Management), 5.4 (Event Logging), 5.5 (Enable/Disable Interrupts), and 5.6 (Mask/Unmask Interrupts) applied specifically to events, errors, and interrupts originating at a peripheral device. It remains unfulfilled to the extent that any of its dependent requirements remains unfulfilled for devices. Refer to section 3.5, Event and Error Interfaces for specific information on those requirements.

3.7.7 Control Device

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1 Control Operations on Files, 1003.1 General Terminal Interface, 1003.5 File Control, and 1003.1d Device Control.

3.7.8 I/O Directory Services

The requirement for I/O directory services is met directly by 1003.1 Files and Directories, P1003.1a File Hierarchy Streams, and 1003.5 Packages POSIX_Files and POSIX_File_Status.

3.7.9 Device Management Suspend/Resume for Processes

This requirement is fully met by 1003.1 Open a File, 1003.1 Read from a File (device), 1003.1 Write to a File (device), 1003.1b Asynchronous Input and Output, P1003.1d Device Control, and 1003.5 Read, Write, Generic Read, Generic Write.

3.7.10 Mount/Dismount Device

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is not met by POSIX and is considered by POSIX to be implementation dependent.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the capability to mount and dismount a logical or physical device.

Description of Delta: Not presently shown at POSIX Interface

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. This requirement could be inserted into P1387 system administration. In the P1387.1 document, place holders exist for interfaces which would be the same type of interfaces needed for mounting and dismounting a device. This was deferred to a class of services for which no draft has yet been generated.

2. OSSWG defined based on existing practice.

Recommendation: Insert into a P1387 system administration document (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management).

3.7.11 Initialize/Purge Device

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 General Terminal Interface, and P1003.1d Device Control.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
7.1	Partially	Insertion	a
7.2	Yes	None	-
7.3	Yes	None	-
7.4	Yes	None	-
7.5	Yes	None	-
7.6	Partially	Insertion	a
7.7	Yes	None	-
7.8	Yes	None	-
7.9	Yes	None	-
7.10	No	Insertion	a
7.11	Yes	None	-

3.8 NETWORK AND COMMUNICATIONS INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX Standards partially support Service Class 8, Network and Communication Interfaces. Most of the input to the evaluation of this service class is derived from the P1003.1g Protocol Independent Interfaces API, the 1351 ACSE and Presentation Services API, the 1238.1 FTAM API, the 1224.2 Directory Services API, and the P1003.21 Realtime Distributed Systems Communication API. The Realtime Distributed Systems Communication study group first met in July, 1992, as a Protocol Independent Interfaces splinter group; it submitted a PAR as a separate POSIX working group in fall, 1992. The PAR was subsequently approved as P1003.21.

The Protocol Independent Interfaces working group has the charter to develop two levels of networking interfaces. One is the high level Simple Network Interface (SNI) which has been deferred until the completion of the low level interface. The Detailed Network Interface (DNI) is a low level interface which has two C bindings. One is to Berkeley Sockets and the other is to X/Open's XTI (the standardized version of AT&T's Transport Layer Interface (TLI)). The two C bindings position is a compromise resulting from the controversy over whether to choose sockets, XTI, or a third interface made up of elements from both sockets and XTI as the DNI.

1003.21 plans to develop protocol independent interfaces that are complementary to realtime systems. They plan to use the work done by SEI as an Ada binding to the SAFENET Lightweight protocol suite as a base document for their work.

In light of the nature of the 1003.21 work as well as the Protocol Independent Interfaces, 1238, 1238.1 and 1224.2 work and their close association with the Network and Communications Interfaces service class, the OSSWG needs to monitor progress in these groups closely.

In a system using components based on NGCR standards, there will frequently be a hierarchy of networked communication, data storage, and processing functions. At the base of this hierarchy may be a number of processing or storage units on a single board connected by an onboard bus. At the next level will be FUTUREBUS+ or non-NGCR backplane busses (e.g., VME). At the next level there may be SAFENET, MIL-STD-1553B data busses, or non-NGCR-defined LANs. At the highest level, but outside the scope of this set of requirements, there may be communications among systems on different Navy platforms. In some application domains and for some application functions, the OSIF must provide explicit access to networked communication, data storage, and processing functions for both NGCR-defined communication components and similar non-NGCR-defined components. This is in addition to the use of these capabilities implied in many other requirements. Two processes make up a communications transaction regardless of their location. This includes either two processes across a communications link or two processes residing on the same processor.

OSSWG had expressed some concern that the original requirements 8.3 (Acknowledged Connection-Oriented Service) and 8.4 (Unacknowledged Connection-Oriented Service) actually dictated two protocol-specific implementations of Connection-Oriented Service intended to exploit a trade-off between highly reliable delivery and high performance. The same can be said of requirements 8.5 (Acknowledged Datagram Service) and 8.6 (Datagram Service); as well as requirements 8.8 (Broadcast/Multicast Service) and 8.9 (K-Acknowledged Multicast Service). It was suggested that these requirements be re-worded to state true requirements; that is, Connection-Oriented Service, Datagram Service, or Broadcast/Multicast Service with specified levels of reliability and performance. This is more in keeping with the P1003.1g concept of Quality of Service parameters, and isolates the requirements from dependency on current network protocol implementations. After considerable debate, OSSWG deleted requirements 8.4, 8.5, and 8.9; and introduced a new requirement 8.11 (Quality of Service / Option Management).

3.8.1 Interface to NAVY Standard Network

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is partially covered by the 1238.1 and 1351 standards which provide interfaces to the SAFENET OSI Profiles; the 1224.2 standard which provides interfaces to directory services; and P1003.1g and P1003.21 which may provide suitable interfaces to the SAFENET XTP Profiles. P1003.21 is attempting to make their interface applicable to backplane buses such as Futurebus+. Only P1003.1g and P1003.21 intend to provide an Ada bindings to their interfaces. Additionally, the PASC series of standards does not currently include interfaces for network management which are needed to support SAFENET.

Requirement: The operating system shall provide explicit interfaces to and control of NGCR standard communications implementations. These implementations shall include but not be limited to implementations of Futurebus+ and SAFENET.

Description of Delta: The PASC series of standards does not currently include interfaces for network management which are needed to provide a complete interface to SAFENET.

Recommendation: Pursue/support PARs for interfaces to network management.

3.8.2 Interfaces to Other Network and Communication Entities

This requirement is met in various ways. Explicit interfaces exist in P1003.1g for interfacing to networks (Ethernet and FDDI), usually using additional protocols (TCP/IP and ISO). Interfaces also exist to access devices via 1003.1 and 1003.5 Input and Output Primitives. Although device drivers are needed to access devices such as MIL-STD-1553B, these interfaces can be used in a portable manner. Finally, it is generally accepted that access to backplane busses (VME, MULTIBUS, and Pi-Bus) is not explicitly given to applications and the details of backplane communication are regarded as an implementation issue.

3.8.3 Acknowledged Connection-Oriented Service

There is no delta for this requirement. The work of the 1351, Protocol Independent Interfaces, and 1003.21 groups will satisfy this requirement. Only the 1003.21 group plans to provide an Ada binding.

There is some concern within the OSSWG that 1003.21 will "overfulfill" this requirement; that is, if 1003.21 develops alternative interfaces to Acknowledged Connection-Oriented Service (as opposed to simply Ada bindings for those already developed by the 1238 and Protocol Independent Interfaces groups), it is not clear that having two sets of interfaces will be advantageous.

3.8.4 Unacknowledged Connection-Oriented Service (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted because it was too implementation specific and has been replaced with the new requirement 8.11 (Quality of Service / Option Management).

3.8.5 Acknowledged Datagram Service (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted because it was too implementation specific and has been replaced with the new requirement 8.11 (Quality of Service / Option Management).

3.8.6 Datagram Transfer Service

There is no delta for this requirement. The work of the Protocol Independent Interfaces and 1003.21 groups will satisfy this requirement. Only the 1003.21 group plans to provide an Ada binding.

Systems may also consider the 1003.1b and P1003.5b Message Queue interface for datagram-type communication. This interface is intended for real-time embedded systems, avoiding the overhead of the generality necessary when other types of communication service are also needed. Such generality is provided by the P1003.1g interfaces. (The 1003.21 interface may also provide means for avoiding this generality; however, at this time the interface is too immature to judge.) The Message Queue interface is biased toward simple queuing of relatively small fixed-size message objects in the interest of speed and efficiency. The interface does not specify the method of communication. The P1003.1d specification also adds a time-out feature to Message Queues.

There is some concern within the OSSWG that 1003.21 will "overfulfill" this requirement; that is, if 1003.21 develops alternative interfaces to Datagram Transfer Service (as opposed to simply Ada bindings for those already developed by the 1238 and Protocol Independent Interfaces groups), it is not clear that having two sets of interfaces will be advantageous.

3.8.7 Request - Reply Service

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

There will be no delta for this requirement if the 1003.21 work proceeds as planned. A transaction requirement appears in the 1003.21 requirements document and a transaction service appears in the 1003.21 Ada binding base document.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the ability to select request - reply communication services.

Description of Delta: No delta/dependent on 1003.21 group work.

Recommendation: Monitor/influence 1003.21 group work. OSSWG is concerned that the 1003.21 group is not making adequate progress in defining this interface and may not provide a C-Language binding to this interface; therefore the Protocol Independent Interfaces group should be considered as a backup.

3.8.8 Broadcast/Multicast Service

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

There will be no delta for this requirement if the 1003.21 work proceeds as planned. Broadcast and multicast requirements appear in the 1003.21 requirements document and broadcast and multicast services appear in the 1003.21 Ada binding base document.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide for the selection of broadcast/multicast communication services.

Description of Delta: No delta/dependent on 1003.21 group work.

Recommendation: Monitor/influence 1003.21 group work. OSSWG is concerned that the 1003.21 group is not making adequate progress in defining this interface and may not provide a C-Language binding to this interface; therefore the Protocol Independent Interfaces group should be considered as a backup.

3.8.9 K-Acknowledged Multicast Service (Deleted)

This requirement has been deleted because it was too implementation specific and has been replaced with the new requirement 8.11 (Quality of Service / Option Management).

3.8.10 Atomic Multicast Service

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

There will be no delta for this requirement if the 1003.21 work proceeds as planned. A multicast transaction requirement appears in the 1003.21 requirements document and a multicast transaction service appears in the 1003.21 Ada binding base document.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide for the selection of reliable, atomic multicast communications services.

Description of Delta: No delta/dependent on 1003.21 group work.

Recommendation: Monitor/influence 1003.21 group work. OSSWG is concerned that the 1003.21 group is not making adequate progress in defining this interface and may not provide a C-Language binding to this interface; therefore the Protocol Independent Interfaces group should be considered as a backup.

3.8.11 Quality of Service / Option Management

There is no delta for this requirement with respect to P1003.1g. The P1003.1g DNI and the P1003.21 Ada binding base documents allow for the specification of protocol-specific quality-of-service parameters and other protocol-specific options on connection establishment. The SAFENET lightweight suite specifies a selectable acknowledgment-control quality-of-service parameter that is applicable to connection establishment and that has two primary settings, acknowledged transfer and unacknowledged transfer. If P1003.21 specifies similar protocol-specific options to complement its broadcast and multicast protocols (K-acknowledged multicast, for example), this delta will remain fulfilled with respect to the draft of P1003.21 also.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
8.1	Partially	Modification	a
8.2	Yes	None	-
8.3	Yes	None	-
8.4	Deleted	None	Deleted
8.5	Deleted	None	Deleted
8.6	Yes	None	-
8.7	Probably	Insertion	a
8.8	Probably	Insertion	a
8.9	Deleted	None	Deleted
8.10	Probably	Insertion	a
8.11	Yes	None	-

3.9 PROCESS MANAGEMENT INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX Standards support Service Class 9, Process Management, in a substantially complete way for both the Pthread Model and the POSIX process model.

OSSWG requires a single unit of concurrency, namely the "process." 1003.1 and 1003.1b support this requirement via the POSIX process model, while P1003.1c adds a second level of concurrency (within a POSIX process) called POSIX threads. Depending on the application, an OSSWG "process" may be either a POSIX process or a POSIX thread. Furthermore, some applications (particularly in Ada) may require simultaneous use of both concurrency models. Therefore, this analysis separately considers each requirement as it is met by POSIX processes and by POSIX threads (Pthreads).

The ability to create processes is an essential part of the POSIX interface and an Ada binding to POSIX without processes would be incomplete. Nevertheless, it is possible that the POSIX process model is at odds with the Ada multitasking model, particularly since a standard mapping between these two models does not exist. Therefore, Ada programmers should be aware of potential conflicts that can occur when creating POSIX processes.

In an attempt to reconcile the Ada and POSIX models of concurrency there seems to be three potential mappings: 1) each Ada task is a POSIX process, 2) each Ada program is a POSIX process, or 3) there is not a simple relationship between POSIX processes and Ada tasks. The choice that causes the least conflict between Ada and POSIX is to require that the POSIX Ada standard interface to POSIX impose a virtual one-to-one correspondence between processes and program executions. That is, an Ada program execution should act, feel, and look as if it is running as a single POSIX process. This equivalence between a POSIX process and an Ada program means that one cannot differentiate between the two POSIX calls. This choice has the virtue of raising the fewest problems and resolving many issues cleanly. The P1003.5 standard accommodates this idea by isolating those features of POSIX that deal with process creation within the packages `POSIX_Process_Primitives`, `POSIX-Unsafe_Process_Primitives`, and `POSIX_Process_Identification`.

3.9.1 Create Process

The requirement for Create Process (9.1) is directly met for Pthreads by P1003.1c plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b. The Create Process (9.1) requirement is met for processes by the fork, exec, and signal interfaces of 1003.1, package `POSIX_Process_Primitives` of 1003.5, the spawn interface of P1003.1d, and the scheduling, communication, signal, and

synchronization interfaces of 1003.1b and P1003.5b. The use of these interfaces in combination to meet the requirement is adequate since the requirement is stated as "shall support." P1003.1a provides a system() interface to 1003.2 shell commands to meet this requirement.

3.9.2 Terminate Process

The requirement for Terminate Process (9.2) is directly met for Pthreads by P1003.1c, the pthread_abort() interface in P1003.1j, plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b. The requirement for Terminate Process (9.2) is directly met for POSIX processes by 1003.1/1003.5 process interfaces plus 1003.1b/P1003.5b process attributes and interprocess communication facilities. Also, for processes only, 1003.2 provides the "kill" shell command to meet this requirement.

3.9.3 Start Process

The requirement for Start Process (9.3) was purposely rejected as a separate interface by P1003.1c in favor of use of the Pthread synchronization primitives to achieve the same effect whenever process creation and startup must be separately managed. This alternative capability is adequate to meet this "shall support" requirement. The requirement for Start Process (9.3) is also not separately addressed for POSIX processes. The requirement is met by the 1003.1/1003.5 process primitives, and by the P1003.1a system() interface to 1003.2 shell commands. It is indirectly supported via the 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, and P1003.5b process synchronization interfaces, much as in the case of Pthreads. Since this is a "shall support" requirement, it is met by a combination of POSIX process synchronization primitives.

3.9.4 Stop Process

The requirement for Stop Process (9.4) is not addressed by POSIX for either Pthreads or POSIX processes. The whole concept of stopping a process for subsequent restart (from a point other than where it was stopped) is considered by POSIX as an application dependent variant of a thread or process becoming blocked and subsequently unblocked. Since POSIX does indirectly support Suspend Process (q.v.), and standard languages support both local and non-local jumps, this "shall support" requirement is considered met by POSIX.

3.9.5 Suspend Process

The requirement for Suspend Process (9.5) is met for both Pthreads and POSIX processes by combinations of interfaces in 1003.1/1003.5, 1003.1b/P1003.5b, P1003.1c, and the Ada LRM. Although no Pthread or POSIX process interface explicitly provides each of these capabilities, the requirement is met by combining interfaces. The POSIX community regards asynchronously affecting the state of another process or thread as a dangerous capability, and suggests that this be accomplished by asynchronously or synchronously requesting the other thread change its own state.

3.9.6 Resume Process

The requirement for Resume Process (9.6) is met for both Pthreads and POSIX processes by combinations of interfaces in 1003.1/1003.5, 1003.1b/P1003.5b, and P1003.1c. Although no Pthread or POSIX process interface explicitly provides each of these capabilities, the requirement is met by combining interfaces. The POSIX community regards asynchronously affecting the state of another process or thread as a dangerous capability, and suggests that this be accomplished by asynchronously or synchronously requesting the other thread change its own state.

3.9.7 Delay process

The requirement for Delay Process (9.7) is met for both Pthreads and POSIX processes by combinations of interfaces in 1003.1/1003.5, 1003.1b/P1003.5b, P1003.1c, and the Ada LRM. Although no Pthread or POSIX process interface explicitly provides each of these capabilities, the requirement is met by combining interfaces. The POSIX community regards asynchronously affecting the state of another process or thread as a dangerous capability, and suggests that this be accomplished by asynchronously or synchronously requesting the other thread change its own state. Also, "delay until" semantics, although not directly supported for POSIX processes or Pthreads, can be achieved through a combination of the 1003.1b/P1003.5b clocks and timers interfaces and 1003.1/1003.5, 1003.1b/P1003.5b, and P1003.1c signal interfaces. 1003.2 provides the "sleep" shell command to meet this requirement.

3.9.8 Interprocess Communication

The requirement for Interprocess Communication (9.8) is directly met for Pthreads by P1003.1c plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b. The requirement for Interprocess Communication (9.8) is directly met for POSIX processes by 1003.1/1003.5 process interfaces, plus 1003.1b/P1003.1d synchronization, process attributes and interprocess communication facilities. P1003.1g explicitly provides interprocess communication interfaces for a distributed/networked environment.

3.9.9 Examine Process Attributes

The requirement for Examine Process Attributes (9.9) is directly met for Pthreads by P1003.1c , Execution Time Monitoring of P1003.1d, plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b. The requirement Examine Process Attributes (9.9) is directly met for POSIX processes by 1003.1/1003.5 process interfaces, Execution Time Monitoring of P1003.1d, plus 1003.1b/P1003.5b process attributes and interprocess communication facilities. 1003.2 provides the "ps" shell command to meet this requirement.

3.9.10 Modify Process Attributes

The requirement for Modify Process Attributes (9.10) is directly met for Pthreads by P1003.1c , Execution Scheduling of P1003.1d plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b. The requirement for Modify Process Attributes (9.10) is directly met for POSIX processes by 1003.1/1003.5 process interfaces plus 1003.1b/P1003.5b process attributes and interprocess communication facilities.

3.9.11 Examine Process Status

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

The requirement for Examine Process Status (9.11) is not adequately covered either for Pthreads or POSIX processes. Interfaces to enable one Pthread or POSIX process to obtain the current status of another must be added.

Examine POSIX Process Status

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the ability for processes to examine the current status of a particular process. Note that status here is not intended to include cumulative execution time; the capability to obtain cumulative execution time is covered as requirement 3 in service class 13 (synchronization and scheduling).

Description of Delta: The 1003.1 wait() and waitpid() functions and the 1003.5 Termination Status operations provide limited status (terminated, stopped, and why (e.g., caused by which signal)) on limited processes (child processes). Richer status information is required. The ability to examine status of general processes (i.e., non-children) is required. 1003.2 provides the "ps" command, but no API (system call version) is provided.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing 1003.1 wait() and waitpid() interfaces to include this capability. Extensions of wait() and waitpid() to provide richer status information and to allow status querying to general processes are discussed in 1003.1 but are not included in the standard. It is unlikely that a consensus to include the extensions could be achieved.
2. Incorporate an API to 1003.2 "ps" command functionality into a POSIX standard. The functionality should be incorporated as a system call and also as a command ("ps" is available only as a command in 1003.2).

Recommendation: The P1387 drafts should be reviewed to determine whether a system call version of "ps" is on the agenda. The 1387 group should be approached with a proposal to include the capability for examining process status in one of their drafts if this is not already on the agenda (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management).

Examine POSIX Thread Status

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the ability for threads to examine the current status of a particular thread. Note that status here is not intended to include cumulative execution time; the capability to obtain cumulative execution time is covered as requirement 3 in service class 13 (synchronization and scheduling). Note also that this requirement has particular relevance for Ada applications, as specified in paragraph 3.16.10. Changes to the recommendations should take that fact into account.

Description of Delta: The pthread_join function provides limited status information: whether a thread has terminated. Richer status information is required.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Investigate extending 1003.2 "ps" command functionality to threads and incorporating a system call version into a POSIX standard. Although threads are addressed in the Realtime working group, that group does not consider such an interface appropriate to standardize at this time due to lack of existing practice and its lack of relevance to the realtime charter. The 1387 group seems to be the likely place to address this in conjunction with the API for process status discussed above.

Recommendation: Alternative 1 should be pursued in the 1387 working group for a thread status API (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). 1003.2 should be requested to add a thread status command (possibly based on this API at a later date), but this is less crucial to fulfilling the OSSWG requirement.

3.9.12 Process (Thread) Identification

The requirement for Process Identification (9.12) is directly met for Pthreads by P1003.1c plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b and for POSIX processes by 1003.1/1003.5 process interfaces, 1003.1b/P1003.5b process attributes and interprocess communication facilities, and Process Management interfaces of P1003.1d. 1003.2 provides the "ps" shell command to meet this requirement.

3.9.13 Save/Restart Process

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

The requirement for Save/Restart Process (9.13) is directly met for POSIX processes by the P1003.1a Process Checkpoint and Restart capability. This requirement is not met for Pthreads, however, since P1003.1c and P1003.5b define no equivalent per-thread capability. This is understandable since this P1003.1c/P1003.5b capability is relatively new.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the ability for processes to be restarted from a saved state. Note that this requirement has particular relevance for Ada applications, as specified in paragraph 3.16.6. Changes to the recommendations should take that fact into account.

Description of Delta: At this time, these interfaces are not provided for Pthreads.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Investigate checkpointing/restarting of threads, possibly in the context of a broader OSSWG fault tolerance proposal. Consider 1387 as forums for making proposals.
2. Levy the requirements and the OSIF general requirements on vendors but do not provide a standard as such. This alternative relies on vendors to develop some commercial existing practice in this area on which to potentially standardize at a later date.

Recommendation: Alternative 1 is recommended, while it is recognized that program managers can always resort to alternative 2. Checkpointing a thread that is sharing memory with other threads seems to be difficult and demands further study.

3.9.14 Program Management Function

The requirement for Program Management (9.14) is directly met for Pthreads by P1003.1c plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b. The requirement for Program Management (9.14) is directly met for POSIX processes by 1003.1/1003.5 process interfaces plus 1003.1b/P1003.5b process attributes and interprocess communication facilities.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
9.1	Yes	None	-
9.2	Yes	None	-
9.3	Yes	None	-
9.4	Yes	None	-
9.5	Yes	None	-
9.6	Yes	None	-
9.7	Yes	None	-
9.8	Yes	None	-
9.9	Yes	None	-
9.10	Yes	None	-
9.11	No	Insertion	a
9.12	Yes	None	-
9.13 (Process)	Yes	None	-
9.13 (Pthread)	No	Insertion	a
9.14	Yes	None	-

3.10 PROJECT SUPPORT ENVIRONMENT INTERFACES

Two "profile" related architectures are possible for the implementation of the OSSWG requirements for debug support (see OCD Appendix, 20.10.1) and execution history (OCD Appendix, 20.10.2).

In architecture A, the process being debugged interfaces to the debugger, which in turn interfaces to the operating system. Conceptually, this is the equivalent to the debugged process executing in an application debugger "shell" that interfaces to the supplied operating system. (Note: This architecture appears to be the one assumed by earlier versions of the OSSWG Delta Document.) Alternatively, it can be thought of as the capability to create an instrumented, self-monitoring copy of the target process. This architecture has the following characteristics:

1. It is most naturally applied to general-purpose RAM-based development systems. These systems would support compiling, linking, etc.
2. There is an essential link between the debugger and other process development tools (i.e., the compilers, linkers, etc.). The debug capability accesses the process at the source level.
3. The debugger is assumed to reside upon the application platform.
4. The debug functionality is supplied at the application level and not the operating system level. Execution history can also naturally be maintained at this application level without additional OS functionality.
5. There is currently (for a given language) a body of practice in place that supports the Requirements Document with an indirect "virtual" debug capability (if not the direct "physical" capability, i.e., the direct alteration of the registers of an executing process).

Given the above characteristics, there does not appear to be any delta at the "kernel" POSIX level. Because of the strong relationship between the debugger and the compiler, there might be some language (Ada, C, etc.) binding considerations. This would probably be a direct binding between the language and the debugger (i.e., tool to tool) not involving the OS.

In architecture B, the debugger interfaces to the operating system, which in turn interfaces to the process being debugged. (Note: This is the architecture that appears to be implied by figure 10.2-2 of the OCD.) Conceptually, this can be viewed as supplying external access to a "target" system via operating system services. This architecture has the following characteristics:

1. It is most naturally applied to special-purpose PROM/EPROM-based systems (e.g., flight control computers).
2. There is not necessarily a link between the debugger and the compiler, linker, etc., of the target process. The debug capability accesses the system at the code level.
3. There is, in general, a physical/logical separation between the application platform and the Programming Support Environment (PSE) on the host platform. A communication protocol may be necessary as part of the debugger/OS interface.
4. The debug functionality would be supplied by the application platform OS but not necessarily by the Application Program Interface (API.) Execution history would also be maintained within the OS.
5. There is little standard practice with respect to this architecture. It is, in general, dependent on the implementation of the test bed hardware.

Given the above characteristics, the current POSIX primitives for process control do not give the degree of control needed to support the debug requirements. It would be difficult to "single-step" a process with the current services. In addition, full debug control may require the capability to override normal operating system functions (i.e., scheduling). It may be required to "idle" a target system so that it can be "patched." Such actions have an "anti-operating system" viewpoint. New POSIX services (with syntax, semantics, and protocols) would need to be provided to satisfy the OCD requirements. However, such services would need to be privileged and not part of the basic API available to every application. Execution history would need to be added to the OS functionality. Note that in some systems debug services are part of the operating system (and are removed in the operational system). They may only be recording debug information that the application accesses runtime. In that case, interfaces such as the POSIX read-file (paragraph 6.4, 1003.1 and paragraph 6.1, 1003.5) may be adequate.

Based on the above discussion, the debug requirement would currently be supported by POSIX for a number of profiles (although a considerable effort in generating a debug application would also be necessary) and not supported by POSIX for other profiles.

3.10.1 Debug Support

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "c" (may be deferred).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the debugging of applications, specifically supporting the following capabilities:

1. Examine registers
2. Alter registers
3. Set/clear breakpoint
4. Set/clear watchpoint
5. Single step execution
6. Continue execution
7. Examine memory
8. Alter memory
9. Query process environment
10. Query call stack

Description of Delta: Depending on the architecture, there is either no delta or a considerable delta. POSIX standards do not directly address application debugging. However, vendors who are

marketing POSIX-compliant systems are certain to include debugging support for application developers as part of their system. POSIX standards should contain debug support to ensure that a common set of debug capabilities exists across different POSIX-compliant systems. At present, it is unclear where debug support should be included in the POSIX standards.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Redefine the requirement so that it is limited to application platform resident debug tools. This would eliminate the delta. Future NGCR Programming Support Environment standards would define the resulting debug interface (tool-to-tool, tool-to-OS, etc.). This seems contrary to the intent of the requirement in section 4.1.10 of the OCD.
2. Insert new service primitives into the POSIX standard. Because there is no standard practice to support these primitives, both the syntax and semantics for them (in terms of the UNIX/C environment or the Ada tasking model) would also have to be determined. This alternative does not fit the NGCR methodology of building on current practice.
3. Declare that the OS/PSE interface is not done through the API and thus is not part of the MIL-STD-OSIF. Again, future NGCR Programming Support Environment standards would define appropriate OS/PSE interfaces including potential communication protocols.
4. Await future NGCR Programming Support Environment standards and develop a boundary paper describing the interface between the NGCR OS and an NGCR standard programming support environment.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends alternatives 3 and 4.

3.10.2 Execution History

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "c" (may be deferred).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the ability to monitor the execution history of a process, including such information as

1. Frequency of calls
2. Length of calls
3. Missed deadlines
4. Length of queues
5. Tasking of runtime systems
6. Dynamic paging activity
7. Memory allocation
8. What OS services being used

Description of Delta: An interface to support the collection and reporting of execution statistics of a process is not addressed in the POSIX standards. Execution statistics are needed to evaluate and tune process and system performance. The Realtime working group is currently discussing a set of Trace interfaces which would potentially fulfill this requirement; these are in the process of refinement and coordination with the SRASS working group; the working group intends to submit a PAR when consensus is reached.

An application platform resident trace library could partially implement this requirement within a trace application "library." Even if no trace application is assumed, many of these statistics could be achieved using POSIX service primitives within an application (except for missed deadlines). This execution history functionality would become the responsibility of the application layer and not readily available to an external PSE. A cleaner solution, however, would permit gathering additional statistics based on events known only to the kernel, and would require enhanced POSIX services to enable tracing and access collected statistics.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Redefine the requirement so that it is limited to application platform resident PSE tools. This would eliminate the delta. Future NGCR Programming Support Environment standards would define the resulting execution history tool interface.
2. Modify the current status service primitives in the POSIX standard to include history information. This would make history information more readily available to both an application and an external PSE.
3. Await future NGCR Programming Support Environment standards and develop a boundary paper describing the interface between the NGCR OS and an NGCR standard programming support environment.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends alternatives 2 and 3. Support obtaining a PAR for and completion of the Realtime working group's proposed Trace interface standard.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
10.1	No	Insertion	c
10.2	No	Insertion	c

3.11 RELIABILITY, ADAPTABILITY, AND MAINTAINABILITY INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX standards support service class 11 in a rudimentary way. There are two areas that are not complete:

1. Basically POSIX provides reactive fault management, while OSSWG requires proactive behavior. Attempting to support proactive requirements on top of a reactive interface will result in performance penalties. The existing (proactive) services are highly-oriented toward providing event services (via the "signal" concept), while downplaying fault reportage.
2. POSIX does not provide adequate monitoring, coordination, and recording services.

For the purposes of this subsection, it is important to differentiate modules of the operating system itself from modules that do "generalized input/output." The latter are often called "device drivers." In the latter case, it is fairly straightforward for an application to provide all the services specified by OSSWG. For instance, an interface can be added to set a fault threshold for retrying a message transmitted via a UHF radio. Since the provided functionality is under direct control of the application and is not required of the general operating system (i.e., POSIX), the potential functionality of application-developed generalized I/O modules will not be further considered.

Refer to the Executive Summary in section 3.5 (Event and Error Interfaces) for additional information pertinent to this section.

3.11.1 Fault Information Collection

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

OSSWG requirement Fault Information Collection (11.1) is partially covered by POSIX. While the event interfaces exist and error interfaces are provided for individual processes, there are no fault coordination or distribution interfaces. Furthermore, an event ("signal" in POSIX) can be blocked without the sender's knowledge or any other reportage.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide for specifying the collection of available fault information.

Description of Delta: This requirement refers to specifying the collection of fault information coming into the OS across the OSIF for subsequent distribution according to requirement 11.2. POSIX says nothing about such fault information collection.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.2 Fault Information Request

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

OSSWG requirement Fault Information Request (11.2) is partially covered by POSIX. While the event interfaces exist and error interfaces are provided for individual processes, there are no fault coordination or distribution interfaces. Furthermore, an event ("signal" in POSIX) can be blocked without the sender's knowledge or any other reportage.

Refer to section 3.5.2 (Event and Error Distribution) for additional information related to Fault Information Request.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide for the receipt of fault information on request.

Description of Delta: POSIX provides for the distribution of errors to the requesters of individual functions. Each function specifies which errors all POSIX implementations must detect and which are optional. Paragraph 2.4 of 1003.1 lists the possible errors. However, "implementations may support additional errors not included in this clause, may generate errors included in this clause under circumstances other than those described in this clause, or may contain extensions or limitations that prevent some errors from occurring" (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1). "If more than one error occurs in processing a function call, this part of ISO/IEC 9945 does not define in what order the errors are detected; therefore, any one of the possible errors may be returned" (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1).

The OSIF requires that all possible fault information be available, not just one of the errors that occurred. It also requires that there be a means for coordinating the distribution of fault information, as for example to a single process responsible for fault analysis.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.3 Diagnostic Tests Request

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is not supported by POSIX.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide for the initiation of diagnostic tests on specific request. The OSIF shall support initiation of diagnostic tests at specified intervals. This is a necessary OSIF requirement.

Description of Delta: POSIX does not provide for the initiation of diagnostic requests.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.4 Diagnostic Tests Results

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is not supported by POSIX.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the ability to determine the results of diagnostic tests.

Description of Delta: POSIX does not provide for determining the results of diagnostic tests.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.5 Operational Status

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is barely supported by POSIX.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide access to the operational status of all system components.

Description of Delta: POSIX essentially does not provide access to the status of system components. POSIX does inform a requester of the success or failure of a requested function from which the requester may derive some status information. Specifically, [ENXIO], no such device or address, and [EIO], input/output error, are possible error returns (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1). However, in the case of [EIO], "any other error-causing operation on the same file descriptor may cause the [EIO] error indication to be lost" (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1).

Process termination status is available to an application that has issued a 1003.1 wait() for a child process termination or utilized the 1003.5 process Termination Status operations.

Also, thread termination "makes the value status available to any successful join with the terminating thread" (P1003.1c).

Some systems, however, may maintain operational status in a file. In that case interfaces such as the POSIX read-file (paragraph 6.4, 1003.1 and paragraph 6.1, 1003.5) may be adequate to obtain this information.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.6 Fault Detection Thresholds

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

An application can choose to retry an operation, as specified by requirement 11.6, but retries are risky since the state of the operating system is not well-defined subsequent to an error. Furthermore, no other part of requirement 11.6 (fault detection thresholds), such as classifying the component as suspect, is provided.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide for specifying fault detection thresholds, which shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

1. Number of retry attempts, if applicable, that shall be made before an error is determined to be a non recoverable fault.
2. Maximum number of correctable errors that, if detected within a specified time, will classify the component as suspect or treat the collective errors as a non recoverable fault.

Description of Delta: Within the limits discussed under requirement 5.2 - i.e., POSIX does not provide for coordination in the distribution of events and errors - some user-selectable error processing alternatives are available. Processes can mask signals (paragraph 3.3.1.2, 1003.1). Processes can also choose among three types of actions that they can associate with a signal: a default action, ignore, and a signal catching function (paragraph 3.3.1.3, 1003.1). Retries and accumulation of occurrences would then be the responsibility of the individual processes. In particular, occurrences of a particular event or error could not be collected for several processes or for the system as a whole through the interface. This discussion also applies to threads as per P1003.1c signal handling.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.7 Fault Isolation

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is barely supported by POSIX.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the isolation of faults to a particular component.

Description of Delta: POSIX provides little support for the isolation of faults, either in the sense of precisely determining the component causing the fault or in the sense of containing the fault to prevent it from damaging the rest of the system, which assumes determining the source of the fault.

Using error numbers from failed function calls to determine the responsible component is unsatisfactory because "if more than one error occurs in processing a function call, this part of ISO/IEC 9945 does not define in what order the errors are detected; therefore, any one of the possible errors may be returned" (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1). Furthermore, error numbers do not provide enough information as to the nature of the error. For instance, POSIX may return [ENXIO] when a device does not exist, a request was made beyond the limits of the device, or a tape drive is not online or a disk pack is not loaded on a drive (paragraph 2.4, 1003.1). A prerequisite to fulfilling this requirement is to also fulfill requirements 11.3 and 11.4 to determine faulty components and requirement 11.10 to prevent a faulty component from causing further damage.

Device Control (P1003.1d) may permit device fault isolation, but is not required to do so.

If requirement 5.1 is fully satisfied, mechanisms will be available to support fault isolation.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.8 Fault Response

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is barely supported by POSIX.

Requirement: The OSIF shall specify the actions to be taken on the occurrence of a fault. The OSIF shall support (at least) the following actions:

1. Restart at a specified point for a specified fault.
2. Use of specified components as backup for faulty components.
3. Stop when a specified minimum set of components is no longer available.
4. Schedule of a specified process.
5. Report to another node.

Description of Delta: Within the limits discussed under requirement 5.2 - i.e., POSIX does not provide for coordination in the distribution of events and errors - some user-selectable error processing alternatives are available. Processes can mask signals (paragraph 3.3.1.2, 1003.1). Processes can also choose between three types of actions that they can associate with a signal: a default action, ignore, and a signal catching function (paragraph 3.3.1.3, 1003.1). Restart, stop (provided requirement 11.5 is fulfilled), schedule, and report actions would then be the responsibility of the individual processes. Directing the use of specific hardware components is not a function of POSIX. Consistent handling of a particular fault would not be a function of the interface but would have to be a design convention for each system. This discussion also applies to threads as per P1003.1c signal handling.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.9 Reconfiguration

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

This requirement is barely supported by POSIX.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the dynamic reconfiguration of hardware and software.

Description of Delta: POSIX does not support reconfiguration of hardware and does not explicitly support reconfiguration of software. POSIX does provide ways to create and terminate processes. 1003.1 and 1003.5 allow processes to spawn and execute child processes and to effect normal and abnormal termination of processes. P1003.1c and P1003.5b expand this capability to also allow for the creation, termination, and cancellation of threads, though currently a thread cannot be unconditionally terminated by another thread. Thus, a mechanism external to the OS and, therefore, not included as such in the OSIF, such as an overall "parent" process or processes responsible for software configuration, could answer the software reconfiguration part of this requirement. Again, because POSIX does not provide for the centralization of such functions within a system, effecting software reconfiguration in this manner may require extensive management and coordination, particularly between processes, during system development and be unique to each system developed.

Some systems may only require a more rudimentary form of reconfiguration whereby the new configuration is recorded in a file. Then, either the operating system monitors the file and effects the reconfiguration and/or the application directs a reboot of the system which effects the reconfiguration. In such a case reconfiguration, as far as the application is concerned, can be realized through interfaces such as the POSIX write-file (paragraph 6.4, 1003.1 and paragraph 6.1, 1003.5).

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.10 Enable/Disable System Component

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

POSIX coverage of requirement 11.10 (Enable/Disable System Component) is also unacceptably poor, even though it does provide some of the functionality demanded by OSSWG. In particular, POSIX permits a component to be terminated if (1) the unit to be terminated is a software "process," and (2) the process correctly receives and handles a "signal kill."

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the ability to enable or disable a specified system component on request.

Description of Delta: POSIX does not provide the ability to enable or disable hardware components, although I/O work in 1387 and/or the Device Control interface in P1003.1d may apply. POSIX does provide ways to create and terminate processes. 1003.1 and 1003.5 allow processes to spawn and execute child processes (paragraph 3.1) and to effect normal and abnormal termination of processes (paragraphs 3.2 and 3.3). P1003.1c and P1003.5b expand this capability to also allow for the creation, termination, and cancellation of threads, though currently a thread cannot be unconditionally terminated by another thread.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX.

3.11.11 Performance Monitoring

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

A few performance statistics are available from POSIX. For instance, a process can measure its CPU time and some information about its file utilization. But otherwise POSIX does not meet the performance monitoring requirement, 11.11.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support queries for snapshots of resource utilization and enabling or disabling monitoring of each resource.

Description of Delta: POSIX provides limited support for obtaining snapshots. 1003.1 and 1003.5 provide for obtaining process and child process execution and system CPU times; and 1003.1d provides interfaces for obtaining execution times of an arbitrary process or thread. 1003.1 and 1003.5 also provide for obtaining file information including time of the last access, time of the last data modification, and time of the last file status change . The Realtime working group is currently developing a set of Trace Interfaces (in conjunction with SRASS) to meet this need, but no project has yet been approved to standardize these.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends monitoring and participating in related standards efforts at UNIX International; Open Software Foundation; POSIX Services for Reliable, Available, and Serviceable Systems group; and X3T8. When these groups develop mature standards, move appropriate interfaces into POSIX. OSSWG should continue to support the Realtime working group's proposed Trace Interface project.

3.11.12 Set Resource Utilization Limits

This requirement is directly met by P1003.1a Resource Limits, the numerical limits defined by 1003.1/1003.5 and their amending documents, and the Sporadic Server and CPU Time Clocks of P1003.1d.

3.11.13 Resource Utilization Limits Violation

This requirement is directly met by P1003.1a Resource Limits and the error returns in 1003.1/1003.5 and their amending documents which indicate that one of the numerical limits has been exceeded.

3.11.14 Checkpoint Data Structures

Requirement Checkpoint Data Structure (11.14) is completely met by P1003.1a Checkpoint a Process or Set of Processes along with Restart Execution of One or More Processes.

It should be noted that the Checkpoint function saves all the process state information necessary to restart a process or several processes. Particularly if a system needs to checkpoint only data structures or only certain data structures, other interfaces to consider are the Memory Mapping interfaces in 1003.1b and P1003.5b. Memory Mapping allows an application to establish a mapping between a part of the process address space and a memory object such as a file on a storage medium. If the application chooses a map-shared option for use with this interface, write references to the specified address space will also change the file on the storage medium. Alternatively the application may request a Synchronize function at its own discretion which updates the file to agree with the specified address space.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
11.1	Partially	Insertion	a
11.2	Partially	Insertion	a
11.3	No	Insertion	a
11.4	No	Insertion	a
11.5	No	Insertion	a
11.6	No	Insertion	a
11.7	No	Insertion	a
11.8	No	Insertion	a
11.9	No	Insertion	a
11.10	No	Insertion	a
11.11	No	Insertion	a
11.12	Yes	None	-
11.13	Yes	None	-
11.14	Yes	None	-

3.12 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT INTERFACES

This service class is partially supported by 1003.1, 1003.1b, and P1387.

3.12.1 Virtual Memory Support

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the selection of the virtual memory utilization parameters.

Description of Delta: This requirement refers to controlling virtual memory utilization such as the paging algorithm. POSIX P1003.1d provides an Advisory Information interface, madvice(), which advises the operating system of the application's expected memory access behavior. However, this information is purely advisory, and may not provide sufficient control over virtual memory parameters for some realtime applications.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. There has historically been much opposition within POSIX to the inclusion of interfaces that place requirements on the underlying architecture. Opponents argue that applications that presume a particular method of memory management will not be portable to all architectures. Vendors who do not support virtual memory architectures would be undesirably forced to provide such a function. The requirement for such an interface might also inhibit the development of new and better methods of memory management. Typically, UNIX operating systems from vendors that support virtual memory, do provide limited control over the use of virtual memory. The HP-UX chatr() command is a good example. A complete virtual memory support interface would best be added to P1387. Even though 1003.2 might also be a logical place for such an interface, OSSWG has chosen to avoid inclusion of 1003.2 in the OSIF primarily for performance reasons.

2. Assume a standard outside POSIX. Often, the link editor has options that allow for some control over a process's use of virtual memory. The C or Ada standard might include options to allow selection of virtual memory characteristics. These would be embedded in the executable header information similar to the link editor in HP-UX.

3. Develop a new military standard. This is a less acceptable alternative than 1 because it is external to the OSIF baseline. It is suggested that any new military standard be based on de facto UNIX or industry standard(s), if any exist.

Recommendation: The P1387 group should be approached with the possibility of adding a virtual memory support interface (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). A sample interface could be drafted using HP-UX chatr() command as an example.

3.12.2 Virtual Space Locking

The requirement for Virtual Space Locking (12.2) is directly met by the 1003.1b and P1003.5b Memory Locking functions.

3.12.3 Dynamic Memory Allocation and Deallocation

This requirement is fully met by the ANSI standard C Language library functions malloc(), calloc(), realloc(), and free; the ANSI Ada operator "new" and generic function

UNCHECKED DEALLOCATION; the Language Specific Services for the C and Ada programming languages in 1003.1 and 1003.5; and the Typed Memory allocation interfaces in P1003.1j.

3.12.4 Dynamically Protecting Memory

This requirement is fully met for shared memory by the `shm_open()` interface in 1003.1b (and the equivalent interface in P1003.5b); the protection can be changed at runtime by closing and reopening a shared memory object. Protection for arbitrary blocks of statically or dynamically allocated memory may be manipulated through a combination of the Typed Memory interfaces in P1003.1j and the Memory Protection interfaces (for all objects mapped via `mmap()`, including Typed Memory) in 1003.1b/P1003.5b. Thus this requirement is fully met for all types of memory which may be mapped or allocated via the P1003.1j Typed Memory interfaces.

3.12.5 Shared Memory

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "b" (highly desirable).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support concurrent access, by several processes, to specified areas of physical memory, whether or not the involved processes exist on a single processor or multiple processors.

Description of Delta: POSIX 1003.1b and P1003.5b provide a set of interfaces for creating, attaching to, and deleting shared data regions. The requirement, however, specifies that both the data and the code regions need to be shared. The ability to share code is useful for libraries and certain utilities and could be a pre-runtime interface. Even though it is not explicitly stated that multi-processor shared memory is supported, there is nothing in the standard that precludes it.

POSIX also provides several interface alternatives for resolving contention during access to shared memory. These include Counting Semaphores in 1003.1b and P1003.5b, and Mutexes and Condition Variables in P1003.1c and P1003.5b. Mutexes and Condition Variables were designed particularly for processes that share memory. For multiprocessor synchronization of shared memory (and other shared resources), P1003.1d provides interfaces for Barrier Synchronization, Reader/Writer Locks, and Spin Locks.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. The POSIX shared data interfaces are found in the 1003.1b standard and P1003.5b draft standard. There is no interface to specify shared code. The HP-UX operating system provides an interface to specify code as sharable. It is the same `chatr()` command referenced in 3.12.1. The most logical place for this type of interface seems to be P1387.

2. Develop a new military standard. It is suggested that any new military standard be based on de facto UNIX or industry standard(s), if any exist.

Recommendation: Recommend that this requirement be linked with requirement 12.1 and presented to the P1387 standards group (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). The HP-UX `chatr()` interface could be used as an example.

3.12.6 Allocate, Deallocate, Mount, and Dismount Services

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

The requirement for Allocate, Deallocate, Mount, and Dismount Services (12.6) is partially covered by the 1003.1/1003.5 Control Operations on Files (file descriptors).

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the allocation of devices to processes and subsequent deallocation of these devices. For devices with removable media, the OSIF shall also support mounting and dismounting of media.

Description of Delta: 1003.1 provides allocate and deallocate functionality through the fcntl() interface; 1003.5 provides equivalent functionality through get_file_control() and set_file_control(). POSIX does not yet provide mount/dismount functionality. Refer to 3.7.10 for further discussion of this delta.

Resolution Alternatives: Same as requirement 7.10.

Recommendation: Same as requirement 7.10.

3.12.7 Designate Control

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "b" (highly desirable).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the means to designate responsibility for maintaining the status and determining the configuration of a system resource. This requirement was reevaluated by a small group, which decided that it was "b" (highly desirable).

Description of Delta: There is no provision in POSIX for designating control of system resources.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Change wording of the OCD to read "shall support" instead of "shall provide." Requirement can then be satisfied by the fork(), exec(), and kill() interfaces in the 1003.1 standard and equivalent process primitives in the 1003.5 standard.

2. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. This requirement is similar to 7.1 device-driver availability. OSSWG recommended that these requirements be pursued in the P1387 standards group. Any solution needs to be compatible with solution to 12.8 release control.

Recommendation: Recommend this requirement be pursued with OSSWG requirement 12.8 in the P1387 standards group (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management).

3.12.8 Release Control

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "b" (highly desirable).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the means to release a previously assumed system resource status and configuration responsibility. This requirement was reevaluated by a small group, which decided that it was "b" (highly desirable).

Description of Delta: See 3.12.7.

Resolution Alternatives: See 3.12.7.

Recommendation: See 3.12.7.

3.12.9 Allocate Resource

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide a means to designate particular process resources for use by a particular process.

Description of Delta: There is no provision in POSIX for allocating resources. Examples of units of system resources are I/O channel, a block of physical memory, response to specific class of hardware interrupt, a breakpoint register, a co-processor user identifier, and a connection over a LAN.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. Typically, UNIX resources such as files, devices, and network connections have been referred to under the general description of a file. A logical to physical connection is created and referenced by a file descriptor. The same concept could be extended to include a number of different resources, particularly the ones of interest to OSSWG. The new interface(s) could be added by the P1387 group as part of a general system and resource management capability.

2. Develop a new military standard. This is a less acceptable alternative than 1 because it is external to the OSIF baseline. It is suggested that any new military standard be based on de facto UNIX or industry standard(s), if any exist.

Recommendation: Recommend that the P1387 working group be approached about extending definition of file to include all resources needed by OSSWG and provide interfaces to open, close, and lock these resources (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). OSSWG needs to be more specific on the scope of this requirement. The same resolution should be applied to requirement 12.10.

3.12.10 Deallocate Resource

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide a means to relinquish particular process resources from a particular process.

Description of Delta: See 3.12.9.

Resolution Alternatives: See 3.12.9.

Recommendation: See 3.12.9.

3.12.11 System Resource Requirements Specification

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "b" (highly desirable).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the ability to specify system resource requirements. This requirement was reevaluated by a small group, which decided that it was "b" (highly desirable).

Description of Delta: There is no provision in POSIX for specifying system resource requirements.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. The concept of system resource requirements specification is not presently in any of the POSIX standards. The P1387 group would probably be the most receptive to the addition of an interface of this type.

2. Develop a new military standard. This is a less acceptable alternative than 1 because it is external to the OSIF baseline. It is suggested that any new military standard be based on de facto UNIX or industry standard(s), if any exist.

3. Submit a new POSIX PAR (System Resource Management) to do this work.

Recommendation: The P1387 group should be approached with the possibility of adding a system resource requirements specification interface (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). A sample interface could be drafted from examples from other operating systems that provided this functionality in a more complete manner.

3.12.12 System Resource Capacity

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "b" (highly desirable).

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide a query of the storage or workload capacities of the system resources. This requirement was reevaluated by a small group, which decided that it was "b" (highly desirable).

Description of Delta: There is no provision in POSIX for specifying system resource capacity. P1003.1j Typed Memory interfaces, when drafted, may allow applications to query a typed memory pool for the maximum amount of memory which can be allocated; However, this is unique to typed memory pool resources, not a generalized capability.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. The system resource capacity requirement is provided by the 1003.2 standard in an incomplete way through commands such as du and df. OSSWG has chosen to avoid inclusion of 1003.2 in the OSIF. The P1387 group would probably be the most receptive to the addition of an interface of this type.

2. Develop a new military standard. This is a less acceptable alternative than 1 because it is external to the OSIF baseline. It is suggested that any new military standard be based on de facto UNIX or industry standard(s), if any exist.

3. Submit a new POSIX PAR (System Resource Management) to do this work.

Recommendation: The P1387 group should be approached with the possibility of adding a system resource capacity interface (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). A sample interface could be drafted using 1003.2 examples and examples from other operating systems that provided this functionality in a more complete manner. OSSWG should continue to support the drafting, refinement, and balloting of the P1003.1j Typed Memory facilities.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
12.1	Partially	Insertion	a
12.2	Yes	None	-
12.3	Yes	None	-
12.4	Yes	None	-
12.5	Partially	Insertion	b
12.6	Partially	Insertion	a
12.7	No	Insertion	b
12.8	No	Insertion	b
12.9	No	Insertion	a
12.10	No	Insertion	a
12.11	No	Insertion	b
12.12	No	Insertion	b

3.13 SYNCHRONIZATION AND SCHEDULING INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX standards support service class 13 synchronous and scheduling interfaces in a substantially complete way.

3.13.1 Process Synchronization

The requirements for Process Synchronization (13.1) are directly met by 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c and P1003.1d. Pthreads appears to fully satisfy this requirement by providing mutex and condition variable primitives for synchronization among threads within the same process. This includes semaphores, signals, events, message queues, etc., for synchronization among threads in different processes. P1003.1j provides additional synchronization interfaces for multiprocessor applications: Barrier Synchronization, Reader/Writer Locks, and Spin Locks.

3.13.2 Mutual Exclusion

The requirements for Mutual Exclusion (13.2) are fully met by 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c, and P1003.1d. Both mutexes and semaphores support mutual exclusion among cooperating processes and/or cooperating threads, and P1003.1d extends both of these such that the waits may time out. P1003.1j adds Barrier Synchronization, Reader/Writer Lock, and Spin Lock interfaces, all of which may be used to support mutual exclusion in multiprocessor applications. Lock files are supported by the 1003.1 and 1003.5 open() interface.

3.13.3 Cumulative Execution Time of a Process

The requirements for Cumulative Execution Time of a Process (13.3) are directly met by 1003.1 and 1003.5 Process Times, and P1003.1d CPU Time Clocks.

3.13.4 Attach a Process to an Event

This requirement is directly met by 1003.1/1003.5 Signals as extended by 1003.1b/1003.5b to Queued Signals and as further extended by P1003.1c to operate in a multi-threaded process; and by P1003.1d Interrupt Control interfaces.

3.13.5 Services Scheduling Information

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "c" (may be deferred).

The requirement for services scheduling information (13.5) is not supported by the POSIX standards at all.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the ability for a process to specify its performance requirements for services.

Description of Delta: This requirement implies that, in order to guarantee timely completion of a complex service across a distributed system, the application requires an upper bound on time for that service. This is seen as similar to the "time-value" function associated with a service interface in operating systems such as Alpha. Such a function serves to define the urgency of a particular request separately from the CPU scheduling policy for the requesting process. Currently, OSSWG does not perceive this issue as being addressed by any POSIX working group.

Resolution Alternatives:

1. Enhance existing POSIX interfaces to include this capability. This may already be possible due to the open nature of the 1003.1b and 1003.1c process/thread scheduling interfaces; that is, if a new scheduling policy could be defined in which a process could maintain a transaction scheduling attribute, and if this policy were included among the selectable policies, the requirement might be satisfied. Because such a policy may not be well understood by the industry, POSIX has decided to leave such a policy out of the standards for now, while leaving a method for its future insertion.

Also, 1003.11 needs to be further queried to determine if this capability conforms to its charter, since outside of 1003.11, most interfaces do not address the special needs of atomic transactions, especially over a distributed network. Therefore, it might be more appropriate that such transactions be addressed by 1003.11 rather than the Realtime working group. This is the most suitable alternative because the need for this has already been recognized by VITA and by several other vendors.

2. Assume a standard outside of POSIX. It is difficult to understand the scope of this requirement sufficiently to rule out various higher level distributed processing interfaces built on top of existing operating systems, such as ISIS. However, as stated, it seems to imply a bounded time that could be achieved only if the POSIX kernel were cooperating.

Recommendation: OSSWG recommends alternative 1. However the 1003.11 working group has been dissolved and cannot be used to resolve this delta. Furthermore, the Realtime working group has rejected this requirement for inclusion in P1003.1j because of immaturity of existing practice. OSSWG should pursue this requirement in the Realtime Distributed Systems Communication working group P1003.21 at such time in the future as existing practice can be identified. The P1003.21 working group is currently evaluating how such information might be applied to network service interfaces. Any solution should address distributed systems, and if applicable, non-distributed systems.

3.13.6 Scheduling Delay

This requirement is functionally identical to requirement 9.7 and has no delta.

3.13.7 Periodic Scheduling

The requirement for Periodic Scheduling (13.7) is fully met by 1003.1 Signals, alarm(), and sleep(); the Ada delay statement; 1003.1b/P1003.5b and P1003.1j Timers and High Resolution Sleep; P1003.1c and P1003.5b Timed Condition Wait; and P1003.1d Sporadic Server and Interrupt Control. The POSIX approach of specifying performance metrics provides a mechanism for the jitter to be determined for a particular implementation. However, performance metrics are currently non-normative text in 1003.1b and P1003.1c; therefore OSSWG should support future POSIX projects which seek to standardize performance metrics.

3.13.8 Multiple Scheduling Policies

The requirement for Multiple Scheduling Policies (13.8) is covered fully by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c, and P1003.1d Execution Scheduling interfaces.

3.13.9 Selection of a Scheduling Policy

The requirement for Selection of a Scheduling Policy (13.9) is covered fully by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c, and P1003.1d Execution Scheduling interfaces.

3.13.10 Modification of Scheduling Parameters

The requirement for Modification of Scheduling Parameters (13.10) is covered fully by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c, and P1003.1d Execution Scheduling interfaces.

3.13.11 Precise Scheduling (Jitter Management)

The requirement for Precise Scheduling (13.11) is fully met by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c, P1003.1d, and P1003.1j Execution Scheduling, Timers, and Interrupt Control interfaces. The POSIX approach of specifying performance metrics provides a mechanism for the latency to be defined for a particular implementation. However, performance metrics are currently non-normative text in 1003.1b and P1003.1c; therefore OSSWG should support future POSIX projects which seek to standardize performance metrics.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
13.1	Yes	None	-
13.2	Yes	None	-
13.3	Yes	None	-
13.4	Yes	None	-
13.5	No	Insertion	C
13.6	Yes	None	-
13.7	Yes	None	-
13.8	Yes	None	-
13.9	Yes	None	-
13.10	Yes	None	-
13.11	Yes	None	-

3.14 SYSTEM INITIALIZATION AND REINITIALIZATION INTERFACES

This service class is partially supported by 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1387.1 (outdated), and P1003.1f.

All three requirements from this service class are classified as "a" (essential). POSIX generally supports these requirements only as they might apply to a shore-based information processing system with a system administrator in charge of overall system operation, and time-shared users in charge of initiating and terminating independent programs. This concept must be extended to support embedded real-time systems in which individual programs and overall system operation are controlled by software, hardware, or other nodes on a distributed processing network, rather than by a person. Performance also is an issue largely ignored by 1387; system reinitialization may imply an operation that must be completed in seconds or milliseconds, rather than minutes.

3.14.1 Image Load

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

The Image Load requirement (14.1) can be supported by 1003.1/1003.5, Process Creation and Execute a File, but not in the traditional sense of program or boot load. P1387.1, if completed, would have fully supported this function in the Machine Class and System Class. File and Directory Services of 1003.1/1003.5 might also be required.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the capability to perform initial and reinitial executable image load (including data) both locally and remotely to and for each and all processor(s) throughout a system.

Description of Delta: The POSIX standard is based on the traditional UNIX paradigm where all processes are ultimately children of the root process. The emerging computing environment is one of multiple quasi-independent processors on the same backplane, or network, which must communicate and interact through OS services. One of the extensions of this multi-processor environment is that the OS must be able to start and restart each of the computing resources available to it.

In the 1003.1 and 1003.5 standards, the ability to spawn a child process and to start a new execution are described. These services will partially meet the requirements of Image Loading. The issues that are not addressed by these sections of 1003.1 and 1003.5 are:

1. Loading and executing on a remote processor(s).
2. Loading and executing on another local processor(s).
3. Reloading the data area for each (re)initialization.

Recommendation: It is recommended that a new interface be created by the P1387 group (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). The interface would be very similar to the various exec() interfaces that exist in 1003.1. This would essentially be a remote execution command, sending a "new process image file," including both executable and data areas, to another processor to be executed.

Note: The 1387 standards need to be influenced beyond their current focus to become true resources manager standards, including management of both remote and local resources. This change would help meet the OCD requirements for not only section 20.14.1, but also 20.14.2 and 20.14.3 (and possibly many others).

3.14.2 System Initialization and Reinitialization

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

The System Initialization and Reinitialization requirement (14.2) can be supported by the entire sections on Process Primitives and Process Environment of 1003.1 and 1003.5. 1003.1/1003.5 File and Directory Services might also be required.

P1387.1 would have fully supported this function in the Interoperability Class, Machine Class, System Class, Network Class, Authentication Class, Authorization Class, Software Class, and Backup Class. P1387.1, if it had been completed, could have become the NGCR resources management standard as a function of system administration. With some influence and direction, it could have been expanded, either as a profile or standard, to support the necessary NGCR resources management functions. Additional support will be provided by 1003.1b, Clocks and Timers, and P1003.1f, Process Creation.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the capability to initialize and reinitialize all system resources.

Description of Delta: It is important to clarify that "system resources" as mentioned in the OCD are ALL computing resources including, but not limited to, printers, disk drives, external and shared memory, co-processors, tape drives, and display systems.

1003.1 and 1003.5 allow for process creation and signal generation/reception. These two components could be made to help in performing system (re)initialization. The ability to start processes on remote processors (see discussion for OCD section 20.14.1) could cover the need to (re)initialize some resources. Other resources may be able to receive POSIX signals that would cause (re)initialization.

1003.1 and 1003.5 allow for collecting system information and parameters. This would allow the OS to gain information about system resources so that it would know when and what needed to be (re)initialized.

P1387.1 had the outline to become the NGCR resource management standard, but its full scope has not been carried over to the P1387 System Administration working group, so additional PARs will be required to further pursue this work in the P1387 forum..

Recommendation: OSSWG needs to influence the POSIX standards group P1387 to create the ability for the operating system to (re)initialize the system resources (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management). This capability really doesn't exist in the POSIX standards but is an absolute requirement for OSSWG.

3.14.3 Shutdown

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

The Shutdown requirement (14.3) can be supported by 1003.1/1003.5, Wait for Process Termination and Terminate a Process.

Requirement: The OSIF shall provide the capability to perform planned, orderly shutdown at the local and remote levels for each and all processor(s) throughout a system.

Description of Delta: 1003.1 and 1003.5 outline how POSIX processes can stop, but offers no capability for forcing the termination of one process from another non-related process.

Recommendation: OSSWG should influence the POSIX standards to include the capability to force a process termination on remote processors. This change can be added by P1387 as part of the resources management standard (this will probably require a new PAR for system and resource management).

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
14.1	Partially	Insertion	a
14.2	Partially	Modification	a
14.3	Partially	Insertion	a

3.15 TIME SERVICES INTERFACES

In general, the POSIX standards substantially support the time services.

The time services requirements selection of a primary reference clock (15.4), and location of the primary reference clock (15.5) are not specifically supported in POSIX. In the event of the loss of the primary reference clock the OSIF does not provide a means to locate a new primary reference clock when needed.

The Ada language calendar package, Calendar, and the 1003.5 Ada package, POSIX_Calendar, are equivalent in their functionality. They have the same provisions for getting the time and performing operations against that time. The 1003.5 package POSIX_Calendar has one advantage in that it has a procedure to override the system's default time zone through the TZ environment variable.

3.15.1 Read Selected Clock

The requirement for Read Selected Clock (15.1) for timer services, and for precision is directly and completely met by 1003.1b and 1003.5b Clocks and Timers. In addition, there are interfaces in 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.2, P1003.1d, and potentially the P1387 standards that partially meet the requirements to read a clock.

System Time (paragraph 4.5.1, 1003.1 and paragraph 4.4.1, 1003.5) provides access to a time-of-day clock, with precision to a hundredth of a second. Process Times functions (paragraph 4.5.2, 1003.1 and paragraph 4.2, 1003.5) return the number of clock ticks since the beginning of a particular process. The Clocks and Timers interface described in 1003.1b and P1003.5b allows multiple clocks to be defined. Every system that supports this interface must define at least the system real-time clock. The interface provides for potential resolution down to a nanosecond.

3.15.2 Set Selected Clock

The requirement for Set Selected Clock (15.2) for timer services, and for precision is directly and completely met by 1003.1b/P1003.5b Clocks and Timers and P1003.1d CPU Time Clocks and Device Control. In addition, P1387 can address setting a clock.

System Time (paragraph 4.5.1, 1003.1 and paragraph 4.4.1, 1003.5) does not allow for setting the time-of-day clock. All clocks defined by the Clocks and Timers interface in 1003.1b and P1003.5b may be set as well as read.

3.15.3 Synchronization of Selected Clocks

The requirements for Synchronizing Selected Clocks (15.3) for timer services is directly and completely met by 1003.1b/P1003.5b Clocks and Timers and P1003.1d Device Control.

Synchronization of selected clocks is supported, through the combination of the get and set functions and the identification of the clocks throughout the system. The Device Control interface in P1003.1d allows getting and setting clocks located on an external device.

3.15.4 Select a Primary Reference Clock

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "c" (may be deferred).

The Selection of a Primary Reference Clock is not specifically supported in POSIX since the specific wording of our requirements implies the ability to dynamically reconfigure the system wide clock and define another system wide clock.

The requirement for Selection of a Primary Reference Clock (15.4) is only partially met by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1d Clocks and Timers. Selection of a primary can only be done by virtue of an application's use of a specific clock reference which must be initially defined potentially by 1387.

There is no means to set or change the default in a dynamic way.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the ability to select a primary reference clock for the system.

Description of Delta: POSIX working group 1003.21 discussed the development of interfaces for distributed time management, but the working group has indefinitely postponed the introduction of a PAR for this work. Pending the introduction and approval of such a PAR and the initiation of a draft standard on distributed time management, POSIX does not address this issue.

Recommendation: The OSSWG should support the any of the 1003.21 working group's proposals on distributed time management through standardization to ensure that this requirement is met. However, the P1003.1j draft standard is being modified to add a synchronized clock to the 1003.1b Clock and Timers interfaces. The synchronized clock is defined without specification of the underlying support protocol(s). Consequently, if the selection of a network time source is allowed by the supporting protocol, then OSSWG requirement 15.4 will be met.

3.15.5 Locate the Primary Reference Clock

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "c" (may be deferred).

The Location of the Primary Reference Clock is not specifically supported in POSIX since the specific wording of our requirements implies the ability to dynamically reconfigure the system wide clock and define another system wide clock.

The requirement for Location of the Primary Reference Clock (15.5) is limited to the predefined system wide clock. The location of another primary reference clock in the event of a failure of the predefined system wide clock is not covered in any of the POSIX documents. This failing, as well as the partial coverage addressed in the previous paragraph, is attributable to the lack of real attention to the needs of distributed systems and the demands they place on time services.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the ability to locate the primary reference clock for a system.

Description of Delta: The P1003.1j draft standard is being modified to add a synchronized clock to the 1003.1b Clock and Timers interfaces. The synchronized clock is defined without reference to its location. The location of the network time source is considered to be a function of the supporting protocol, which is not specified by the draft standard. If the location of the network time source is allowed by the protocol, then this requirement is met. In any case, the location independence of the synchronized clock should preclude the need for OSSWG requirement 15.5.

Recommendation: The OSSWG should support the proposed synchronized clock amendments being incorporated into the P1003.1j draft standard through completion. However, the OSSWG should consider the deletion or modification of this requirement to more accurately reflect the P1003.1j draft standard.

3.15.6 Timer Services

The Timer Services requirement (15.6) is fulfilled by the POSIX standards 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c, P1003.1d and the Ada LRM. The Alarm, Timer, Interrupt Entry, and Interrupt Control interfaces in these standards, plus the related capabilities to await signals and interrupts satisfy this requirement.

3.15.7 Precision Clock

Precision Clock (15.7) is fully supported by the 1003.1b/P1003.5b timespec type for Clocks and Timers, which permits resolutions down to 1 nanosecond.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
15.1	Yes	None	-
15.2	Yes	None	-
15.3	Yes	None	-
15.4	Partially	Modification	c
15.5	No	Insertion	c
15.6	Yes	None	-
15.7	Yes	None	-

3.16 ADA LANGUAGE SUPPORT

The POSIX interface reflects fundamental aspects of UNIX and, in turn, the support it offers to Ada implementations must be seen in that light. UNIX was designed and built to support a multiple-user interactive environment. Its whole notion and implementation of process reflects the need to supply resources to users equitably, while protecting them from accidental interference with one another. In particular, processes are the only objects where concurrency is applicable, and they comprise single threads of control within unique address spaces. Further, fundamental aspects of the design of the system reflect the assumption that text processing and I/O would be important aspects of the processes supported, and that the processes would be running on single-processor computers. (The more general applicability of many recent implementations has had to deal with this orientation of UNIX.)

The consequence of these design elements of UNIX and POSIX is that the general POSIX definition, 1003.1, does not offer much positive support for the implementation of Ada systems. In practice, an Ada runtime on POSIX, as on UNIX, will not be able to use its fundamental services (such as process management, synchronization, and scheduling) to provide Ada semantics directly.

The fundamental reason for this lack of support is that POSIX processes are unsuitable as a mapping for Ada tasks. Processes do not share memory, and tasks do. Processes can continue executing even when their parents have terminated, while this is not possible for Ada tasks. Processes

inherit their parents' attributes in ways that Ada tasks do not. Switching contexts between processes has more overhead than would be desirable for tasks.

This does not mean that Ada cannot be implemented in a POSIX system. It simply means that the Ada runtime will need to do most of its own work to implement Ada semantics. Also, there are some instances in which POSIX, like UNIX, will get in the way; such as the fact that making a request for I/O blocks an entire process (read Ada program). This is understandable in a multi-user interactive environment, but is unsuitable in many Ada applications.

The real-time extensions (1003.1b and P1003.5b), however, and particularly the threads extensions (P1003.1c), are more helpful. First of all, synchronization primitives (semaphores, mutexes, and condition variables) are made available. Second, threads appear to provide a suitable mapping to Ada tasks, such that it would be feasible to assume that a POSIX implementation which included the real-time and threads options could provide task management and scheduling for an Ada runtime environment. Other services could be used directly to implement Ada semantics as well.

In general, in some instances, Ada semantics will be implementable by inserting calls to POSIX real-time and thread services directly into the compiled code. On the other hand, in most instances, the Ada runtime library will need to carry out extra-POSIX activities; sometimes with the assistance of calls to POSIX services, and occasionally completely on its own. The threads extensions (P1003.1c) document outlines how an Ada system might map tasks on the threads primitives.

In this section it is assumed that the Ada binding to POSIX (1003.5) is a reflection of 1003.1, rather than the provision of additional support for Ada. 1003.5 provides for Ada I/O support in addition to the POSIX I/O and adds services to relate the two types of I/O.

In general, the POSIX standards support service class 16, Ada language support interface, in a substantially complete way for the POSIX (P1003.1c) thread model and in a rudimentary way for the POSIX process model (1003.1).

The requirements for the Ada task model are met in a fairly direct way by the POSIX thread model. The support of tasks in isolation (i.e., create (16.1), terminate (16.5), etc.) is quite direct. The support of Ada rendezvous and selective waiting is complete, but it requires extensive, specialized composition of POSIX services.

A number of the OSSWG requirements for the support of Ada are requirements for services to be provided by the run-time system. These requirements include access to task characteristics (16.9), access to a precise real-time clock (16.11), access to the time-of-day clock (16.12), dynamic task priorities (16.13), memory management (16.15), and exception raising (16.19). The POSIX thread model supports these run-time system requirements with a few exceptions.

The unfulfilled requirements in this section are duplications of requirements in previous sections. They are requirements that have special relevance for Ada language applications, but if they are fulfilled by the OSIF in general, they will be fulfilled also for Ada applications. It does not seem wise to duplicate the exposition of the issues, since it would incur the dangers of duplicate maintenance. These sections will therefore refer to the sections that define the issues and recommend actions.

Some general recommendations are appropriate, however, to ensure that the solutions derived for the deltas are appropriate for Ada applications:

1. The OSSWG should remain active in the 1003.5 (Ada Bindings) group to ensure that the Ada bindings to POSIX interfaces are adequate to fulfill the requirements of NGCR Ada applications.
2. The discussions of the specified deltas in previous sections should also make reference to the Ada-specific section to ensure that the delta is resolved. Even in the unlikely event that it were to be decided that there is no general need for the functions, there is still a requirement in an Ada context. This judgment should not be lost.

3. The OSSWG should follow the progress of Ada-95, since there is some indication that language changes will be made that will have impact on requirements defined in this section.

3.16.1 Create Task (Ada)

The requirement for Create Task (16.1) is met by P1003.1c. Refer to the Pthreads discussion in 3.9.1.

3.16.2 Abort Task (Ada)

The requirement for Abort Task (16.2) is met by P1003.1c (thread cancellation), the `pthread_abort()` interface in P1003.1j, plus the interprocess communication facilities of 1003.1b and P1003.5b. Refer to the Pthreads discussion in 3.9.2.

3.16.3 Suspend Task (Ada)

The requirement for Suspend Task (16.3) is met by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c. Refer to the Pthreads discussion in 3.9.5.

3.16.4 Resume Task (Ada)

The requirement Resume Task (16.4) is met by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c. Refer to the Pthreads discussion in 3.9.6.

3.16.5 Terminate Task (Ada)

The requirement Terminate Task (16.5) is addressed by P1003.1c Thread Cancellation. Ada task termination semantics imply cooperation from the terminating task; thus thread cancellation provides a suitable interface to meet this requirement in spite of its inability to unconditionally terminate an uncooperative task.

3.16.6 Restart Task (Ada)

This requirement is equivalent to requirement 9.3 in conjunction with requirement 9.4 in an implementation where Ada tasks are implemented by (or on top of) POSIX threads; there are no unique Ada semantics imposed by either the Ada-83 or Ada-95 languages. Therefore, this "shall support" requirement is met in the same way as those two requirements are met for Pthreads.

3.16.7 Task Entry Calls (Ada)

Some of the claims found in P1003.1c regarding support of Task Entry Calls (16.7) cannot be fully accepted without further proof through implementation and validation. The 1003.5 working group had submitted objections to P1003.1c which, if resolved, would have allowed Ada tasks to be readily mapped to P1003.1c threads. But these objections were never resolved to the satisfaction of the 1003.5 working group. It appears that Task Entry Calls can still be achieved via other POSIX interfaces, but with reduced performance.

3.16.8 Task Call Accepting>Selecting

Some of the claims found in P1003.1c regarding support of Accepts (16.8) cannot be fully accepted without further proof through implementation and validation. The 1003.5 working group had submitted objections to P1003.1c which, if resolved, would have allowed Ada tasks to be readily mapped to P1003.1c threads. But these objections were never resolved to the satisfaction of the 1003.5 working group. It appears that Task Call Accepting>Selecting can still be achieved via other POSIX interfaces, but with reduced performance.

3.16.9 Access Task Characteristics (Ada)

The requirement to Access Task Characteristics (16.9) is supported by Clock and Timer operations of 1003.1b and P1003.5b, Thread Management and Thread Cancellation of P1003.1c, and also Thread Scheduling Functions and CPU-Time Clock of P1003.1d.

3.16.10 Monitor Task's Execution Status (Ada)

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Monitor Task's Execution Status (Ada) (16.10) is required by OSSWG and is dealt with independently in requirements 9.11 (Examine Process Status) and 13.3 (Cumulative Execution Time of a Process).

This requirement is important to the spirit of the Ada standard and to real-time applications. OSSWG should propose further additions to the POSIX standard, either as changes to P1003.1c or inclusion in P1387.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the ability to monitor a task's execution status, in particular, the amount of accumulated CPU time that has been used by the task.

Description of Delta: The requirement for Monitor Task's Execution Status (16.10) is not met by 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, or P1003.1c. Since Ada tasks must be mapped onto POSIX threads the standard process primitives are not available to support this requirement. 1003.2 has not been extended to address thread status. P1003.1d does allow access to the CPU time used by a thread.

Recommendation: See section 3.9.11.

3.16.11 Access to a Precise Real-Time Clock (Ada)

The requirement to Access a Precise Real-Time Clock (16.11) is covered in sections 3.15.1, 3.15.2, and 3.15.7. There is no additional requirement peculiar to Ada.

3.16.12 Access to a TOD Clock (Ada)

The requirement to Access a Time of Day Clock (16.12) is covered in sections 3.15.1, 3.15.2, and 3.15.7. There is no additional requirement peculiar to Ada.

3.16.13 Dynamic Task Priorities (Ada)

The Dynamic Task Priorities requirement (16.13) is provided by both P1003.1c and P1003.1d, with interfaces to get and set thread scheduling parameters.

3.16.14 Scheduling Policy Selection (Ada)

Scheduling Policy Selection (16.14) is also required by OSSWG and is dealt with independently in requirement 13.9 (Selection of a Scheduling Policy). While not directly visible to Ada applications, this interface may be critical to the implementation of an Ada run-time.

This requirement reflects a need to provide extensions to the current Ada language standard. OSSWG should give careful study to the appropriateness of the requirement and monitor the progress of language modification efforts.

The Scheduling Policy Selection (16.14) requirement is fully supported by 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c (1003.1 and 1003.5 provide no support for scheduling policy selection). Reference P1003.1c, "Thread Creation Scheduling Attributes," "Thread Scheduling;" 1003.1b/P1003.5b, "Execution Scheduling;," and P1003.1d, "Process and Thread Scheduling Functions."

A number of the OSSWG requirements for Ada language support are actually requirements for Ada extensions that may or may not become a part of the language standard in the future. In the case of scheduling policy selection (16.14), the 1003.1b, P1003.5b, P1003.1c , and P1003.1d interfaces provide extensive support.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the capability to get and set the policy that is to be used to schedule Ada tasks.

Recommendation: There is no longer an OSSWG delta per-se, but rather only an Ada delta. It is recommended that OSSWG address this issue as a whole.

3.16.15 Memory Allocation and Deallocation (Ada)

This requirement is fully met by the Ada LRM operator "new" and generic function UNCHECKED DEALLOCATION; the Language Specific Services for the Ada programming language in 1003.5; and the Typed Memory allocation interfaces in P1003.1j (for which an Ada binding must ultimately be supplied, either by the user or POSIX).

3.16.16 Interrupt Binding (Ada)

This requirement is directly met by P1003.1d Interrupt Control.

3.16.17 Enable/Disable Interrupts (Ada)

Enable/Disable Interrupts (Ada) (16.17) is required for OSSWG if seen independently from its connection to support for Ada; as such it is dealt with in requirement 5.5 (Block/Unblock Interrupts). There is no longer a delta for requirement 5.5 because P1003.1d includes interfaces which provide mutual exclusion between an application and its interrupt handler. On the other hand, the requirement does not relate to the current definition of the Ada language and therefore should be reevaluated as to whether it should be duplicated in this section. Some people in the Ada community have suggested that the language should be modified to allow more direct access to these functions, but it is not clear if Ada-95 has incorporated any such capabilities.

This requirement reflects a need to provide extensions to the current Ada language standard. OSSWG should give careful study to the appropriateness of the requirement and monitor the progress of language modification efforts.

A number of the OSSWG requirements for Ada language support are actually requirements for Ada extensions that may or may not become a part of the language standard in the future. In the support

of Block/Unblock Interrupts (16.17), as described in the OSSWG requirements, a marginally satisfactory masking capability is provided in 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c as related to signals; but P1003.1d Interrupt Control provides a much more generic capability.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the capability to enable and disable interrupts.

Recommendation: OSSWG should re-evaluate this requirement based on Ada-95 capabilities. There is no OSSWG delta per-se, but rather only an Ada delta.

3.16.18 Mask/Unmask Interrupts (Ada)

This unfulfilled requirement is classified as "a" (essential).

Mask/Unmask interrupts (Ada) (16.18) is required for OSSWG if seen independently from its connection to support for Ada; as such it is dealt with in requirement 5.6 (Mask/Unmask Interrupts). On the other hand, the requirement does not relate to the current definition of the Ada language and therefore should be reevaluated as to whether it should be duplicated in this section. Some people in the Ada community have suggested that the language should be modified to allow more direct access to these functions, and it is possible these functions will be included in the next revision, now called Ada-95. Thus this requirement is classified as "d" (reevaluate).

This requirement reflects a need to provide extensions to the current Ada language standard. OSSWG should give careful study to the appropriateness of the requirement and monitor the progress of language modification efforts.

A number of the OSSWG requirements for Ada language support are actually requirements for Ada extensions that may or may not become a part of the language standard in the future. In the support of Mask/Unmask Interrupts (16.18), as described in the OSSWG requirements, only a marginally satisfactory masking capability is provided in 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c as related to signals. The P1003.1d Device Control interface may be interpreted as a standard way to request a device to mask or unmask its interrupts.

Requirement: The OSIF shall support the capability to mask and unmask device interrupts.

Recommendation: Same as in section 3.5.6. There is no additional requirement peculiar to Ada.

3.16.19 Raise Exception (Ada)

Support for the Raise Exceptions requirement (16.19) is believed to be provided by a combination of services for signals within 1003.1, 1003.5, 1003.1b, P1003.5b, and P1003.1c, but this support has not yet been proven.

3.16.20 I/O Support (Ada)

The requirement for Ada Input/Output Support (16.20) is partially covered by 1003.1, 1003.1b, P1003.1c, 1003.5, and P1003.5b. 1003.1, 1003.1b, and P1003.1c define the POSIX file support and I/O primitives. 1003.5 and P1003.5b provide the Ada binding to those POSIX features, as well as services to convert between the two versions. Support for Ada Low_Level_IO is provided by the P1003.1d Device Control interface.

Requirements Coverage Summary

Requirement	Covered	POSIX Delta	Unfulfilled Requirements Rating
16.1	Yes	None (1,3)*	-
16.2	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.3	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.4	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.5	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.6	Yes	None	-
16.7	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.8	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.9	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.10	No	Insertion	a
16.11	Yes	None	-
16.12	Yes	None	-
16.13	Yes	None	-
16.14	Yes	None (2)	-
16.15	Yes	None	-
16.16	Yes	None	-
16.17	Yes	None(2)	-
16.18	Partially	Insertion	a
16.19	Yes	None (1,3)	-
16.20	Yes	None	-

*1 Requires a solid commitment to 1003.1b and P1003.1c by the POSIX standards effort.

2 Requires coordination between the Ada language standard and the POSIX standard.

3 Awaiting proof of adequacy of POSIX interfaces.

4. BIG 6 DISCUSSION

This section analyzes of the extent to which the POSIX standards meet what the NGCR OSSWG has termed the "Big Six." This refers to six technology areas that the Navy's NGCR Program Office has stated as being of prime importance to future Navy systems. These areas as related to computer systems are Distribution, Real-Time, Fault-Tolerance, Security, Heterogeneity, and Ada.

4.1 DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

It was always a primary goal of NGCR in general, and the NGCR OS in particular, to support the wide variety of distributed architectures found in Navy systems. Such systems include anywhere from two to hundreds of homogeneous and/or heterogeneous processing and I/O nodes communicating either point-to-point or via a multi-level bus or network interconnection. Ideally, the operating system interface should provide distributed services in a portable manner, masking the actual method of interconnection and its associated protocols.

Operating system services related to distributed processing can be broadly classified as either explicit or implicit distribution. Explicit distribution implies that the application directs a request to a specific logically identified node; an example of explicit distribution is sending a message to a specified node or I/O subsystem and awaiting a reply. Implicit distribution, conversely, implies that the application is unaware of where in the distributed system a requested service is provided; examples of implicit distribution include file servers, name servers, and the like.

4.1.1 Distribution in UNIX

Traditionally, UNIX systems have been primarily implemented on single node, uniprocessor systems. When the need for operation in a networked environment became obvious (stimulated by the ARPANET research in the late 1970s and early 1980s), explicit distributed services first began to appear as shell and utility add-ons to the basic UNIX systems; such facilities as electronic mail and file transfer services were built on OS and vendor-specific implementations of the Advanced Research Projects Administration's (ARPA) TCP/IP networking protocol. Researchers at the University of California Berkeley developed a portable API suitable for interprocess communication within a single node or across nodes via networking protocols; this interface, called Sockets, became a de-facto standard API for networking applications, thereby allowing portable versions of these explicit services to be built as utility applications. AT&T developed a similar interface, XTI, for its System V variant of UNIX.

In recent years, additional utility level explicit distributed services have become standard in most UNIX systems. These include remote shell, remote login, remote talk, and finger services, all implemented using a client-server model at the UNIX application level, and utilizing the Sockets or XTI API to send and receive service-specific messages via service-specific sockets across distributed nodes. Even more recently, implicit distributed services have been integrated into some UNIX systems, such as network file system and domain name server capabilities. These achieve a level of application transparency by embedding the remote node identification in configurable operating system tables that are maintained by a system administrator but are otherwise of no concern to portable applications.

Very recent developments in transparent distributed database and information retrieval include the WAIS (Wide Area Information Server), the Internet Gopher system, and the World Wide Web which both provide a seamless local user interfaces to widely distributed information.

4.1.2 Distribution in POSIX

The POSIX working groups seek to standardize current practice in the UNIX community. The current working groups therefore focus on a protocol independent interface (formerly P1003.12),

transparent file access (P1003.1f), directory services (1224.2), object management (1224), X.400 message handling (1224.1), and common OSI API & FTAM API (1238.1) for distributed systems.

The protocol independent interface is currently based on the Berkeley Sockets and XTI de-facto standards. A new PAR (Real Time Distributed Systems Communication - 1003.21) has proposed extending these capabilities for realtime systems. Likewise, the other APIs are based on de-facto industry standards. While 1224 and 1238.1 are not strictly part of POSIX (1003), they are part of the IEEE PASC (Portable Applications Standards Committee), and meet, distribute documents, and generally coordinate with POSIX.

4.1.3 Distribution in NGCR OS

All NGCR OS distribution requirements are not called out explicitly as OSSWG requirements. While the network and communications interfaces service class specifies the lowest level requirements for internode communication over LAN, bus, and point-to-point hardware interconnects, distribution is implicitly required by a number of APIs in other service classes. Each and every OSSWG requirement must be interpreted in the following manner: If this requirement makes sense in a distributed context, then the NGCR OS must support it in that distributed context.

For example, Navy embedded systems traditionally support some form of interprocess communication among processes at separate nodes; thus, OSSWG requirement 9.8 (interprocess communication) requires distribution support. In this case, the OSSWG requirement is general enough to cover both explicit distribution (i.e., the application sets up the logical pathway between the processes) and implicit distribution (i.e., the application interface is no different whether the communication is internode or intranode).

As a counterexample, the OSSWG requirement for mutual exclusion (13.2) is typically not implemented across nodes in Navy embedded systems, at least not at the operating system level. The reason for this is that mutual exclusion primitives are intended to be a high performance, low contention method for guarding shared resources against inappropriate simultaneous access; this model becomes virtually useless over high latency internode communication paths. Resources sharable across multiple loosely coupled nodes occur quite infrequently and are typically guarded with other mechanisms such as monitors (server processes).

4.1.4 NGCR/POSIX Distribution Delta

During the OSSWG evaluations that led to the selection of POSIX as the baseline for the NGCR MIL-STD OSIF, evaluators were constantly aware that each OSSWG requirement might have different implications in a loosely or tightly coupled distributed system than in a simple uniprocessor system. Although there is no OSSWG service class dealing specifically with distribution, service classes 2, 4, 8, 12, and 14 contain requirements that deal specifically with the explicit nature of distributed systems. Most other service classes contain one or more requirements for which some NGCR distributed systems will undoubtedly need transparent (implicit) distribution. The OSSWG has not reached a consensus on exactly which POSIX interfaces should be transparently distributed. However, since POSIX is currently providing very little transparent distribution of services, the delta is likely to widen when such transparent service interfaces are identified.

4.2 REAL-TIME SYSTEMS

The primary application of the NGCR OS is in support of Navy air, surface, subsurface, and shore-based mission computer systems. The secondary application is in all other Navy computer systems, including software development, laboratory, and non-military functions. Virtually all of the primary applications and some of the secondary applications have real-time constraints ranging from "soft" to "hard" real time. UNIX operating systems have traditionally offered very poor support for users

with real-time requirements. Faced with this dismal reputation, various UNIX vendors have offered a variety of nonstandard, nonportable real-time extensions to the UNIX kernel.

4.2.1 Real Time in POSIX

The POSIX Realtime working group is attempting to standardize the various real-time extensions. Prior to participation in the Realtime working group by the NGCR OSSWG and the VITA (ORKID standard) members, the working group activities were focused primarily on "soft" real-time issues. Now, these participants have joined with the real-time system vendors in ensuring that "hard" real-time is given its due. It is POSIX Realtime working group policy that its work will also address usability of the extensions for other than real-time systems whenever possible. The following enhancements have been approved as part of IEEE Standard 1003.1b:

1. Semaphores provide a facility for synchronization among multiple processes contending for access to a shared resource. The traditional UNIX approach (lock files) is too time consuming and disk intensive to be useful in high performance real-time systems, especially when expected contention for the resource is very low, as is typically the case.
2. Process memory locking provides an application API allowing the user to designate certain program and/or data memory to be excluded from the normal UNIX virtual memory management paging/swapping algorithms. This allows critical memory regions to be guaranteed prompt accessibility and minimizes nondeterministic behavior due to mass storage latency.
3. Shared memory interfaces enable a high bandwidth and high performance form of interprocess communication when the hardware supports this, the real-time constraints require this, and the protection afforded by more structured forms of IPC can be sacrificed.
4. Priority scheduling interfaces permit real-time applications to override the de-facto "time-sharing" UNIX style process scheduling policy with various priority based scheduling policies more appropriate to real-time multitasking. Only by doing this can hard real-time deadlines be guaranteed.
5. Realtime Signals extends the classic UNIX signal concept by allowing arbitrary user defined signals to be attached to user initiated actions and external events, and subsequently notifying the user process (synchronously or asynchronously) when the event is triggered.
6. Clocks and timers provide APIs to various resolution clocks and interval timers that provide better granularity and more flexibility than the traditional UNIX 1/Hz-second clock (time) and 1-second interval timer (alarm, sleep). Real-time systems usually have tight timing tolerances that are best met by millisecond or better-resolution low-jitter clocks and timers.
7. IPC Message passing addresses the need for a form of interprocess communication interface that is not inexorably tied to any specific implementation but that supports loosely coupled LAN-based communications typical among component subsystems of a large combat systems, as well as high performance shared memory based communications between cooperating processes in a uniprocessor or multiprocessor. The traditional UNIX IPC mechanisms (pipes, signals, and files) are often too restrictive or heavyweight for use in real-time systems.
8. Synchronized input and output provides interfaces whereby an application can guarantee that a set of data recorded in mass storage is current and self consistent. Traditional UNIX I/O assumes that the "OS knows best" but fails to address the need for embedded real-time systems to more closely control the reading and writing of data that might be needed for recovery purposes or might be written and read by different components of the system.
9. Asynchronous input and output provides alternative I/O interfaces that allow a single process to initiate I/O to one or several devices simultaneously and continue processing while awaiting I/O to complete. The traditional UNIX approach to this is to create separate processes to perform each I/O operation as well as queuing and notification functions. While this approach can actually yield more

structured programs, real-time systems often cannot tolerate the extra process context switching overhead.

10. Advisory information interfaces provide additional information to the OS file system so that the OS can optimize file access (reduce latency, prevent fragmentation, speed addressability) for real-time applications. This serves to improve performance and eliminate the non-determinism typically associated with UNIX file access.

The following enhancement categories are in progress:

1. POSIX threads provide a complete API set for lightweight processes that can coexist with the heavier POSIX process model. Threads within a single POSIX process share a considerable amount of state information (including memory); thus, context switching among threads experiences lower overhead, and interthread IPC can take advantage of the inherent shared memory. Additionally, threads provide a second level of concurrency model that matches quite nicely with the two levels implicit in the Ada programming language (several tightly coupled Ada tasks per Ada program, several loosely coupled Ada programs per system).

2. The Spawn process creation primitive provides an enhancement over the traditional 1003.1 fork() and exec() APIs for real-time systems. The 1003.1 interfaces imply not only the existence of a file system, but also a two step method of starting a new process which forces an often unnecessary duplication of an existing process. Spawn provides the more or less conventional real-time practice of "create process" with a single interface.

3. Timeouts for Blocking Services adds the conventional real-time capability of attaching an upper bound to the amount of time which several critical real-time interfaces may block a requesting process or thread. This capability is used primarily to increase the robustness of real-time applications in fault situations.

4. Execution Time Monitoring provides the ability for a process or thread to check the cumulative execution time of itself or another process or thread, and to establish CPU time limits. Such interfaces are essential in deadline driven real-time systems to ensure that all processes and/or threads are given fair opportunity to meet their deadlines.

5. Sporadic Server interfaces complement Priority Scheduling interfaces in real-time systems driven by external aperiodic requests. These simplify the schedulability analysis (as in rate monotonic scheduling theory) of such a real-time system because they allow aperiodic processes or threads to be treated as if they were periodic.

6. Device Control standardizes the format of interfaces to device drivers which go beyond the 1003.1 open/close/read/write/seek interfaces. Real-time systems typically utilize unique devices with unique "out-of-band" control requirements. UNIX has always provided an ioctl() interface to invoke such control actions as unloading a magnetic tape or setting the baud rate of a communication port. Device Control is a natural extension of these capabilities to general control requirements for arbitrary devices (such as radar or analog-to-digital converters). It does not attempt to define actual control requirements, only the interfaces necessary to pass control information.

7. Interrupt Control provides standard interfaces for connecting architecture and hardware dependent interrupts to application code. Real-time applications frequently need asynchronous notification of the occurrence of some hardware generated event. Performance is often an issue, so Interrupt Control addresses performance and other tradeoffs associated with different methods of asynchronous notification (Note that POSIX otherwise supports only a single method of asynchronous event notification, the Signal).

8. Typed Memory Allocation adds interfaces to POSIX which support dynamic memory allocation. POSIX had previously deferred all memory allocation interfaces to the ANSI C standard. Given the evolution of other languages which require dynamic memory allocation, and the proliferation of

real-time systems which utilize several types or partitions of memory from which such allocation is possible, the ANSI C malloc() interface is no longer adequate.

9. Multiprocessor synchronization interfaces provide additional thread and process synchronization paradigms commonly utilized in multiprocessor applications. These include Barrier Synchronization, Reader/Writer Locks, and Spin Locks.

10. High resolution sleep interfaces allow a process or thread to delay its execution for intervals much shorter than one second, and limited only by the resolution of underlying hardware timers. Without these interfaces, applications are limited to delays which are multiples of one second, or must use signals in conjunction with high resolution interval timers.

4.2.2 Real Time in NGCR OS

Although POSIX interfaces differ substantially from most conventional real-time operating systems used heretofore in Navy systems, the substantial progress achieved by the Realtime working group coupled with increased industry impetus toward real-time UNIX implementations would indicate that POSIX will eventually be an acceptable OS interface for all but the smallest and most time critical Navy applications.

Real-time profiles being developed by P1003.13 will stress the need for high performance OS implementations for real-time systems. The interfaces themselves cannot generally be evaluated with respect to performance because performance is a characteristic of an implementation, not an interface. However, performance metrics are being developed as part of the standards, and substantial effort has been expended to ensure that the real-time interfaces do not preclude efficient implementations. Thus, it is reasonable to expect that the Navy will be able to purchase good real-time operating system implementations compliant with the POSIX interface standards. This means that, in spite of the fact that POSIX interfaces are quite unlike those found in conventional real-time operating systems, NGCR OS based on POSIX will support real-time applications once real-time programmers understand and accept the POSIX-like interfaces.

4.2.3 NGCR/POSIX Real-Time Delta

The following unfulfilled requirements are especially significant to real-time applications because missing capabilities prevent a fine degree of control over the performance of the system in functions common to most real-time applications:

- 1.21 Bounded OS Service Times and Context Switching
- 6.3 File Management Scheduling
- 7.1 Device Driver Availability
- 16.10 Monitor Task's Execution Status (Ada)
- 16.17 Enable/Disable Interrupts (Ada)

The following unfulfilled requirements are especially significant to multiprocessor and distributed real-time systems because of the lack of a standardized approach to handling global time:

- 15.4 Selection of primary reference clock
- 15.5 Locate primary reference clock.

The following unfulfilled requirements are also significant to real-time systems but reflect capabilities which are not common to all real-time systems or are typically out of the mainstream of real-time processing.

- 1.17 Error conditions
- 1.23 Transaction scheduling information

- 5.1 Event and error receipt
- 5.2 Event and error distribution
- 5.3 Event and error management
- 5.4 Event and error logging
- 10.2 Execution history
- 11.* Reliability, adaptability, and maintainability (all)
- 13.5 Transaction scheduling information.

The following unfulfilled requirements may have some bearing on the performance of some real-time systems, although the relationship is a secondary one:

- 4.1 Data interchange services
- 9.11 Examine process status
- 9.13 Save/restart process
- 12.5 Shared memory (as unfulfilled - for code segments)

4.3 FAULT-TOLERANT SYSTEMS

Because many of the Navy systems to utilize NGCR OS will be mission critical, the OS must support the ability to detect, report, isolate, and recover from any foreseen hardware or software failure, thereby ensuring that the effects of such a failure on the mission are minimal. Fault tolerance requirements are explicitly seen in service classes 5 (event and error management) and 11 (reliability, adaptability, and maintainability), while some other requirements also have implications in this area.

4.3.1 Fault Tolerance in UNIX

Unfortunately, UNIX systems have traditionally had poor fault tolerance. Generally, software errors generated by an application and some hardware errors related to a device in use by an application are reported back to the application either synchronously (via error return codes and the "errno" system variable) or asynchronously (via a signal). The OS assumes no further role in the processing or logging of such errors, nor are there any services that assist in the recovery from errors. Furthermore, software errors detected within the UNIX kernel, and many hardware errors, cause the OS to simply give up. For example, many UNIX systems will not configure themselves around failed memory but instead inform an operator and halt, awaiting reboot of the system or they reboot themselves automatically (a process that takes from one to many minutes). In these cases, all user applications die in their tracks with no potential to recover anything unless the application has generated its own checkpoints. Curiously, in these circumstances, the error is frequently logged in a file accessible to the system administrator.

UNIX behaves this way because its typical users have been running applications in a time-sharing environment where centralized error handling and dynamic recovery are not the rule, but where having a system administrator in the loop is.

4.3.2 Fault Tolerance in POSIX

There had previously been little effort in the POSIX community to standardize fault tolerance related interfaces. This issue was generally considered out of scope. For example, a significant portion of the Realtime working group membership had been opposed to providing timeouts on blocking services because they can't imagine that software bugs end up in fielded systems. Recently, the hard real-time contingent of that working group has pushed for the kinds of fault tolerant capabilities that provide the characteristic robustness of mission-critical real-time systems.

OSSWG has led a Fault Management and Administration study group within POSIX over the past two years. While this group had initially confirmed that existing practice in fault tolerant operating systems is not mature enough to begin a standardization effort immediately, they have nonetheless

brought this concern to the forefront. The group obtained a PAR and continues to work toward standardized Fault Management and Administration interfaces based on proposals by several industry groups including UNIX International and the Open Software Foundation.

4.3.3 Fault Tolerance in NGCR OS

NGCR OS requirements specify centralized facilities for receipt, coordination, distribution, delivery, and logging of error events, whether those events are detected by hardware or software, whether they indicate a hardware or software fault, and whether the fault occurs within the application or the operating system. The OS is expected to collect and retain as much information as possible about a fault that has occurred and provide access to this information to an application (not just a system administrator). This applies to faults detected asynchronously, as well as to faults discovered by application initiated hardware diagnostic tests. For transient faults, the OS must be configurable with thresholds that establish the tolerance level for errors. Isolation of faults to a system component must be supported, and the OS must be able to take predetermined actions based on fault severity. Ultimately, the OS must support reconfiguration of its own and application resources when one or several components of the system have failed, or upon application request.

4.3.4 NGCR/POSIX Fault Tolerance Delta

POSIX and UNIX compliant systems today provide virtually none of the required support. Although it is not required for many Navy systems, the NGCR OS interface will have to augment POSIX substantially to achieve a fault tolerance level acceptable to some mission critical Navy profiles. The most likely route to this goal is by supporting the POSIX SRASS working group which is closely following the activities of UNIX International, OSF, and X3T8 in these areas. As the concepts being explored by these groups become more well defined, de-facto industry standards will emerge and it will become appropriate for the SRASS working group to draft IEEE standards based on these.

4.4 SECURITY

As stated in section 3.3, although P1003.1e and P1003.2c meet or support most of the OSSWG security requirements, further guidance is provided and required by the TCSEC and SECNAV Instruction 5239.2 "Information Security Instruction." The subject of the TCSEC and its interrelationship with the NGCR standards for security raises several issues:

1. The relationship between the P1003.1e/P1003.2c and the TCSEC standard.
2. The integration of common security-related features between various standards (e.g., NGCR, DoD, ISO) and which standard takes precedence.
3. The integration of common functions and features as the result of using two or more standards-based trusted commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) products when they become available. This must also consider the integration of different TCSEC class COTS products or systems.

Navy acquisition programs must comply with DoD directives and the SECNAV instruction. Both recommend the TCSEC standard to develop security requirements for acquisition programs. The TCSEC is a collection of security criteria organized into classes. In most acquisitions, requirements may be specified from different TCSEC classes based on the criticality of the mission and the level of physical, procedural, operational, and communication security at the operational sites. For some specific acquisition programs or missions, the requirements cited for a particular TCSEC class may not all apply. NGCR OSSWG has reviewed P1003.1e and P1003.2c and found them compatible with the TCSEC criteria. [Note: In annex B of P1003.1e, the POSIX security subcommittee gives its reasons for choosing the TCSEC as the main source of security criteria.] As it defines each of the functions within each category of the interface standard (i.e., DAC, MAC, Privileges, Audit, Information Labels), P1003.1e and

P1003.2c attempt to ensure that the security portion of the standards does not preclude meeting the higher class TCSEC systems. Although it is not explicitly cited in P1003.1e or P1003.2c, it is implied that to qualify as a TCSEC class system the P1003.1e and P1003.2c interface requirements must be developed in conjunction with the corresponding criteria stated in the TCSEC.

The integration of common security-related features between the various standards is non-trivial. Likewise, the use of trusted portable application software between systems built on different hardware platforms having a similar POSIX interface may require further examination of the application software. In either case when combinations of NGCR standards or standards-based COTS products are used, further system level analysis is required to identify, address, and resolve the significant integration issues.

An example which illustrates both issues addressed above is labeling. POSIX treats a label as an unstructured, undefined opaque object for portability purposes. This allows each vendor or developer of trusted application software who uses the P1003.1e and P1003.2c standards to define the internal structure of the label. From a standalone, homogeneous system perspective, this may not cause significant problems for Navy system engineers. However in a distributed, heterogeneous system when several NGCR standards and/or standards-based trusted application products are integrated, additional requirements may be necessary to define a common label format. This may be especially the case when trusted application programs are created to perform label transformations for mission-critical systems and such software must be totally correct. Such trusted application programs in general may not be transferable among heterogeneous POSIX-based systems.

The security requirements and the implementation of these requirements should always be viewed in terms of the TCSEC. P1003.1e and P1003.2c are interface standards that do not preclude meeting the TCSEC class requirements. However, P1003.1e and P1003.2c in themselves, being interface-related standards, cannot address all the operating system security requirements. The design and implementation of the P1003.1e and P1003.2c standards must be used in conjunction with requirements from the TCSEC classes to provide a well-defined system and a potentially certifiable secure product.

4.5 HETEROGENEITY

It has been a goal of the NGCR OS to support heterogeneous systems; that is, the same OS interface must not only support a variety of processor architectures, but it must allow dissimilar processors to cooperate as part of a larger system. This can take the form of heterogeneous processors on the same backplane (Futurebus+) or more commonly, heterogeneous processor types at different nodes of a distributed system.

4.5.1 Heterogeneity in UNIX

Today's UNIX systems support heterogeneity largely through the use of network services that provide commonality of function and information representation among different processor types (some running different vendors' UNIX) that share a common network medium and protocol (e.g., ethernet). Examples are network file system (NFS) and remote shell (rsh) capabilities. Such services typically do not attempt to solve data interchange format problems (word size, floating point format, endian-ness), leaving that as an exercise for the user; however, they do allow applications to work together fairly well in a heterogeneous distributed environment. The Remote Procedure Call (RPC) facility implemented by many UNIX systems addresses some of the data interchange format and security issues introduced by heterogeneous distributed computing.

Few UNIX systems today support heterogeneity on the same backplane, simply because that is not a typical configuration. Notable exceptions such as Wind River's VxWorks do allow host (e.g., Sun workstation) and target (e.g., Mizar SPARC/VME-based real-time subsystem) to share a common backplane and memory.

4.5.2 Heterogeneity in POSIX

The POSIX standards effort is a giant step forward in supporting heterogeneity, since it attempts to standardize not only the basic interfaces (thus ensuring source code portability), but also the distributed services (thus allowing for universal interoperability, at least across a network). The issue of heterogeneity in a multiprocessor (dissimilar processors sharing memory) is not addressed by POSIX except in the distributed context.

4.5.3 Heterogeneity in NGCR OS

Heterogeneity is not called out in any specific NGCR OS requirement (though service class 4, data interchange interfaces, certainly hints at it). This is because the ability of one implementation of an operating system to work harmoniously with another implementation is largely an implementation issue. For example, if two implementations of a file system namespace use the standardized interface but two different character sets, then the ability to share namespace information between these implementations is severely hampered. The OSSWG should (1) attempt to identify those POSIX implementation dependencies that are detrimental to heterogeneity and (2) create an "implementor's guide" to promote increased interoperability.

4.5.4 NGCR/POSIX Heterogeneity Delta

Although the POSIX standardization effort and POSIX distribution standards are a strong positive step for heterogeneous systems, the POSIX motive is source code portability, not interoperability. Thus, it is unlikely that initial implementations of POSIX-compliant systems will work trouble-free in a heterogeneous environment. The POSIX (and thus, the NGCR OSSWG) focus on APIs simply does not address standardization of certain system interfaces (particularly OS-to-OS interfaces and global resource management).

4.6 ADA

The Ada programming language is not only the mandated DoD standard (and thus Navy standard) programming language, but is an international standard for large scale, long-lived, reliable applications. The Ada language is somewhat unique in that it defines within the language a number of operations that heretofore were considered to be in the domain of the target operating system, but that ultimately must be supported by an operating system component. Some Ada compilers are targeted to the bare machine; that is, the compiler vendor supplies the full underlying operating system. Other Ada compilers are targeted to a machine already running particular operating systems; in this case, the Ada vendor's run-time support package and/or the generated code itself interfaces with an operating system supplied by another vendor (typically, the computer vendor) whenever operating system services are required.

The Ada language also, like other language standards, specifies certain required library packages that must rely on operating system services for support.

Examples of operating system services implicit in the Ada language are the Ada tasking model (entry call, accept, select, etc.), the delay statement, the "new" allocator, and various Ada exceptions that may originate as machine-specific hardware interrupts (Numeric_Error, for example). Examples of Ada library packages that require operating system support are Text_IO, Low_Level_IO, IO_Exceptions, Unchecked_Deallocation, and Calendar.

4.6.1 Ada in UNIX

UNIX-based systems have been popular platforms for Ada language implementations, but there has been a great deal of misunderstanding and controversy surrounding such implementations. UNIX implementations have typically been a poor fit for the services required by the Ada language. For example, UNIX kernels have no schedulable entity that maps to an Ada task, so UNIX-based Ada implementations have usually provided a library level scheduler for Ada tasks. This approach has two drawbacks. First, whenever such an Ada task must invoke an operating system service that blocks, all the Ada tasks in the Ada program are blocked instead of only the one requiring the blocking service; second, the timely execution of the Ada tasks cannot be guaranteed because the UNIX process in which the Ada tasks live itself competes for the CPU via a different scheduler (the UNIX process scheduler). Another example of a poor fit is the various Ada timing services. Because UNIX provides timing services only at 1-second resolution, Ada implementations have been forced to use some fairly inaccurate and inefficient polling methods of timing. Even the Ada line and record-oriented I/O models are poorly supported by the UNIX byte-stream I/O model.

Generally, the outcome of this poor fit is that portable Ada programs don't work exactly as might be expected, either from the Ada perspective or from the UNIX perspective. Vendors, realizing this, typically provide additional nonstandard libraries to allow Ada programs to be more "UNIX like." Unfortunately, this does very little for portability, even from one Ada compiler implementation to another on the same UNIX operating system.

4.6.2 Ada in POSIX

POSIX has been supporting Ada through the 1003.5 working group, the product of which is to be a standard that makes the functionality of ISO/IEC 9945-1:1990 (1003.1) available to the Ada programmer. The P1003.5b working group is doing the same for the evolving real-time extensions (1003.1b, P1003.1c, and P1003.1d).

It is important to note what 1003.5 does and does not attempt to do. In particular, 1003.5 provides an Ada language binding to POSIX interfaces; i.e., an Ada-like way to invoke POSIX services. It does NOT attempt to define POSIX interfaces suitable for supporting all the Ada run-time capabilities. Generally speaking, the POSIX community seems to feel that the latter is not in its scope. Nonetheless, recent activity in the Realtime working group (i.e., concern that Pthreads be usable as Ada tasks) indicates that there is increasing sentiment toward supporting POSIX in the Ada run-time environment. The 1003.5 working group is currently debating the inclusion or exclusion of Ada bindings to real-time interfaces that would conflict with capabilities of the Ada run-time, or that would allow an Ada run-time environment to be written in Ada.

4.6.3 Ada in NGCR OS

It is essential that NGCR OS support not only an Ada language binding to all defined OS interfaces, but also the implicit interfaces required by the Ada run-time and the standard Ada library packages. These latter requirements are pretty much detailed in OSSWG requirements for service class 16, while the language binding requirements appear in service class 1.

In cases where an OSSWG requirement is satisfied directly within the language or from a standard Ada library package, and an explicit binding to the underlying service interface adds no functionality, the explicit binding is not necessary. For example, the POSIX "sleep" interface adds no functionality over and above the Ada "delay" statement and it is therefore unnecessary to have an Ada binding to the OS "sleep." Also, where an OS interface exists wholly to support a different language binding, an Ada binding makes no sense (e.g., the 1003.1c C interface "pthread_equal" exists because comparison of opaque types using the C operator == is invalid for pointer implementations of such types).

In support of the goals of application portability and reusability, NGCR applications must avoid the practice of substituting nonstandard language constructs and library packages for standard Ada

capabilities. Toward this goal, it is essential that the NGCR OS implementations support standard Ada capabilities with very high performance, since performance requirements of real-time systems often take precedence over software engineering goals. Hopefully, as Ada matures into Ada-95, new standard capabilities will be added to compensate for some of the architecture and OS dependent problems that have previously forced use of nonstandard interfaces.

4.6.4 NGCR/POSIX Ada Delta

The 1003.5 working group, in its process of drafting P1003.5b, has started debating, and will continue to debate, such issues as providing Ada bindings to POSIX interfaces that duplicate or conflict with Ada run-time features, and providing support for Ada run-time environments written in Ada. Once such decisions have been made, the exact relationship between POSIX and Ada will be more well defined. POSIX 1003.1, 1003.1b, P1003.1c, and P1003.1d certainly appear at this time to support Ada-83 fairly completely and, assuming no highly unusual policy is forthcoming from the 1003.5 working group, the delta appears small. As the approval of the Ada-95 standard approaches, both NGCR and POSIX will need to determine the additional level of OS support demanded by the updated language, and exactly how POSIX will approach providing that support.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This document has carefully analyzed each NGCR OSSWG interface requirement (except for the very general requirements in Service Class 1) as it relates to the POSIX standardization effort. Of the 149 OSSWG requirements analyzed, 97 are directly met by the existing POSIX interfaces; Section 3 documents this mapping. Of the 52 remaining requirements, 45 have been classified as significant unfulfilled requirements. The remaining 7 have been deferred until related areas of technology mature.

The 45 significant unfulfilled requirements generally fall into one of three classifications: those that are nearly met by POSIX with the exception of minor details (10), those that clearly belong within the POSIX framework but have not yet been addressed by draft POSIX standards (22), and those which are outside the scope of the current POSIX projects (13). This "magnitude of delta" for each requirement is more significant than the actual count of unfulfilled requirements. When analyzed by service class, there are only a few trends (primarily the lack of POSIX support for service classes 5 and 11); but when the requirements are classified by importance to the "Big 6" technology areas, as is done in Section 4, the relative magnitudes of delta becomes clear: POSIX is moving in a positive direction in the areas of Real-Time Systems, Security, and Ada, with only follow-up work required to satisfy most related OSSWG requirements; while the POSIX framework currently addresses areas of Distributed Systems, Fault Tolerance, and Heterogeneity, there is substantial additional work required to bring these up to OSSWG standards. Most of requirements with the largest delta magnitude are those related to system administration issues such as resource management, network management, system management, and device driver portability; while these were originally within the purview of the old P1003.7 working group, they were orphaned when that group (recently renamed P1387) severely restricted their scope.

In the strategy analyses of Section 3, it was found that many OSSWG requirements would be best met by working within the POSIX working groups and balloting groups to ensure that existing capabilities are extended or tuned, and that the necessary new capabilities are added; indeed this method has been in use since NGCR OSSWG became active in the POSIX activities, and substantial progress has already been observed, especially in the real time, networking, and fault tolerance areas. Most of the significant unfulfilled requirements suggest this approach, and if additional PARs are submitted and approved for Device Driver and System/Resource Management interfaces, virtually all of these requirements can be ultimately realized within the POSIX framework. Since it has always been an OSSWG goal for the OSIF to be fully under the purview of a single standards body, this is very encouraging progress indeed.

It was not always the case that this many requirements had a "home" within POSIX. First, OSSWG initiated the Real Time Distributed Systems Communication (1003.21) project which has completed its requirements analysis process and has begun drafting a standard which will meet most of the unfulfilled OSSWG networking requirements. Second, a Distributed Security (1003.22) project was approved to address the unfulfilled security requirements and how the POSIX security interfaces will support distributed systems. Third, although the Fault Management and Administration Study Group had concluded that it was inappropriate for POSIX to standardize on Fault Tolerance interfaces two years ago, that OSSWG initiated group continued to gather industry support, closely followed the evolution of various non-POSIX efforts in the Fault Tolerance arena (e.g. UNIX International, OSF, X3T8), and became a fully recognized POSIX project (SRASS). These three relatively new efforts have provided the foundation for many of the most difficult delta resolutions. Finally, recommendations have been made to attach to other existing and evolving standards outside the POSIX framework where appropriate (e.g. ASN.1, XDR, Network Time Protocol), but only if and when OSSWG has exhausted all POSIX resolution alternatives.

This document defines much of the remaining work ahead for the NGCR OSSWG, especially as its members debate and ballot the various existing POSIX draft standards and contribute to new ones. It also serves as an important basis for the ultimate product of the NGCR OSSWG, a technical guide for the NGCR OSIF.

OSSWG has two general concerns about the requirements upon which this document is based: First, OSSWG had purposely avoided addressing the semantics of each requirement in a distributed computing environment because of the relative immaturity of distributed services within POSIX; that area has matured substantially, and the time has come to explicitly split each requirement (where it makes sense) into its non-distributed and its distributed context. Second, the technology of embedded realtime systems and the nature of military computing have changed significantly since these requirements were formulated. Therefore, OSSWG recommends a thorough review of the OCD requirements, addressing these two overall concerns, prior to the next Delta Document revision.

This Version 5 of the Delta Document is not the final version. This is a living document and will change as (1) POSIX evolves, (2) the Navy's operating system requirements evolve, and (3) the OSSWG is able to develop new methods of satisfying the remaining deltas. We intend to update this document yearly, at least until completion of the military handbook or technical specification.

APPENDIX A

HISTORY OF THE OSSWG-POSIX DELTAS

A.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Four versions of this Delta Document have been published (annually) since 1992. This section summarizes the progress that has occurred in resolving OSSWG-POSIX deltas over that time period.

Version 2 of the Delta Document, the first complete analysis of the OSSWG-POSIX delta, found 88 of the 156 OSSWG requirements unfulfilled by POSIX to some degree, with 63 of those considered significant unfulfilled requirements.

From 1992 to 1993, 19 additional requirements became fulfilled: 9 of these resulted from improvements in the POSIX Realtime Interfaces; 2 from clarifications and improvements in the basic POSIX System Interfaces; 2 from harmonization of work of the newly formed POSIX Realtime Distributed System Communications group, the POSIX Protocol Independent Network Interfaces group, and the NGCR SAFENET project; and 6 from OSSWG rephrasing of requirements to assume a POSIX-like paradigm of synchronous cooperating processes. One requirement, considered inappropriate as an interface requirement, was deleted.

From 1993 to 1994, 11 additional requirements became fulfilled: 3 of these resulted from advances in the POSIX Ada Bindings, 2 from new POSIX System Interfaces, and 4 from new POSIX Realtime Interfaces. However, 4 previously fulfilled requirements became unfulfilled: 2 of these resulted from a setback during balloting of the POSIX Realtime Threads draft; 1 from a scaleback in the scope of the POSIX System Administration Interfaces; and 1 from OSSWG reevaluation of a security requirement deemed to be an inappropriate interface requirement.

From 1994 to 1995, 5 additional requirements became fulfilled: all of these resulted from new POSIX Realtime Interfaces. In addition: 3 Security requirements, all unfulfilled, were deleted because they were inappropriate requirements for an interface; 2 previously fulfilled File I/O requirements were consolidated into a single, more implementation independent requirement which became unfulfilled because it represented a stronger requirement than those it replaced; and 3 Networking requirements (one unfulfilled) were consolidated into a single, more implementation independent requirement which was now considered fulfilled.

Version 5 of the Delta Document therefore reflects the current status of the OSSWG-POSIX delta, with 52 of the 149 current OSSWG requirements unfulfilled by POSIX to some degree, and 45 of those considered significant unfulfilled requirements.

A.2 RAW DATA

The following pages detail the evolution of the OSSWG-POSIX deltas since Version 2 of the Delta Document was published in 1992. The raw data, requirement by requirement, are presented in tabular format; then these data are summarized at the end of the table. Shading is used to highlight each occurrence of a delta change; but any change (positive or negative) may have resulted from either a corresponding change in POSIX (standard or draft) interfaces, a revision to the OSSWG requirement, or a re-classification of the delta.

Requirements rated "U" in the following table were incorrectly classified as fulfilled in one or more earlier Delta Document versions, but were actually significant unfulfilled requirements. The summary reflects a correction in fulfilled requirement counts propagated back into these earlier versions

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

(that is, each "U" is counted as the "a" it should have been, rather than the "-" rating given in the earlier document version).

LEGEND:

-
a
b
c
d
*
0
U
[Shaded]

- The requirement is fulfilled
- The unfulfilled requirement is essential
- The unfulfilled requirement is highly desirable
- The unfulfilled requirement may be deferred
- The unfulfilled requirement should be re-evaluated
- The requirement did not exist at this time
- The requirement has been deleted
- The requirement was incorrectly classified as fulfilled
- The delta changed from the previous version

OSSWG REQUIREMENT	Delta Document Version			
	V2	V3	V4	V5
2.1 Non-NGCR System Interfaces	-	-	-	-
3.1 Audit Data Storage	-	-	-	-
3.2 Audit Generation	-	-	-	-
3.3 Audit Record Contents	-	-	-	-
3.4 Audit Data Manipulation	-	-	-	-
3.5 Device Labels	-	-	-	-
3.6 Basic DAC	-	-	-	-
3.7 DAC Inclusion/Exclusion	-	-	-	-
3.8 DAC Propagation	d	d	[Shaded]	-
3.9 Labeling of Export Channels	-	-	-	-
3.10 Setting Communication Labels	-	-	-	-
3.11 Identification and Authentication	U	U	U	a
3.12 Labeling of Human Readable Output	-	-	-	-
3.13 Subject and Object Labeling	-	-	-	-
3.14 Label Contents	-	-	-	-
3.15 MAC Policy	-	-	-	-
3.16 MAC Manipulation	d	d	[Shaded]	-
3.17 Object Reuse (Deleted)	-	-	d	0
3.18 User Notification of Sensitivity Label	U	U	U	a
3.19 Sensitivity Label Query	U	U	U	a
3.20 System Integrity	U	U	U	a
3.21 Identification of Users Based on Roles	U	U	U	a
3.22 Least Privilege	-	-	-	-
3.23 Trusted Path (Deleted)	d	d	d	0
3.24 Trusted Recovery (Deleted)	d	d	d	0
4.1 Data Interchange Services (Data Format Conversion)	a	a	a	a
5.1 Event and Error Receipt	c	c	c	c
5.2 Event and Error Distribution	a	a	a	a
5.3 Event and Error Management	a	a	a	a
5.4 Event Logging	a	a	a	a
5.5 Block/Unblock Interrupts	a	a	[Shaded]	-
5.6 Mask/Unmask Interrupts	a	[Shaded]	c	a
6.1 Contiguous Read of a File (Deleted)	-	-	-	0
6.2 Protect An Area Within A file	a	[Shaded]	-	-
6.3 File Management Scheduling	a	a	[Shaded] c	c
6.4 File Management Suspend/Resume for Processes	-	-	-	-

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

OSSWG REQUIREMENT	Delta Document Version			
	V2	V3	V4	V5
6.5 File Management Block Requests	-	-	-	-
6.6 Round Robin File Management (Deleted)	d	0	0	0
6.7 Open a File	-	-	-	-
6.8 Point Within a File	-	-	-	-
6.9 Read a File	-	-	-	-
6.10 Close a File	-	-	-	-
6.11 Delete a File	-	-	-	-
6.12 Create a Directory	-	-	-	-
6.13 Specifying Default Directory	-	-	-	-
6.14 Delete a Directory	-	-	-	-
6.15 Shadow Files	d	-	-	-
6.16 Create a File	-	-	-	-
6.17 Query File Attributes	-	-	-	-
6.18 Modify File Attributes	-	-	-	-
6.19 Write a File	-	-	-	-
6.20 Write Contiguous File (Deleted)	-	-	-	0
6.21 File Performance Optimization	*	*	*	a
7.1 Device Driver Availability	a	a	a	a
7.2 Open Device	-	-	-	-
7.3 Close Device	-	-	-	-
7.4 Transmit Data	-	-	-	-
7.5 Receive Data	-	-	-	-
7.6 Device Event Notification	U	U	a	a
7.7 Control Device	a	a	-	-
7.8 I/O Directory Services	-	-	-	-
7.9 Device Management Suspend/Resume for Processes	-	-	-	-
7.10 Mount/Dismount Device	a	a	a	a
7.11 Initialize/Purge Device	d	d	-	-
8.1 Interface to NAVY Standard Network	d	3	a	a
8.2 Interfaces to Other Network and Communication Entities	-	-	-	-
8.3 Acknowledged Connection-Oriented Service	-	-	-	-
8.4 Unacknowledged Connection-Oriented Service	b	-	-	0
8.5 Acknowledged Datagram Service (Deleted)	a	-	-	0
8.6 Datagram Transfer Service	-	-	-	-
8.7 Request - Reply Service	a	a	a	a
8.8 Broadcast/Multicast Service	b/d	a	a	a
8.9 K-Acknowledged Multicast Service (Deleted)	d	a	a	0
8.10 Atomic Multicast Service	d	a	a	a
8.11 Quality of Service / Option Management	*	*	*	-
9.1 Create Process	b	-	-	-
9.2 Terminate Process	a	-	a	-
9.3 Start Process	d	-	-	-
9.4 Stop Process	d	-	-	-
9.5 Suspend Process	d	-	-	-
9.6 Resume Process	d	-	-	-
9.7 Delay process	d	-	-	-
9.8 Interprocess Communication	-	-	-	-
9.9 Examine Process Attributes	-	-	-	-
9.10 Modify Process Attributes	-	-	-	-
9.11 Examine Process Status	a	a	a	a
9.12 Process (Thread) Identification	a	-	-	-

OSSWG REQUIREMENT	Delta Document Version			
	V2	V3	V4	V5
9.13 Save/Restart Process	a	a	a	a
9.14 Program Management Function	-	-	-	-
10.1 Debug Support	a	a	c	c
10.2 Execution History	a	a	c	c
11.1 Fault Information Collection	a	a	a	a
11.2 Fault Information Request	a	a	a	a
11.3 Diagnostic Tests Request	a	a	a	a
11.4 Diagnostic Tests Results	a	a	a	a
11.5 Operational Status	a	a	a	a
11.6 Fault Detection Thresholds	a	a	a	a
11.7 Fault Isolation	a	a	a	a
11.8 Fault Response	a	a	a	a
11.9 Reconfiguration	a	a	a	a
11.10 Enable/Disable System Component	a	a	a	a
11.11 Performance Monitoring	a	a	a	a
11.12 Set Resource Utilization Limits	a	a	-	-
11.13 Resource Utilization Limits Violation	a	a	-	-
11.14 Checkpoint Data Structures	a	-	-	-
12.1 Virtual Memory Support	a	a	a	a
12.2 Virtual Space Locking	-	-	-	-
12.3 Dynamic Memory Allocation and Deallocation	a	a	a	-
12.4 Dynamically Protecting Memory	a	a	a	-
12.5 Shared Memory	b	b	b	b
12.6 Allocate, Deallocate, Mount, and Dismount Services	-	-	a	a
12.7 Designate Control	d	d	b	b
12.8 Release Control	d	d	b	b
12.9 Allocate Resource	a	a	a	a
12.10 Deallocate Resource	a	a	a	a
12.11 System Resource Requirements Specification	d	d	b	b
12.12 System Resource Capacity	d	d	b	b
13.1 Process Synchronization	-	-	-	-
13.2 Mutual Exclusion	a/b	-	-	-
13.3 Cumulative Execution Time of a Process	b	-	-	-
13.4 Attach a Process to an Event	a	a	-	-
13.5 Services Scheduling Information	d	d	d	c
13.6 Scheduling Delay	d	-	-	-
13.7 Periodic Scheduling	a	-	-	-
13.8 Multiple Scheduling Policies	-	-	-	-
13.9 Selection of a Scheduling Policy	-	-	-	-
13.10 Modification of Scheduling Parameters	-	-	-	-
13.11 Precise Scheduling (Jitter Management)	a	-	-	-
14.1 Image Load	a	a	a	a
14.2 System Initialization and Reinitialization	a	a	a	a
14.3 Shutdown	a	a	a	a
15.1 Read Selected Clock	-	-	-	-
15.2 Set Selected Clock	-	-	-	-
15.3 Synchronization of Selected Clocks	a	-	-	-
15.4 Select a Primary Reference Clock	a	a	a	c
15.5 Locate the Primary Reference Clock	a	a	d	c
15.6 Timer Services	-	-	-	-
15.7 Precision Clock	-	-	-	-

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

OSSWG REQUIREMENT	Delta Document Version			
	V2	V3	V4	V5
16.1 Create Task (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.2 Abort Task (Ada)	-	-	a	
16.3 Suspend Task (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.4 Resume Task (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.5 Terminate Task (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.6 Restart Task (Ada)	d	d	d	
16.7 Task Entry Calls (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.8 Task Call Accepting>Selecting	-	-	-	-
16.9 Access Task Characteristics (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.10 Monitor Task's Execution Status (Ada)	a	a	a	a
16.11 Access to a Precise Real-Time Clock (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.12 Access to a TOD Clock (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.13 Dynamic Task Priorities (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.14 Scheduling Policy Selection (Ada)	a	a	-	-
16.15 Memory Allocation and Deallocation (Ada)	a	a	a	
16.16 Interrupt Binding (Ada)	a	a	-	-
16.17 Enable/Disable Interrupts (Ada)	d	d	-	-
16.18 Mask/Unmask Interrupts (Ada)	d	d	c	a
16.19 Raise Exception (Ada)	-	-	-	-
16.20 I/O Support (Ada)	-	-	-	-

SUMMARY

Requirements	156	155	155	149
Fulfilled	68	87	94	97
Unfulfilled	88	68	61	52
Significant Unfulfilled	63	53	49	45
Other Unfulfilled	25	15	12	7

APPENDIX B

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES

The table on the following pages lists, for each OSSWG requirement (except the general requirements of service class 1) references to all POSIX interfaces which OSSWG believes fully or partially fulfill the requirement. The POSIX document number, paragraph number(s), and a brief description of the pertinent interfaces and/or capabilities is provided.

In addition, each unfulfilled requirement is coded with a rating indicating its significance to the overall NGCR OS interface standardization effort: A rating of "a" indicates that standardization of interfaces which meet the requirement is essential; a rating of "b" indicates that standardization of interfaces which meet the requirement is highly desirable; a rating of "c" indicates that fulfilling the requirement can be deferred to a later date; a rating of "d" indicates that the OSSWG should re-evaluate the need for standardized interfaces fulfilling the requirement.

Finally, for each unfulfilled requirement, the OSSWG recommendations are summarized.

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
2.1 Non-NGCR System Interface	1003.1 1003.1b	3.1-3.4 6.1-6.5 3.3 6.6 6.7 12.3	Process model and interprocess signals Device input/output and interprocess pipes Realtime interprocess signals Synchronized files on shared file systems Asynchronous input/output Shared memory	-	No Delta
	1003.5 P1003.19 P1003.5b	15.1-15.3 3.1-3.3 6.1-6.2 3.1 6.6 6.7 12.3 15.1-15.2	Message passing Process model and interprocess signals Device input/output and interprocess pipes Detailed Network Interface Realtime interprocess signals Synchronized files on shared file systems Asynchronous input/output Shared memory Message passing	-	No Delta
3.1 Audit Data Storage	P1003.1e	24.1.2	Audit records	-	No Delta
3.2 Audit Generation	P1003.1e	24.1.2 24.1.3	Audit records Audit interfaces	-	No Delta
3.3 Audit Record Contents	P1003.1e	24.2.2	Audit ... record contents	-	No Delta
3.4 Audit Data Manipulation	P1003.1e	24.4ff.	Audit functions	-	No Delta
3.5 Device Labels	P1003.1e	27.3.1	Initial info label	-	No Delta
3.6 Basic DAC	P1003.1e	23.1.5	ACL access check algorithm	-	No Delta
3.7 DAC Inclusion/Exclusion	P1003.1e	23.4.1 23.4.8 23.4.11 23.4.12	Add a permission Create a new ACL entry Delete an ACL entry Delete permissions	-	No Delta
3.8 DAC Propagation	1003.1 P1003.1e	5.6.4 23.1.2	Change file modes Rel. w/ file perm bits	-	No Delta
3.9 Labeling of Export Channels	P1003.1e	27.1.2ff. 27.3.4	Info label policy Floating info labels	-	No Delta
3.10 Setting Communication Labels	P1003.1e	27.3.12	Set process info label	-	No Delta
3.11 Identification and Authentication	P1003.1e 1003.1 1003.5	B.1.3.7.1 4.2 9.2 4.1-3.4.1.4 9.1-9.2	Deferred w/ expl User identification User and group database User identification User and group data base	a	Security or Distributed Security WG
3.12 Labeling of Human Readable Output	P1003.1e	27.3.14	Convert int label to text	-	No Delta
3.13 Subject and Object Labeling	P1003.1e	26.3.10-12	Set the label ...	-	No Delta
3.14 Label Contents	P1003.1e	27.3.10-12	Set info label ...	-	No Delta
3.15 MAC Policy	P1003.1e	26.1.2	MAC policy	-	No Delta
3.16 MAC Manipulation	P1003.1e P1003.2c	26.3.10-12 27.3.10-12 11.3	Set the label ... Set info label ... setmac - set the MAC ...	-	No Delta
3.17 Object Reuse			THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED		

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
3.18 User Notification of Sensitivity Label	P1003.1e	B.1.3.7.3	Deferred with explanation	a	Security or Distributed Security WG
3.19 Sensitivity Label Query	P1003.1e	B.1.3.7.3	Deferred with explanation	a	Security or Distributed Security WG
3.20 System Integrity	P1003.1e	B.1.3.7.6.3	Deferred with explanation	a	Security or Distributed Security WG
3.21 Identification of Users Based on Roles	P1003.1e	B.1.3.7.1	Deferred with explanation	a	Security or Distributed Security WG
3.22 Least Privilege	P1003.1e	25.1ff. 25.4.15	General overview: capabilities SetProcsCapabilityState	-	Security or Distributed Security WG
3.23 Trusted Path			THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED		
3.24 Trusted Recovery			THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED	a	P1003.21 amend 1224 and/or support XDR standardization
4.1 Data Interchange Service (Data Format Conversion	1224	Unknown	API for ASN.1	c	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
5.1 Event and Error Receipt	1003.1	2.4 3.3 7.1.1.9 3.3 3.3 22.3 2.4.4 3.3 7.1.1.9 3.1	Errors reported via error return code or errno Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals Signals as extended to threads environment Interrupt capture, locking, notification Error codes and exceptions Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals		
5.2 Event and Error Distribution	1003.1	2.4 3.3 7.1.1.9 3.3 3.3 22.3 2.4.4 3.3 7.1.1.9 3.1	Errors reported via error return code or errno Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals Signals as extended to threads environment Interrupt capture, locking, notification Error codes and exceptions Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals	a	Support P1003.1d Interrupt Control (for events) UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
5.3 Event and Error Management	1003.1	2.4 3.3 3.3 22.3 2.4.4 3.3 7.1.1.9 3.1	Errors reported via error return code or errno Signals generated as the result of errors Realtime queued signals Signals as extended to threads environment Interrupt capture, locking, notification Error codes and exceptions Signals generated as the result of errors Realtime queued signals	a	Support P1003.1d Interrupt Control (for events) UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
5.4 Event Logging	None			a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
5.5 Block/Unblock Interrupts	P1003.1d	22.3	Interrupt control functions	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
5.6 Mask/Umask Interrupts	1003.1 1003.5	3.3.5 3.3.7	Examine and change blocked signals Examine and change blocked signals	a	Develop in conjunction with Device Driver Interfaces in P1387
6.1 Contiguous Read of a File			THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED		
6.2 Protect an Area Within a File	1003.1 1003.1b 1003.5 P1003.5b	5.3.1 6.5.2 12.2.3 5.1.1 6.2.1 12.2.3	Open a file - access specification and denial File control - advisory record locking segment Change protection of memory mapped file File permissions Advisory record locking Change protection of memory mapped file segment	-	No Delta
6.3 File Management Scheduling	None			c	Inappropriate for standardization
6.4 File Management Suspend/Resume for Processes	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b 1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b 1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b	5.3.1 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.7 21.1-21.3 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.7 6.4.1-6.4.2 6.5.3 12.2.1 23.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3 12.2	Open a file with blocking/non-blocking behavior Read from a file (blocking or non-blocking) Write to a file (blocking or non-blocking) Asynchronous input/output Device control Open a file with blocking/non-blocking behavior Read or write a file (blocking or non-blocking) Asynchronous input/output Read from and write to a file Reposition read/write file offset Memory mapping of files File advisory information Read from and write to a file Reposition read/write file offset Memory mapping of files	-	No Delta
6.5 File Management Block Requests				-	No Delta
6.6 Round Robin File Management			THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED		
6.7 Open a File	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b 1003.1 1003.1b 1003.5 P1003.5b 1003.1 1003.1b	5.3.1-5.3.2 5.3.1 23.1.1 6.1.1 6.5 6.5.3 12.2.1 6.1.3 12.2 6.4.1 6.7.2 6.7.4 12.2.1 6.1.2 6.7.2 6.7.4 12.2	Open and/or create a file Open a file File advisory information Open and/or create a file Open a file Reposition read/write file offset Memory mapping of files Reposition read/write file offset Memory mapping of files Reposition read/write file offset Memory mapping of files Read from a file Asynchronous read List directed I/O Memory mapping of files Read and generic read from a file Asynchronous read List directed I/O Memory mapping of files	-	No Delta
6.8 Point Within a File				-	No Delta
6.9 Read a File	1003.5 P1003.5b			-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
6.10 Close a File	1003.1 1003.1b 1003.5	6.3.1 6.3.1 6.1.1	Close a file Close a file Close a file	-	No Delta
6.11 Delete a File	1003.1 1003.2 1003.5	5.5.1 4.52 (Vol 1) 5.2.1	Remove a file's directory entry Remove files Remove a file's directory entry	-	No Delta
6.12 Create a Directory	1003.1 1003.2 1003.5	5.4.1 4.4.1 (Vol 1) 5.2.1	Make a directory Make a directory Make a directory	-	No Delta
6.13 Specify Default Directory	1003.1 1003.2 1003.5	5.2.1 4.5 (Vol 1) 4.3.3	Change current working directory Change current working directory Change current working directory	-	No Delta
6.14 Delete a Directory	1003.1 1003.2 1003.5	5.5.2 4.54 (Vol 1) 5.2.1	Remove a directory or directory tree Remove a directory or directory tree	-	No Delta
6.15 Shadow Files	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c 1003.5 P1003.5b	5.3.1-5.3.2 6.4.2 11.1-11.3 12.2.1 11.2-11.3 6.1.1 6.1.2 11.1-11.3 12.2	Open and/or create a file Write a file Semaphores for resource locking Memory mapping of files Semaphores and mutexes for resource locking Open and/or create a file Write or generic write to a file Semaphores for resource locking Memory mapping of files	-	No Delta
6.16 Create a File	1003.1 1003.5	5.3.1-5.3.2 6.1.1	Open and/or create a file Open and/or create a file	-	No Delta
6.17 Query File Attributes	1003.1 1003.5	5.6.1-5.6.2 5.6.3 5.3 5.2.6 6.1.3	Get file characteristics/status Check file accessibility Obtain file's read/write position and/or length Get file characteristics/status Check file accessibility Obtain file's read/write position and/or length	-	No Delta
6.18 Modify File Attributes	1003.1 1003.2 1003.1b P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b	5.6.4-5.6.6 6.5.3 4.7 (Vol 1) 5.6.7 23.1.1 23.1.3 5.2.5 6.1.3 5.6.7	Change file modes, owner, group, times Change file read/write position Change file modes Set the length of a file Set file advisory information Modify file allocation Change file modes, owner, group, times Change file read/write position Set the length of a file	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
6.19 Write a File	1003.1 1003.1b	6.4.2 6.7.3 6.7.4 12.2.1 6.1.2 6.7.3 6.7.4 12.2	Write to a file Asynchronous write List directed I/O Memory mapping of files Write or generic write to a file Asynchronous write List directed I/O Memory mapping of files	-	No Delta
6.20 Write Contiguous File	P1003.1d	6.4.1	THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED	a	P1003.1d ballot resolution, P1003.1j, or new realtime PAR
6.21 File Performance Optimization	1003.1 1003.1b	6.4.2 6.7.4 6.7.2 6.7.3 12.2.1 23.1.1 23.1.3 6.1.2 6.7.2 6.7.3 6.7.4 12.2	Read from a file Write a file List directed I/O Asynchronous read Asynchronous write List directed I/O Memory mapping of files File advisory information File space control Read/write and generic read/write a file Asynchronous read Asynchronous write List directed I/O Memory mapping of files	a	P1003.1d ballot resolution, P1003.1j, or new realtime PAR
7.1 Device Driver Availability	1003.1b P1003.1d P1387.1 P1003.5b	12.2.1 22.3 6 12.2	Memory mapping of special devices Interrupt control Device class	a	P1387 - New Device Driver PAR
7.2 Open Device	1003.1 1003.5	5.3 5.2.1 6.1.1 6.3.1 6.1.1	Memory mapping of special devices General file creation Creating and removing files Open or create a file Close a file Close a file	-	No Delta
7.3 Close Device	1003.1 1003.5	6.3.1 6.1.1	Write data to a device Asynchronous write to device List directed I/O to device Memory mapping of special files (devices)	-	No Delta
7.4 Transmit Data	1003.1 1003.1b 1003.5 P1003.5b	6.4.2 6.7.3 6.7.4 12.2.1 6.1.2 6.7.3 6.7.4 12.2	Write data to a device Asynchronous write to device List directed I/O to device Memory mapping of special files (devices) Write and generic write Asynchronous write to device List directed I/O to device Memory mapping of special files (devices)	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
7.5 Receive Data	1003.1 1003.1b 1003.5 P1003.5b	6.4.1 6.7.2 6.7.4 12.2.1 6.1.2 6.7.2 6.7.4 12.2	Read from a device Asynchronous read from a device List directed I/O from a device Memory mapping of special files (devices) Read and generic read Asynchronous read from a device List directed I/O from a device Memory mapping of special files (devices)	-	No Delta
7.6 Device Event Notification	See 5.*			a	Refer to 5.* , Event and Error Interfaces
7.7 Control Device	1003.1 P1003.1d 1003.5	6.5 7.1-7.2 21.2 6.1.5 7.1-7.2	Control operations on files General terminal interface Device control Control operations on files General terminal interface	-	No Delta
7.8 I/O Directory Services	1003.1 1003.1a 1003.5	5 5.8 5.2-5.3	Files and Directories File Tree Streams POSIX Files & POSIX File Status	-	No Delta
7.9 Device Management Suspend/Resume for Processes	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b P1387.1	5.3.1 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.7 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.7 6 7.1-7.2 21.2 7.1-7.2 21.1-21.3 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.7 6 7.1-7.2 21.2 7.1-7.2	Open device with blocking/non-blocking behavior Read from a device (blocking or non-blocking) Write to a device (blocking or non-blocking) Asynchronous input/output to/from device Device control Open device with blocking/non-blocking behavior Read, write, generic read/write Asynchronous input/output to/from device Device class	-	No Delta
7.10 Mount/Dismount Device	1003.1 P1003.1d 1003.5	4		a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
7.11 Initialize/Purge Device	1003.1 P1003.1d 1003.5	4		-	No Delta
8.1 Interface to NAVY Standard Network	P1003.1g 1224 1224.1 1224.2 1238.1 1351	4 6 4 4 5	Detailed Network Interface (for ASN.1 package) Message Handling Interface Directory Service Interface FTAM Service Interface ACSE Service Interface	a	New PAR for Network Mgmt.
8.2 Interfaces to Other Network and Communication Entities	P1003.1g 1003.1 1003.5	4 6.1-6.5 6.1-6.2	Detailed network interface Input and output primitives Input and output primitives	-	No Delta

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
8.3 Acknowledged Connection-Oriented Service	P1003.1g	4.6.9 4.6.10 4.6.12 4.6.17 4.6 B.3.1.2.2 B.3.1.3.2 5.3.2 5.3.9 5.3.11 5.3.15 5.3	Receive Send Connect Disconnect Supporting functions Quality of service options Close instance/disconnect Open instance/connect Receive Send Supporting functions THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED	-	No Delta
8.4 Unacknowledged Connection-Oriented Service			THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED	-	No Delta
8.5 Acknowledged Datagram Service	1003.1b	15.2	Message passing		
8.6 Datagram Transfer Service	P1003.1d P1003.1g	15.2 4.6.4 4.6.5 4.6.6 4.6.7 4.6 15.2	Message passing with timeouts Error Init Receive Send Supporting functions Message passing		
8.7 Request - Reply Service	None			a	1003.21 group: PII group as backup
8.8 Broadcast/Multicast Service	None			a	1003.21 group: PII group as backup
8.9 K-Acknowledged Multicast Service			THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN DELETED		
8.10 Atomic Multicast Service	None			a	1003.21 group: PII group as backup

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
8.11 Quality of Service / Option Management	P1003.1g	4.6.22 4.6.23 B.3.2.2.2 B.3.2.2.3 B.3.2.3.2 B.3.2.3.3 B.3.3.2.2 B.3.3.2.3 B.3.3.2.4 B.3.4.2.2 B.3.4.4.4 B.3.5.1.4 B.3.5.2.1.5 B.3.5.3.1.5	Get communications options Get default communications options Quality of service options - CO XTI over ISO Management options - CO XTI over ISO Quality of service options - CL XTI over ISO Management options - CL XTI over ISO Options - XTI over TCP Options - XTI over UDP Options - XTI over IP Options - CL Sockets over ISO Options - CO Sockets over ISO Options - Sockets over IP Options - Sockets over TCP Options - Sockets over UDP	-	No Delta
9.1 Create Process	1003.1	3.1.1-3.1.2 3.3.7 3.4.2 6.4.1 8.1.5 B.3.1 (Vol 1) 3.3.8 11.2.6 13.3.1 13.3.3 15.2.5 3.3.10 11.4.4 13.5.1-13.5.2 16.1.1-16.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.1-3.1.2 3.2.1-3.2.2 6.1.2 3.1.3 11.2.6 11.4.4 13.3.1 13.3.3 13.5.1-13.5.2 16.1.1-16.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.1-3.1.2 3.2.1-3.2.2 6.1.2 3.1.3 11.2.6 11.4.4 13.3.1 13.3.3 13.5.1-13.5.2 15.2.5	Create a process and execute a file Wait for a signal (wait for start) Wait for a message from a pipe (wait for start) Extensions to the system function "system()" System function "system()" Wait for a signal (wait for start) Wait for a locked semaphore (wait for start) Set process scheduling parameters Set process scheduling policy and parameters Receive a message (wait for start) Wait for a signal (wait for start) Wait on a condition (wait for start) Setting thread scheduling attributes Thread creation with attributes Spawn a process Create a process and execute a file Create a process and execute a file Read a message from a pipe (wait for start) Wait for a signal (wait for start) Wait for a locked semaphore (wait for start) Wait on a condition (wait for start) Set process scheduling parameters Set process scheduling policy and parameters Setting thread scheduling attributes Receive a message (wait for start)	-	No Delta
	1003.1a 1003.2 1003.1b				
	P1003.1c				
	P1003.1d 1003.5				
	P1003.5b				

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
9.2 Terminate Process			Terminate a process (self) Send a termination signal to a process Send a termination signal to a process Terminate a thread (self) Cancel execution of a thread Thread Abortion Terminate a process (self) Send a termination signal to a process	-	No Delta
9.3 Start Process			Send a signal to a process (waiting to start) Write a message to a pipe (waited for) Extensions to the system function "system()" System function "system()" Send a signal to a process (waiting to start) Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Send a message (waited for) Send a signal to a thread (waiting to start) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for) Send a signal to a process (waiting to start) Write a message to a pipe (waited for) Send a signal to a process (waiting to start) Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for) Send a message (waited for)	-	No Delta
9.4 Stop Process (See also 9.8 IPC)			Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait for a signal (to resume) Read a message from a pipe (to resume) Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait for a locked semaphore (until resumed) Receive a message (to resume) Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait on a condition (to resume) Read a message from a pipe (to resume) Local jump to restart point Define non-local restart point Non-local jump to restart point Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait for a locked semaphore (until resumed) Wait on a condition (to resume) Receive a message (to resume)	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
9.5 Suspend Process (See also 9.8 IPC)	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c 1003.5 Ada LRM P1003.5b	3.3.7 3.4.2 3.4.3 6.4.1 3.3.8 11.2.6 14.2.5 15.2.5 3.3.10 11.4.4 6.1.2 9.6 3.1.3 11.2.6 11.4.8 14.2.5 15.2.5	Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait until time elapses Read a message from pipe (to resume) Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait for a locked semaphore (until resumed) Receive a message (to resume) Wait until time elapses Wait for a signal (to resume) Read a message (to resume) Wait on a condition (to resume) Read a message from a pipe (to resume) Wait until time elapses (delay) Wait for a signal (to resume) Wait for a locked semaphore (until resumed) Wait on a condition (to resume) Wait until time elapses Receive a message (to resume)	-	No Delta
9.6 Resume Process	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c 1003.5 P1003.5b	3.3.2 3.4.1 6.4.2 3.3.9 11.2.7 14.2.4 15.2.4 3.3.11 11.4.3 3.3.6 6.1.2 3.1.4 11.2.7 11.4.7 14.2.4 15.2.4	Send a signal to a process (waiting to resume) Schedule a signal to this process in the future Write a message to pipe (awaiting resume) Send a signal to a process (waiting to resume) Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Send a signal to a process in the future Send a message (waited for) Send a signal to a thread (waiting to resume) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for) Send a signal to a process (waiting to resume) Write a message to a pipe (awaiting resume) Send a signal to a process (waiting to resume) Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for) Send a signal to a process in the future Send a message (waited for)	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
9.7 Delay Process (See also 9.8 IPC)	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c 1003.5 Ada LRM P1003.5b	3.3.7 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 6.4.1 4.57 (Vol 1) 3.3.8 14.2.4 14.2.5 3.3.10 6.1.2 9.6 3.1.3 14.2.4 14.2.5	Wait for a signal (to end delay) Schedule a signal to this process in the future Wait for a signal (to end delay) Wait until time elapses Read a message from pipe (to end delay) Sleep utility "sleep" Wait for a signal (to end delay) Send a signal to a process in the future Wait until time elapses Wait for a signal (to end delay) Read a message from a pipe (to resume) Wait until time elapses (delay) Wait for a signal (to end delay) Send a signal to a process in the future Wait until time elapses	-	No Delta
9.8 Interprocess Communication	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.1g P1003.5b	3.3 3.4.2 Signals Pipes 6.1.1 6.4.1-6.4.2 3.3 6.6 6.7 11.2 12.3 15.2 3.3 6.4.1-6.4.2 6.7 11.2-11.4 15.2 11.2.6 11.3.3 15.2 3.3 6.1.1 6.1.2 4.6 3.1 6.6 6.7 11.2-11.4 12.3 15.2	Signals Signals Pipes Read and write pipes, files, sockets, etc. Signals Synchronized I/O (file IPC) Asynchronous I/O (file, pipe, socket IPC) Semaphores Shared memory Message passing Signals Read and write pipes, files, sockets, etc. Asynchronous I/O (file, pipe, socket IPC) Semaphores, mutexes, and condition variables Message passing Semaphores with timeouts Mutexes with timeouts message passing with timeouts Signals Pipes Read and write pipes, files, sockets, etc. FSM event operations Signals Synchronized I/O (file IPC) Asynchronous I/O (file, pipe, socket IPC) Semaphores, mutexes, and condition variables Shared memory Message passing	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
9.9 Examine Process Attributes (See also 9.8 IPC)	1003.1	4.1.1 4.2.1 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.3.1 4.5.2 5.23 (Vol 1) 1003.2 1003.1b	Get my process and parent process IDs Get my real and effective user and group IDs Get my supplementary group IDs Get my user name Get my process group ID Get my process times Get my controlling terminal ID Process status utility "ps" Get scheduling parameters Get scheduling policy Get thread scheduling parameters Get my thread ID Get scheduling parameter limits Access process CPU clock attributes Access thread CPU clock Get my process and parent process IDs Get my process group ID Get my real and effective user and group IDs Get my process times Get my controlling terminal ID Get thread scheduling parameters Get scheduling parameters Get scheduling policy Get scheduling parameter limits	-	No Delta
	P1003.1c	13.3.4			
	P1003.1d	13.5.2 20.1.3 20.1.5 20.1.6			
	1003.5	16.1.5 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.3-4.1.4 4.2.1 7.2.12 13.2 13.3.2 13.3.4 13.3.6			
	P1003.5b	13.3.2 13.3.4			
9.10 Modify Process Attributes (See also 9.8 IPC)	1003.1	4.2.2 4.3.2 4.3.3 1003.1b	Set my effective user and group IDs Set my session ID Set my process group ID Set scheduling parameters Set scheduling parameters and policy Set thread scheduling parameters Set thread cancelability state and type Set thread scheduling parameters Set my process group ID Set my session ID Set my real and effective user and group IDs Set thread scheduling parameters Set scheduling parameters	-	No Delta
	P1003.1c	13.3.1 13.3.3			
	P1003.1d	13.5.2			
	1003.5	4.1.2 4.1.3-4.1.4 4.1.2 13.2 13.3.1 13.3.3			
	P1003.5b	13.3.2 13.3.3			
9.11 Examine Process Status	1003.1 1003.2 P1003.1c 1003.5	3.2.1 5.23 (Vol 1) 16.1.3 3.1.5	Return process termination status Process status utility "ps" Return thread termination status Return process termination status	a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR 1003.2 - add thread status command

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
11.2 Fault Information Request	1003.1 P1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d 1003.5	2.4 3.3 3.3 3.3 22.3 2.4.4 3.3 7.1.1.9 3.1	Errors reported via error return code or errno Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals Signals as extended to threads environment Interrupt capture, locking, notification Error codes and exceptions Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals Device Control	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.3 Diagnostic Tests Request	P1003.1d	21.1-21.3		a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.4 Diagnostic Tests Results	P1003.1d	21.1-21.3	Device control	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.5 Operational Status	1003.1 P1003.1c P1003.1d 1003.5	2.4 3.2.1 6.4 16.1.3 21.1-21.3 2.4.4 3.1.5 6.1	Errors reported via error return code or errno Process termination status Read file Thread termination status Device control Error codes and exceptions Process termination status Read file	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.6 Fault Detection Thresholds	1003.1 P1003.1c 1003.5	3.3.1.2- 3.3.1.3 3.3.1.2- 3.3.1.3 3.3.2.1	Process signal masking & actions Thread signal masking & actions	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.7 Fault Isolation	1003.1d 1003.5	21.1-21.3 2.4.4	Process signal masking and actions Errors reported via error return code or errno Device control Error codes and exceptions	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.8 Fault Response	1003.1 P1003.1c P1003.1d 1003.5	3.3.1.2- 3.3.1.3 3.3.1.2- 3.3.1.3 21.1-21.3 3.3.2.1	Process signal masking & actions Thread signal masking & actions Device control Process signal masking and actions	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
11.9 Reconfiguration	1003.1	3.1 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.3.2 6.4 16.1.1-16.1.2 16.1.3 16.1.4 18.2 3.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.5 3.2.1-3.2.2 3.3.6 6.1	Create a process and execute a program Wait for process termination Terminate own process Send a termination signal to a process Write file Create a thread executing a function Wait for thread termination Terminate own thread Thread cancellation Spawn a process executing a program Create a process and execute a program Terminate own process Wait for process termination Create a process and execute a program Send a termination signal to a process Write a file	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.10 Enable/Disable System Component	1003.1	3.1 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.3.2 16.1.1-16.1.2 16.1.3 16.1.4 18.2 3.1 21.1-21.3 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.5 3.2.1-3.2.2 3.3.6 6	Create a process and execute a program Wait for process termination Terminate own process Send a termination signal to a process Create a thread executing a function Wait for thread termination Terminate own thread Thread cancellation Spawn a process executing a program Device control Create a process and execute a program Terminate own process Wait for process termination Create a process and execute a program Send a termination signal to a process Device class	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.11 Performance Monitoring	1003.1	4.5.2 5.6.2 20.1 4.2.1 5.3	Get process times Get file times Process and thread CPU time clocks Get process times Get file times	a	UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.12 Set Resource Utilization Limits	1003.1 P1003.1a 1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d 1003.5 13.2.4 20.1 2.4.1.2	2.8 4.10 2.8 2.8 2.8 13.2.4 20.1 2.4.1.2	Numerical limits (variable or invariant) Resource limits Numerical limits (variable or invariant) Numerical limits (variable or invariant) Numerical limits (variable or invariant) Sporadic server scheduling policy Process and thread CPU time clocks System limits	-	Support P1003.1a balloting. UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8 Support P1003.1d balloting

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
11.13 Resource Utilization Limits Violation	1003.1 P1003.1a P1003.1c 1003.5	6.4.2.4 4.10 16.1.2.4 6.1.2.2	File maximum size violation Resource limits Maximum thread violation File maximum size violation	-	Support P1003.1a ballooning, UNIX International, OSF, POSIX SRASS group, and X3T8
11.14 Checkpoint Data Structures	P1003.1a 1003.1b P1003.5b	3.5 12.2 12.2	Process checkpoint and restart Memory mapping of file or storage device Memory mapping of file or storage device	-	No Delta
12.1 Virtual Memory Support	P1003.1d	23.1.2	Memory advisory information	a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.2 Virtual Space Locking	1003.1b	12.1	Memory locking and unlocking functions	-	No Delta
12.3 Dynamic Memory Allocation and Deallocation	P1003.1j	12.2 & 12.4	Mapping a typed memory object (allocator)	-	No Delta
12.4 Dynamically Protecting Memory	1003.1b P1003.1j P1003.5b	12.2.3 12.3.1 12.2 & 12.4 12.2.3	Protecting mapped memory Open shared memory object (access modes) Mapping a typed memory object Protecting mapped memory	-	No Delta
12.5 Shared Memory	1003.1b P1003.5b	12.2-12.3 12.2-12.3	Map process addresses to a shared memory object Map process addresses to a shared memory object	b	P1387 (code sharing) - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.6 Allocate, Deallocate, Mount and Dismount Services	1003.1 1003.5 P1387.1	6.5 6.1.5 6	Control operations on files (file descriptors) Control operations on files (file descriptors) Device class	a	P1387 (mount/dismount) - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.7 Designate Control	None			b	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.8 Release Control	None			b	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.9 Allocate Resource	None			a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.10 Deallocate Resource	None			a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.11 System Resource Requirements Specification	None			b	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
12.12 System Resource Capacity	P1003.1j	12.4.4	Query typed memory information	b	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
13.1 Process Synchronization	1003.1	3.3 3.4.2 6.1.1 6.4.1-6.4.2 3.3 6.7 11.2 12.3 15.2 3.3 6.4.1-6.4.2 6.7 11.2-11.4 15.2 11.2.6 11.3.3 15.2 11.5-11.7 3.3 6.1.1 6.1.2 3.1 6.7 11.2-11.4 12.3 15.2	Signals Signals Pipes Read and write pipes, sockets, etc. Signals Asynchronous I/O (Pipes, sockets, etc.) Semaphores Shared memory Message passing Signals Read and write pipes, sockets, etc. Asynchronous I/O (pipes, sockets, etc.) Semaphores, mutexes, and condition variables Message passing Semaphores with timeouts Mutexes with timeouts Message passing with timeouts Barriers, reader/writer locks, spin locks Signals Pipes Read and write pipes, sockets, etc. Signals Asynchronous I/O (Pipes, sockets, etc.) Semaphores, mutexes, and condition variables Shared memory Message passing	-	No Delta
P1003.1c	1003.1b				
P1003.1d					
P1003.1j 1003.5					
P1003.5b					
13.2 Mutual Exclusion	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d P1003.1i 1003.5 P1003.5b	5.3.1-5.3.2 11.2 12.3 11.2-11.4 11.2.6 11.3.3 22.3.1 11.5-11.7 5.2.1 11.2-11.4 12.3	Create a lock file (test and set functionality) Semaphores Shared memory for spin locks Semaphores, mutexes, and condition variables Semaphores with timeouts Mutexes with timeouts Interrupt locking Barriers, reader/writer locks, spin locks Create a lock directory (test and set functionality) Semaphores, mutexes, and condition variables Shared memory for spin locks	-	No Delta
13.3 Cumulative Execution Time of a Process	1003.1 P1003.1d 1003.5	4.5.2 20.1 4.2.1	Get process times Process and thread CPU time monitoring Get process times	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
13.4 Attach a Process to an Event	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b	3.3 3.3 3.3 22.3 3.3 7.1.1.9 3.1	Signals generated as the result of events Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals for user caused events Signals as extended to thread environment Interrupt capture, locking, notification Signals generated as the result of events Signals generated as result of terminal I/O Realtime queued signals for user caused events	-	No Delta
13.5 Services, Scheduling Information	1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.5b	13.2-13.3 13.3 13.4-13.5 13.2-13.3	Scheduling policies, parameters, functions Thread scheduling and contention scope Scheduling policies, parameters, functions	c	1003.21 group
13.6 Scheduling Delay (See also 9.8 (IPC))	1003.1 1003.2 1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.5 P1003.5b Ada LRM	3.3.7 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.1 4.57 (Vol 1) 3.3.8 14.2.4 14.2.5 3.3.10 6.1.2 3.1.3 14.2.4 14.2.5 9.6	Schedule a signal to this process in the future Wait for a signal (to end delay) Wait until time elapses Read a message from pipe (to end delay) Sleep utility "sleep" Wait for a signal (to end delay) Send a signal to a process in the future Wait until time elapses Wait for a signal (to end delay) Read a message from a pipe (to resume) Wait for a signal (to end delay) Send a signal to a process in the future Wait until time elapses (delay)	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
13.11 Precise Scheduling (Jitter Management)	1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d P1003.1j P1003.5b	13.3.1 13.3.3 14.2.4 14.2.5 13.5.2 13.5.2 22.3 14.2.1 13.2 13.3.1 13.3.3 14.2.4 14.2.5	Set scheduling parameters Set scheduling parameters and policy High resolution timers High resolution wait until time elapses Set thread scheduling parameters Set thread scheduling parameters Capture and await external H/W timer interrupts Effects of setting clocks on timer expiration Set thread scheduling parameters Set scheduling parameters and policy Set scheduling parameters and policy High resolution timers High resolution wait until time elapses	-	No Delta
14.1 Image Load	1003.1 P1003.1d 1003.5 P1387.1	3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.2 3.2.2 9 10	Execute a file Spawn a process executing a file Create a process executing a file Execute a file Machine class System class	a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
14.2 System Initialization and Reinitialization	1003.1 1003.1b 1003.5 P1387.1 P1003.1f P1003.5b	3 4 3 4 9 10 11 12 13 Unknown 14.2	Process primitives Process environment Clocks and timers Process primitives Machine class System class Authentication class Network class Backup class Unknown Clocks and timers	a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR
14.3 Shutdown	1003.1 P1003.1c 1003.5	3.2.2 3.3.2 16.1.4 18.2.1 3.1.3 3.3.6	Terminate a process (self) Send a termination signal to a process Terminate a thread (self) Cancel execution of a thread Terminate a process (self) Send a termination signal to a process	a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
15.1 Read Selected Clock	1003.1 P1003.1d	4.5.1 4.5.2 14.2.1 20.1.3 20.1.6 21.2	Get system time Get process CPU times Get time and resolution for a specific clock Get process CPU time Get thread CPU time Get time from clock on external device (devctl) Display date and time Get process CPU times Get system time Machine class or system class Get time and resolution for a specific clock	-	No Delta
15.2 Set Selected Clock	1003.1b P1003.1d	14.2.1 20.1.3 20.1.6 21.2	Set a specified clock Set a process CPU time clock (questionable) Set a thread CPU time clock (questionable) Set a clock on external device (devctl) Machine class or system class Set a specified clock	-	No Delta
15.3 Synchronization of Selected Clocks	1003.1b P1003.1d P1003.5b	14.2.1 21.2 14.2.1	Get time, resolution, and set a specific clock Get time and set clock on external device Get time, resolution, and set a specific clock	-	No Delta
15.4 Select a Primary Reference Clock	1003.1b P1003.1d P1003.5b	14.2.1 14.2.1	Support for multiple clocks Support for process/thread CPU time clocks Support for multiple clocks	c	P1003.21 group - add new interfaces and/or clocks in P1003.1j
15.5 Locate the Primary Reference Clock	1003.1b P1003.1d P1003.5b	14.2.1 14.2.1	Support for multiple clocks Support for process/thread CPU time clocks Support for multiple clocks	c	P1003.21 group - add new interfaces and/or clocks in P1003.1j
15.6 Timer Services	1003.1 P1003.1c P1003.1d P1003.5b Ada LRM	3.3.4 3.3.7 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.3.8 14.2.2-14.2.3 14.2.4 3.3.7 3.3.8 3.3.10 3.4.2 22.3 3.1.3 14.2.2-14.2.3 14.2.4 13.5.1 14.1.1 14.2.1	Establish action for alarm or timer signal Wait for alarm or timer signal Schedule an alarm signal to this process Wait for alarm or timer signal Wait for alarm or timer signal Create and delete timers Set and start a periodic or one-shot timer Wait for alarm or timer signal Wait for alarm or timer signal Wait for alarm or timer signal Wait for alarm or timer signal Capture and await external H/W timer interrupts Wait for alarm or timer signal Create and delete timers Set and start a periodic or one-shot timer Establish action for timer signal Timespec structure Get clock resolution	-	No Delta
15.7 Precision Clock	1003.1b	14.2.1		-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
16.1 Create task (Ada)	P1003.1c P1003.5b	13.5.1-13.5.2 16.1.1-16.1.2	Setting thread scheduling attributes Thread creation with attributes	-	No Delta
16.2 Abort task (Ada)	P1003.1c	16.1.3	Setting thread scheduling attributes	-	No Delta
16.3 Suspend Task (Ada)	P1003.1j P1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.5b	18.2.1-18.2.3 16.1.8	Wait for thread termination Thread cancellation	-	No Delta
16.4 Resume Task (Ada)	1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.5b	11.2.6 11.4.4 11.2.6 11.4.8	Wait for a locked semaphore (until resumed) Wait on a condition (to resume) Wait for a locked semaphore (until resumed) Wait on a condition (to resume)	-	No Delta
16.5 Terminate Task (Ada)	P1003.1c	16.1.3 16.1.4 18.2.1-18.2.3	Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for) Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for)	-	No Delta
16.6 Restart Task (Ada)	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c 1003.4a 1003.5 P1003.5b	6.4.2 11.2.7 15.2.4 3.3.11 11.4.3 6.1.2 11.2.7 11.4.7 15.2.4	Write a message to a pipe (waited for) Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Send a message (waited for) Send a signal to a thread (waiting to start) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for) Write a message to a pipe (waited for) Unlock a semaphore (waited for) Broadcast or signal a condition (waited for) Send a message (waited for)	-	No Delta
16.7 Task Entry Calls (Ada)	P1003.1c P1003.5b	Unknown Unknown	Pending decision on mapping Ada tasks to Pthreads Pending decision on mapping Ada tasks to Pthreads	-	No Delta
16.8 Task Call Accepting>Selecting	P1003.1c P1003.5b	Unknown Unknown	Pending decision on mapping Ada tasks to Pthreads Pending decision on mapping Ada tasks to Pthreads	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

NAWCADWAR-95026-4.5

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
16.9 Access Task Characteristics (Ada)	1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d P1003.5b	14.2.2-14.2.3 14.2.4 13.5.2 16.1.5 18.2.2 13.5.2 20.1.3 20.1.5 20.1.6 14.2.2-14.2.3 14.2.4 13.2	Create and delete timers (CPU budget timers) Set and start a periodic or one-shot timer Set and get thread scheduling parameters Get my thread ID Set thread cancelability state and type Set thread scheduling parameters Access process CPU clock Access CPU clock attributes Access thread CPU clock Create and delete timers (CPU budget timers) Set and start a periodic or one-shot timer Set and get thread scheduling parameters	-	No Delta
16.10 Monitor Task's Execution Status (Ada)	P1003.1c P1003.1d	16.1.3 20.1	Return thread termination status Thread CPU time monitoring	a	P1387 - New Resource Mgmt. PAR 1003.2 - add thread status command
16.11 Access to a Precise Real-Time Clock (Ada)	1003.1b P1003.1d P1003.5b	14.2.1 21.2 14.2.1	Get/Set time, get resolution for specific clock Get/Set time from clock on external device Get/Set time, get resolution for specific clock	-	No Delta
16.12 Access to a TOD clock (Ada)	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b	4.5.1 14.2.1 21.2 4.4.1-4.4.2 14.2.1	Get system time Get/set time, get resolution for specific clock Get/Set time from clock on external device Get system time Get/Set time, get resolution for specific clock	-	No Delta
16.13 Dynamic Task Priorities (Ada)	P1003.1c P1003.1d P1003.5b	13.5.2 13.5.2 13.2	Set thread scheduling parameters Set thread scheduling parameters Set thread scheduling parameters	-	No Delta
16.14 Scheduling Policy Selection (Ada)	1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d P1003.5b	13.3.3 13.5.1 13.3.3 13.5.1 13.2 13.3.3	Set process scheduling policy Set thread creation scheduling policy attribute Set process scheduling policy Set thread creation scheduling policy attribute Set process scheduling policy Set process scheduling policy	-	Address the Ada language delta
16.15 Memory Allocation and Deallocation	1003.1j	12.2 & 12.4	Mapping a typed memory object (allocator)	-	No Delta
16.16 Interrupt Binding (Ada)	P1003.1d	22.3	Interrupt capture, locking, notification	-	No Delta
16.17 Enable/Disable Interrupts (Ada)	P1003.1d	22.3	Interrupt locking/unlocking	-	Address the Ada language delta
16.18 Mask/Unmask Interrupts (Ada)	1003.1 1003.5 1003.1 1003.1c 1003.5 P1003.5b	3.3.5 3.3.7 3.3 3.3 3.3 2.4.4 3.3 3.1	Examine and change blocked signals Examine and change blocked signals	a	Develop in conjunction with Device Driver interfaces in P-1387
16.19 Raise Exception (Ada)	1003.1 1003.1b 1003.1c 1003.5 P1003.5b	Signals Signals Signals Error codes and exceptions Signals	Signals Signals Signals Error codes and exceptions Signals	-	No Delta

DELTA SUMMARY AND CROSS REFERENCES (Cont'd)

OSSWG Requirement	Reference	Paragraph	Capabilities Fulfilling Requirement	Rating	Recommendation
16.20 I/O Support (Ada)	1003.1 1003.1b P1003.1c P1003.1d 1003.5 P1003.5b	5 6 5 6 21.2 6 5	POSIX file support POSIX I/O primitives POSIX file support POSIX I/O primitives POSIX file support POSIX I/O primitives Low level device control and status Ada POSIX file support Ada POSIX I/O primitives POSIX file support POSIX I/O primitives	-	No Delta. See also all requirements in service classes 6 and 7

DISTRIBUTION LIST
Report No. NADCADWAR-95026-4.5

Addressee	No. of Copies
Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command	1
Next Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) Program Office	
SPAWAR 331-2	
2451 Crystal Drive	
Arlington, VA 22245	
Naval Air Warfare Center	12
Aircraft Division Warminster	
P.O. Box 5152	
Warminster, PA 18974-0591	
(2 for Code 7255; MS-68)	
(10 for Code 4573; F. Prindle, MS-85)	
Defense Technical Information Center	2
ATTN: DTIC-FDAB	
Cameron Station BG5	
Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	
Center for Naval Analysis	1
4401 Fort Avenue	
P.O. Box 16268	
Alexandria, VA 22302-2068	